

## WHAT WE HEARD

Summary of Feedback for Planning Application # 22487:
APPLICATION BY FATHOM STUDIO, ON BEHALF OF THE PROPERTY OWNER,
REQUESTING TO ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ON LANDS AT 112 AND
114 WYSE ROAD, DARTMOUTH TO ALLOW FOR A 20-STOREY MIXED-USE BUILDING.

# **Engagement**

Public Consultation took place from December 12, 2020 until January 18, 2021. The engagement consisted of a mailout notification to nearby residents which highlighted the proposal, identified how to find out more information, and outlined how to provide comments/feedback. Additionally, a web page was created on Shape Your City that housed a video prestation by staff on the planning process, a presentation by the applicant on their proposal, and survey requesting feedback.

# Questions asked on the survey form:

Are there parts of this proposal that you like?

Are there parts of this proposal that concern you?

How do you feel the proposed building design fits the site?

How do you feel it fits in the neighbourhood?

Any other comment / feedback is welcomed.

# Methodology:

A count of notifications sent, and their response rate is included.

The responses were tabulated into positive, neutral, and negative. Reoccurring comments were grouped into themes and provided below. The survey results and emails are attached for reference.

## Data:

Reponses	
Total Notification Mailouts	514
Surveys Submitted	47
Emails Received	7
Percent	~10.5% response rate of total
	properties notified

## **Summary**

The responses were generally positive. There were "themes" that emerged in the comments, and those were:

- need to provide affordable housing;
- great location and supports bus terminal;
- provides much needed density/apartment units;
- most respondents thought it was well designed, however there were some who did not agree;
- wind concerns were raised by several respondents;
- most respondents believe it fits the neighbourhood and site, acknowledging this is the first building in a transitioning neighbourhood. There were some who disagreed and believe it is too tall and big;
- traffic/access concerns were raised as both streets receive high volumes of traffic; and
- some thought there is too much parking provided while some thought there wasn't enough.

# Case 22487 - 112-114 Wyse Road Survey

# **SURVEY RESPONSE REPORT**

01 July 2013 - 17 January 2021

### **PROJECT NAME:**

**Municipal Planning Applications** 





Q1 Are there parts of this proposal you like?

I\_perrin

Windows/ doors at sidewalk level, access from rear parking lot close to the

bus station 12/09/2020 11:44 AM PearsonA yes, the increased density of the area is great as this will increase business viability and transit usage as it is close to a major bus terminal. mulock It all looks great. Finally more positive development in Dartmouth. Haligonium It looks like a nice building. 12/17/2020 11:53 AM HRM REALTOR Great location 12/17/2020 12:47 PM Kirby Height, density, æsthetic Cessna Great idea as presented. **JCawley** Need affordable housing CamBourne Much needed density. Great design. Great location. 12/17/2020 10:45 PM Jeff Smeltzer I like the style, density and location. Halifaxcitizen Yes, adds housing close to work areas like burnside GoodCheer Enable people to live near a transit hub, and have less than one parking space per apartment. All of it p mackay 12/18/2020 11:31 AM Tugger Brings more people into the downtown area. 12/18/2020 11:36 AM Rw1 Love the design, love the height, like that there's underground parking 12/18/2020 11:44 AM

No

fogarty

12/18/2020 01:05 PM

ira

12/18/2020 01:13 PM

The design looks very modern and will improve the current downtrodden look of the Wyse RD area. I also like that they have underground parking to enable tenants to get their cars off the street to not hamper traffic flow and/or snow removal.

Shannon

12/18/2020 01:41 PM

Yes! It would be great to have something new and built up in this area. Hopefully it would spur more for Wyse Road. I think it would be sweet to live here; right next to the Sportsplex, the bus terminal, and a short trip to the ferry. And will provide very visible commercial space.

Accounting Prof 1

12/18/2020 01:48 PM

General concept of the Flat Iron design is a good one. I like that they are thinking of having 80 bike spaces. Mix of commercial on the lower floors and residential above is fine.

beechboymark

Good density at a convenient location, and nice aesthetic design (assuming that's what gets built).

pepaton

12/18/2020 03:58 PM

Interesting look. About time we saw some diversion from basic square boxes.

fallout

12/18/2020 06·27 PM

Building makes good use of lot and has an attractive sail-like look. Near public transit.

transit

burk

12/18/2020 10:20 PM

Add residential units to central area, to near transit hub.

ScreenName

12/18/2020 10:23 PM

Additional rental units

63 Sinclair

12/18/2020 11:45 PM

That has lower level commercial space. That it offers urban density. It is located next to a mayor transit hub and close to the ferry

**LER** 

12/19/2020 09:58 AM

I like that this building will animate a prominent corner. The plaza at the MacDonald Bridge does not say 'Welcome to Dartmouth', it is desolate and gray, reinforcing the stereotype that Dartmouth is a second class part of the city. When we first moved to Dartmouth my husband forbid me from visiting the Nantucket shopping mall, because he thought it looked like somewhere you would get shot. It all needs to be updated. The only problem with the proposed building is that all of the other buildings will look like extra garbage once it's built.

Crannogman

12/19/2020 10:00 AM

The architecture is visually attractive.

MTF123?

12/19/2020 12:48 PM

Yes more housing is in need and I here is lots of vacant property in the neighborhood

Stefanie

No

12/19/2020 04:25 PM

Ensign Jensen

Looks nice

12/19/2020 04:57 PM

Spitfire75

Density and height

12/19/2020 05:10 PM

oldsalt49 the spot

12/19/2020 05:41 PM

Rose Street I like the central location

12/19/2020 05:51 PM

GE1993 Underground parking, the combination of retail and residential space within

2/20/2020 12:32 PM the same building

jhk515 Yes

12/21/2020 08:58 AM

Jane Schlosberg I know that more housing is needed.

12/21/2020 12:35 PM

MGrant Excellent location for greater density: close to bridge, transit station,

12/21/2020 10:39 PM shopping, recreation centre. Demolition of existing uninspiring building no

great loss.

no

Richard Fanning Snowdon

12/22/2020 11:07 AM

Not really--except for the fact that the lot won't be empty anymore.

Screenname8272 12/22/2020 03:44 PM

Fhil Multiple places to live

1/01/2021 10:01 AM

Aaronferg Overall Beautiful building for this corner and fitting with the surrounding

1/01/2021 01:34 PM community

adamdcoombs The proposal is well organized and reads well. It is a well thought out plan

from the developer and architect; however, one that misses key concerns on

a few areas.

abrookside Commercial space. This area is such a desolate deadzone (and I live very

1/17/2021 05:08 PM

close to here). More residential units close to the core and transit.

Optional question (43 response(s), 4 skipped)

Question type: Essay Question

## Q2 Are there parts of this proposal that concern you?

I perrin

12/09/2020 11:44 AM

This is an extremely windy corridor and I am concerned that they have not done enough to mitigate wind. There should be greater setbacks and more landscaping to improve conditions on adjacent sidewalks. This area is already very "hard" - mostly asphalt, unwelcoming buildings, and it would be nice to see a building design that would "soften" the corner.

PearsonA

12/10/2020 04:52 PM

the access to parking will be tricky since both streets this fronts are busy and have restricted turns to access, unless it uses part of the sportsplex lot to

mulock

12/15/2020 08:15 PM

No.

Haligonium

12/17/2020 11:53 AM

It's another building that people who are in need of housing, will not be able

to afford.

access it.

HRM REALTOR

12/17/2020 12:47 PM

Too much commercial space for that location

Kirby

2/17/2020 01:17 PM

Too many parking spots given proximity to Bus terminal

Allan

12/17/2020 03:36 PM

The building must have enough parking for at least one car per unit. There also has to be enough parking for any commercial services provided. There must be space for moving vans, taxi pickup/drop off and other deliveries. If this is not accounted for, the cars associated with this building will spill over

onto other spaces creating problems for the neighbourhood.

Cessna

2/17/2020 04:08 PM

no

**JCawley** 

12/17/2020 05:22 PM

No

CamBourne

12/17/2020 10:45 PM

No

Jeff Smeltzer

12/18/2020 11:12 AM

None at all. We need more large building on Wyse, there is an opportunity to

transform that area.

Halifaxcitizen Not really GoodCheer If the possibility of adding daily parking for people to stash their cars and take 12/18/2020 11:25 AM a bus across the bridge were feasible, that would be very consistent with transit focused development. Not p mackay 12/18/2020 11:31 AM Tugger Traffic issues. cat5910 how affordable will the 160 residential units be 12/18/2020 11:39 AM Too tall, density will be increased too much and this always brings quality of fogarty

12/18/2020 01:05 PM neighbourhood down

Not really ira

Seem like it may be hard for a person living in this building to get in and out Shannon 12/18/2020 01:41 PM near this busy intersection, but I assume the driveway will be located somewhere logically.

Accounting Prof 1 The scale is too big for the lot and too close to the sidewalk. Depending on the location of the entrance to the underground parking it will either add to the congestion on Wyse Rd. or Nantucket and also be quite dangerous for the significant number of pedestrians who use the two sets of lights that this project would abut.

> As always, parking and access for commercial spaces. It looks like there will be 9 commercial entrances, meaning 18-20 staff + customers. These enterprises usually require restocking etc. (insert large truck here). Is the adjacent parking lot part of this development so that it could be used?

Size is insane. Stacking people on top of one another is never a good idea. Build smaller buildings provide them green space. Halifax isn't Manhatten and it needn't try to be.

Insufficient parking. Should be 1 space per bedroom! At a minimum, 1 space per apartment. All new buildings should have percentage of affordable housing built in or the same percentage built at city's selected location. NO BUYING DEVELOPERS WAY OUT OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING LIKE

WILLOWTREE PROJECT!!

fallout Probably not aimed at lower income.

smhb

pepaton

12/18/2020 03:58 PM

beechboymark

12/18/2020 06:27 PM

ScreenName

12/18/2020 10:23 PM

This building has the potential to be an eyesore. A flatiron design is not intended for this type of neighbourhood. A towering building at that intersection will not feel welcoming to people who don't live in the building at all. It will cause shadows in the morning, line of sight problems for traffic.

63 Sinclair

12/18/2020 11:45 PM

It is single type of housing: high rice apartments tower. Does not offer any green open space directly accessible to the housing units. It makes no effort to blend with the dominant urban landscape of Dartmouth so it will be an eye sore and create a monotonous industrial landscape that does not fit with Dartmouth. It is poor development not conducted to a livable city

**LER** 

12/19/2020 09:58 AM

I love the plants on the roof deck but I bet 1000% they will never be put there or if they do they will only last a season. Greenery is so important to making a building this size feel relatable.

Crannogman

12/19/2020 10:00 AM

There seems to be no lack of rental accommodation. Shouldn't there be consideration for the development of more condominiums?

MTF123?

12/19/2020 12:48 PM

Size seems a bit large for the current neighborhood

Stefanie

12/19/2020 04:25 PM

It seems out of place and very tall - any wind tunnel voncerns?

Ensign Jensen

12/19/2020 04:57 PM

Nope

Spitfire75

12/19/2020 05:10 PM

No

oldsalt49

12/19/2020 05:41 PM

Not enough parking spots. If you are going to have 160 units and shopping on the ground floor 100 parting spots will not cut it. Also with units being 2 person units you will need more parking. That does not count for visitors that may sleep over.

Rose Street

2/19/2020 05:51 PM

The overall size and lack of diversity in the offering and desgin of the project.

**PJR** 

12/19/2020 11:25 PM

Height is too tall; wind and shadow making area inhospitable for pedestrians.

GE1993

12/20/2020 12:32 PM

The lack of large apartments for families. A good portion of families in the area require 2-3 bedrooms and this building only has bachelor, 1, and 2 bedroom apartments and the majority are 1 bedroom apartments

Jane Schlosberg

We need more modestly-priced housing. Also, this building is too high.

12/21/2020 12:35 PM

**MGrant** 

Normally a curvy building is a plus, but this design feels a little clumsy.

12/21/2020 10:39 PM

Richard Fanning Snowdon

12/22/2020 11:07 AM

the height of the buildings

Screenname8272

12/22/2020 03:44 PM

Yes. I am concerned the building is too high for the surrounding neighbourhood. I am concerned about increased vehicular traffic in the area. I am concerned a building of this height will make the wind even more intense along Wyse Road.

Fhil

1/01/2021 10:01 AM

None

Aaronferg

1/01/2021 01:34 PM

None

adamdcoombs

1/14/2021 09:12 AM

Only a qualitative wind impact statement was completed for evaluation. Centre Plan LUBs clearly state the requirement for a quantitative wind tunnel study to be completed by a qualified consultant for developments greater than 40 m in height. Also, the qualitative wind impact statement completed uses non-standard criteria for Halifax, such as wind speeds stated in mph instead of km/h (admittedly minor) and misconstruing the impact of clustered tall buildings on the wind environment. Also, the report uses the Beaufort scale for its comfort criteria, which is not the correct criteria set for the City's requirements. The relatively open nature of the development site should require a quantitative wind tunnel study to properly address pedestrian comfort and more importantly pedestrian safety. Additionally, stating that the develop already mitigates wind impacts "as much as can be expected for a 19-storey structure" is misleading to the public, as no quantitative analysis was completed which could well provide insight into additional mitigation solutions or pedestrian safety concerns. No mention of pedestrian safety or exceedance criteria were stated in the wind report.

abrookside

Potentially traffic routing out of here.

1/17/2021 05:08 PM

Optional question (44 response(s), 3 skipped)

Question type: Essay Question

# How do you feel the proposed building fits the site?

I\_perrin

It's very bulky. I don't have an issue with the height, in general, but the

12/09/2020 11:44 AM

massing of the building is very large. It will likely make the wind issue worse.

PearsonA

12/10/2020 04·52 PM

does not fit entirely currently, but when the area gets redeveloped it will fit in

nicely

mulock

12/15/2020 08:15 PM

It will improve the address and encourage young professionals to move here.

Growing Dartmouth is growing up and becoming more mainstream.

Haligonium

12/17/2020 11:53 AM

The renderings I found don't show other buildings i context with it.

HRM REALTOR

12/17/2020 12:47 PM

Very nice looking building from the street level, but would like to see more "Green" roof designs in the cities, especially here, with it's view plane from Brightwood

Kirby

12/17/2020 01:17 PM

It's a bit tall, given the relative low heights of surrounding area, but given the proposed (and super ugly) development going up kittycorner to this, that would be kinda alleviated... But then it's beauty clashes with the other

developments... boxiness

Cessna

12/17/2020 04:08 PM

Fits the commercial character of the site.

**JCawley** 

12/17/2020 05:22 PM

Yes

CamBourne

12/17/2020 10:45 PM

The proposed building fits the sight perfectly. Could/should be even taller given it does not obstruct any view planes.

Jeff Smeltzer

12/18/2020 11:12 AM

Yes

Halifaxcitizen

12/18/2020 11:22 AM

It is fine

GoodCheer

12/18/2020 11:25 AM

Well. Commercial, high-rise hotel across the road, not blocking anyone's sun

or view.

p\_mackay

12/18/2020 11:31 AM

Love it! That area needs density, and it's beside a transit hub.

Tugger

12/18/2020 11:36 AM

Fine. Good place for a high rise.

cat5910

12/18/2020 11:39 AM

I like the location

well-suited Rw1 Does not, too tall. fogarty It is an excellent location for this type of building jra I do think it will stand out for a while. But hopefully more development will Shannon 12/18/2020 01:41 PM occur in the general area and blend in. Accounting Prof 1 Too big. Fills up too much of the lot. I can never understand why there always seem to be insufficient setbacks from the street for large commercial or residential structures. Pedestrians should not be able to reach out and touch a building when walking on a sidewalk. beechboymark Right across from the hotel, close to a high-traffic street, and amenities right across the other way, yes. The height is pushing it, and I would not want to see this be a stepping-up point to get even higher ones in the adjacent lots, i.e. this should set the maximum for that area. smhb Too big. Commercial space is available all over the city . No need to it here. 12/18/2020 02:42 PM pepaton Seems to be appropriate fit provided it does not encroach on sportsplex parking. Good use of area. fallout burk A bit isolated, but may encourage more dense residential buildings nearby. ScreenName Does not fit the sight at all! I think it will create driver distraction at a key intersection. The building is not welcoming to look at and does not fit the style of the neighbourhood. It does not fit the situ 63 Sinclair 12/18/2020 11:45 PM LER It's fine. There is a tall building across the street. There are no small houses anywhere near. Totally appropriate.

Yes

Crannogman

MTF123? Good 12/19/2020 12:48 PM Stefanie I dont think it does Ensign Jensen It's better than what's there 12/19/2020 04:57 PM Spitfire75 Great oldsalt49 Great but needs more parking 12/19/2020 05:41 PM Rose Street I feel its only designed to build as much as possible on every square inch of 12/19/2020 05:51 PM the site. Its another uninspired development, designed to maximize as much profit as possible for the developer. PJR Too tall 12/19/2020 11:25 PM GE1993 There's a possibility that the height and design of the building could affect traffic and visibility for cars as it's on the corner of a major intersection ihk515 It looks nice and will help elevate that part of Dartmouth **MGrant** Fits fine. There's still some green space on the south side. 12/21/2020 10:39 PM Richard Fanning Snowdon doesn't fit into the surrounding area of low rise buildings and will lead to 12/22/2020 11:07 AM overdevelopment of the area. Screenname8272 I don't really have a comment about that because I don't like the building. 12/22/2020 03:44 PM Fhil Great Aaronferg Fits site well 1/01/2021 01:34 PM adamdcoombs The proposed building fits the theme of newly developed neighboring

properties and is a welcome site to the Dartmouth skyline. The development should bring a unique modern look to the site. The wind impact of the as-

proposed building should be reconsidered to align the evaluation documentation with the city's requirements for such a structure.

abrookside

Uses the site and location imaginatively.

1/17/2021 05:08 PM

Optional question (45 response(s), 2 skipped)

Question type: Essay Question

# Q4 How do you feel the proposal fits in the neighbourhood?

I perrin

12/09/2020 11:44 AN

I hope the neighbourhood will transition to something that is car-oriented to something that is more walkable, human-scale. I believe this building could set the wrong precedent for this corridor. It doesn't do much to positively contribute to what's currently a pretty terrible place.

mulock

2/15/2020 08·15 PM

Excellent addition. Hopefully one of many to come.

Haligonium

12/17/2020 11:53 AM

Odd question since the city does not care about neighbourhoods.

Kirby

12/17/2020 01:17 PM

Yes and no. Because it's a continuation of DT Dartmouth, it makes sense. And I'm totally here for it But, the area is kinda... um... gritty. And the grit against the polish will cause some... um... 'scratches'. But that's gentrification

Cessna

12/17/2020 04:08 PM

In line with the changing character of the area.

**JCawley** 

12/17/2020 05:22 PM

Well

CamBourne

allibourne

12/17/2020 10:45 PM

Much needed higher density residential close to downtown.

Jeff Smeltzer

12/18/2020 11:12 AM

Very well. I have a feeling there will be more developments like this on Wyse.

Halifaxcitizen

12/18/2020 11:22 AM

Fine

GoodCheer

12/18/2020 11:25 AM

Well. Commercial, high-rise hotel across the road, not blocking anyone's sun

or view.

p\_mackay

12/18/2020 11:31 AM

I think will improve the feel of the area

Tugger

12/18/2020 11:36 AM

Fine. This specific site does not really have a neighborhood type vibe.

cat5910

12/18/2020 11:39 AM

Might spruce up the neighborhood

Rw1

12/18/2020 11:44 AM

No issues

fogarty

12/18/2020 01:05 PM

Does not, crowd density negative

jra

12/18/2020 01:13 PM

Perfectly as it will improve the look of the area as it is currently has rather

downtrodden look.

Shannon

12/18/2020 01:41 PM

I do think it will stand out for a while. But hopefully more development will

occur in the general area and blend in.

Accounting Prof 1

12/18/2020 01:48 PM

This development would be an very good idea if it was on the other side of Wyse Rd. in the vacant lot between Falkner and Dawson streets. This spot should be part of public lands devoted to a park to go along with the transit terminal and the Sportsplex, etc. Not to mention that it is too big for such a

small lot right at the busiest intersection in all of Dartmouth.

beechboymark

12/18/2020 01:59 PN

It is a higher building than others, but there are many rentals in the area opposite. Being on top of the hill will of course accentuate the height, but I expect several such developments in the adjoining blocks over the next few

years.

pepaton

12/18/2020 03:58 PM

Looks fine.

fallout

10/10/0000 00 07 D1

Would fit in fine. Mostly a parking lot now.

burk

12/18/2020 10:20 PM

Currently, there is not much of a neighbourhood.

ScreenName

12/18/2020 10:23 PM

I do not think the proposal fits in the neighbourhood well at all. The rent of these units will be far out of reach for locals, further pushing people out of

the Downtown.

63 Sinclair

It does not fit the neiu

12/18/2020 11:45 PM

**LER** 

It doesn't but hopefully it classes up the location and more nice buildings

follow.

Crannogman

I think so

MTF123?

Renewal in the neighborhood is over do it will be a good start as long as it is

affordable. It won't displace current residents as well h land is vacant.

Stefanie

If doesnt fit the neighbourhood

Ensign Jensen

No, but the neighbourhood needs to be improved

Spitfire75

12/19/2020 05:10 PM

Great

oldsalt49

12/19/2020 05:41 PM

same as Question #3

Rose Street

It hardly fits the neighborhood. There seems to be very little thought to how it will mesh with its surroundings. Like many of the developments in this city, there seems to be very little attention paid to how the public will interact with

it for the decades to come.

**PJR** 

Need more affordable housing not luxury housing.

GE1993

I feel that unless they create larger units and low income units this proposal will not fit the area as this is a traditionally low income neighborhood with a lot of low income families. A "luxury apartment" building will not do well in this

area

ihk515

As above

Jane Schlosberg

too high

lousy

**MGrant** 

Raises the bar for the neighbourhood.

Richard Fanning Snowdon

12/22/2020 11:07 AM

Page 14 of 17

Screenname8272

12/22/2020 03:44 PM

I do not feel the proposed building fits with the character of our

neighbourhood. Our community is a wonderful neighbourhood of older

homes, and so many nice people have chosen to make this area their home

because of the wonderful, peaceful neighbourhood.

Fhil

1/01/2021 10:01 AM

Doesn't matter. We need the development

Aaronferg

I/01/2021 01:34 PM

Will bring much needed density to this area

adamdcoombs

1/14/2021 09:12 AM

The proposed building fits the theme of newly developed neighboring properties and is a welcome site to the Dartmouth skyline. The development should bring a unique modern look to the neighborhood and fit well with the modern aesthetic of newly proposed and developed properties close by.

abrookside

1/17/2021 05:08 PM

An improvement.

Optional question (43 response(s), 4 skipped)

Question type: Essay Question

## Any other comments you may have are welcome in the space below.

#### I perrin

12/09/2020 11:44 AM

This feels like a missed opportunity for HRM to collaborate with the developer. The parking lot between the Sportsplex and this site should be used as developable space - half could be used for building, and half for additional green space. Replace the surface parking lot with an underground lot. And include some affordable housing units within the building! This location in Dartmouth North is ideally located for affordable housing and it's too bad that this development agreement means they will avoid the Centre Plan's density bonus requirements.

PearsonA

12/10/2020 04:52 PM

I think this project represents a new era for this area of Dartmouth and if

approved would be great for the city

Haligonium

12/17/2020 11:53 AM

Enough with the condos. When is housing that people can afford going to be

built?

Kirby

12/17/2020 01:17 PM

That area of Dartmouth doesn't exactly have the best use of space.

Boland/Nova/Demetreous and the lack of density there could be dealt with by securing low income housing in this new bldg to move people out of the lower density area noted above, and redevelop it with higher density, mixed

income developments in mind.

Cessna

2/17/2020 04:08 PM

Greater density close to the downtown core helps the environment in a number of ways.

JCawley

No

12/11/2020 00:22 1 11

Halifaxcitizen

Nope

p mackay

12/18/2020 11:31 AM

Love it!

Tugger

12/18/2020 11:36 AM

Would like to see some revitalization and perhaps affordable housing in the neibourhood off Wyse Road by Brookside and Dawson avenues. This has a neighborhood type feel.

fogarty

12/18/2020 01:05 PM

High rise increases population density always negatively impacts local

communities

Shannon

12/18/2020 01:41 PM

Looking forward to having more investment in Dartmouth!

Accounting Prof 1

12/18/2020 01:48 PM

I generally don't have a problem with the height of major projects on main roads, as long as they conform to the City Plan. Having good views of our beautiful harbour is something to be proud of. I do have a problem with buildings that take up too much of the land, however. We need room for greenery and for bike paths, and sidewalks. The City Plan should insist on bigger setbacks for new developments to allow for more green space, more pedestrians and more active transportation. One last comment. Just because a developer overpaid for a lot, doesn't mean that the city has any obligation to approve a proposal to ensure that they can make a profit on the space. If the rules and good, and they say it is an acceptable project, then approve it and get it done. However, I don't have any sympathy for a developer who wants an exception to the rules and complains because it was denied or the approval process takes too long.

beechboymark

12/18/2020 01:59 PN

Pick a height and stick to it for this and other proposals in that strip.

pepaton

2/18/2020 03:58 PM

City needs to stop being short changed by developers!

fallout

Need affordable housing....this area is not south end Halifax.

12/18/2020 06:27 PM

ScreenName

12/18/2020 10:23 PM

I see no mention at all of any affordable housing units. This developer will make a profit off municipal infrastructure such as the bus terminal and Rec centre, meaning they will be able to charge a premium for tiny units.

Expected rents will far exceed the average income of the neighbourhood...

I'm also concerned construction would pose a major traffic issue.

63 Sinclair Ask for development proposals With criteria of fitting the

12/18/2020 11:45 PM neighbourhood,'being diverse, offer density, etc.

MTF123? Great to see initiatives on housing, huge need and the area is due for

renewal.

Rose Street A considerable portion of the units should be considered to be affordable. I

> see no mention of that. A development of this size, with only 1 and 2 bedroom units, does very little to building a diverse and sustainable

community.

Richard Fanning Snowdon

12/22/2020 11:07 AM

12/22/2020 03:44 PM

scrap it

Screenname8272

I find it very sad that the plan is to destroy the wonderful feel of our

Dartmouth location. It is pathetic that planners want our side of the harbour to

become a carbon copy of the Halifax side. This proposed building should not

be built as planned and ruin our neighbourhood.

Fhil Stop making this harder and build

1/01/2021 10:01 AM

adamdcoombs I would be happy to further discuss my concerns with the City planners, if

1/14/2021 09:12 AM requested.

Optional question (23 response(s), 24 skipped)

Question type: Essay Question

#### Email 1

I've lived very near this location for close to 10 years and worked downtown Dartmouth for 15 years. I was excited to see the letter about this development then got to thinking about the shadow it would cast. Could be beneficial or not depending on how far it goes. What's the maximum area its shadow would ever cover, would it extend to the skateboard park? Also, will there be any subsidized units? I think it will certainly boost business at the Zatzman centre and surrounding businesses. Something you may want to start championing for is the crosswalk between that parking lot and the dollar store to be responsive to traffic. It's a terribly long wait even when the street is empty which prompts people to dash across. It's only a matter of time before someone is seriously injured. You may know it's quite a wind tunnel already by the Double Tree tower building and the Zatsman. Your building could make this almost unbearable if not taken into consideration. The force of the wild nearly rips street signs off some days now.

#### Email 2

As a local and a pedestrian, I am 100% against this proposal. It's completely wrong for the area; there is a house right beside it, for God's sake. In usual times, I spend a fair bit of time using public transit (got rid of my car 13 years ago). However, I have not set foot on a bus since mid-March. My whole life revolves around a neighbourhood I can walk in. If I can't get there on foot, I'm not going. I shop at the Sobey's on Wyse, I am at Alderney 3 or so times a week, library / market, etc. I live on Fairbanks so have to pass 99 Wyse all the time. All of these areas have 1 thing in common apart from the fact that they are eyesores they create their own climate - the wind is insane. They are also dangerous to birds. There are easy fixes but no councillor or HRM staffer I have knowledge of will deal with them. It is a proven fact that high rise buildings with rounded "corners" stop the wind issue. There are also easy fixes to prevent bird strikes. If this building has to go forward, and I hope it does not - these are changes that should be made mandatory. Build here, build this way OR not at all. Why is the city so resistant to change that would benefit the community? Another issue, what about sightlines and access to sun? This will drastically affect both. I hope you see sense and say NO to this project but, if you do not, at least look at some eco-friendly options - the city has enough experts to call upon. Just my thoughts as a concerned resident, who hopes to see improvement in city management. Not everything should be about money.

Thought you were referring to a vacant lot on the opposite side of the street. However, all my objections still stand 100% (except for the house beside it). I will object when the city moves forward on that site too. Now I know the new location (proposed), I have an additional comment. The traffic at that corner and the traffic light situation is an absolute disaster right now and you want to add 20 stories of offices with a minimum of 100 indoor parking spaces (+outdoor) to that mad rush hour mess over the bridge you need your heads examined. You will rue the day you let that happen, mark my words. You will never hear the end of the driver complaints & the increased congestion and accidents coming your way. Is there anyone there who understands the word 'planning' enough to see past the word 'development', with its \$ flashing in your face?

#### Email 3

I received a letter inviting comments on the proposed development on Wyse Rd in Dartmouth. I am very happy that there will be new development in that area. Our family lives within eyeshot of the proposed area and I own multiple properties around there also. All I have to say is, "it's about time"

#### Email 4

My wife, young child and myself reside (in the area) and have for the past 7 years. We also own a rental property (in the area) and moved in over 10 years ago. It agonizes me that there has basically been no development in that area in the last 10 years other that a few rental row houses of Ropewalk. With respect to this development I would have to say that as bad as I would like some development in the area this does not fit it. Way to high and can't imagine it fits the center plan? I would be a strong NO. Something 10 stories and under would be more reasonable for our area.

#### Email 5

We recently received a letter asking for comments on the development planned for 112-114 Wyse Road, Dartmouth. I would like to note that the general area has a troubling amount of pavement which makes Wyse Road prone to flooding in heaving rains. I would like to request that the city make it a condition, or take all available action, to ensure that the property has as minimal a footprint possible to allow for maximum greening and planting of trees, particularly as the building will be so tall. In addition, I would be highly in favour of a project that incorporated green roofs on any setback areas, much like you find extensively in Vancouver, and on the Halifax Central Library. As you undoubtably know this area was once part of the Dartmouth Commons, the City has an opportunity to honour that history by replacing the cement with as much 'green' as possible.

#### Email 6

This is my neighbourhood and where the sun rises at my house most of the year. I had an office across the street in the tower for years and the wind coming up the harbour is fierce on occasion. I'm concerned about the further wind effects on both the building and foot traffic. One cannot stand up in SE winds over 80 KPH — and I'm well-anchored!! Then there is the blasting for the car park and foundation and traffic from the building. This corner is already very busy. Where will the traffic from the building enter and exit? The rock is all iron and magnesium bearing slate with the water table moving through it. How is this going to affect the 100+ year old foundations in the area? The Halifax Explosion was not kind to concrete. This land was originally part of the Dartmouth Common and was not for commercial use - thus the Library, Schools and SportPlex. I strongly object to the commercial use of this property. The bank was at least only 1 storey and inconspicuous. This is a non-starter. What happened to the ratio between the lot size and the floor plan? I've been assured by my elected rep and staff that the Wyse Road corridor, which I support, would not exceed this ratio. Is there a public hearing or Zoom meeting about this? If you don't have the Zoom infrastructure, I do and would be pleased to help get this application thoroughly aired out.

### Email 7

I live in the neighbourhood where this building proposal is located. I don't understand HRM's obsession with enormous buildings? A 20-storey building is massive and I can only assume the developer is making it very attractive for whoever is in charge of approving these monstrosities. I can see a 10-storey building in the area; any larger and it will create an eyesore and undoubtedly another wind tunnel like the ridiculously out of place office building at 99 Wyse Rd or the impossible to walk along stretch at 45 Alderney Dr.