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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Background
West Bedford is being developed as a Master Planned neighbourhood in Nova Scotia. There are several sub areas within West Bedford, this Traffic Impact Study is representative of Sub Areas 1 and 12 at the northern boundary of West Bedford.

Several Transportation Studies have been completed for the area including, but not limited to, Bedford West Master Plan: Transportation Study (Delphi-MRC, 2004), Larry Uteck Interchange Traffic Impact Study (CBCL, 2008), Bedford West Master Plan Transportation Study Update (HRM, 2014), Broad Street Intersections Traffic Modeling Study (Griffin, 2015), Broad Street Traffic Operational Review - Forecasted Volumes (Griffin, 2015), as well as Traffic Impact Statements and Warrant Analyses for many of the development phases (WSP).

Plans are currently being prepared for the development of Sub Areas 1 and 12, a proposed mixeduse development area bound by Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard (see Figure 1). While not all of the individual property access points have been determined, the proposed site configuration, access roads and driveways are currently being prepared, as shown in Figure 2. WSP Canada Inc. has been retained to complete a Traffic Impact Study (TIS), which focuses on impact to the primary Study Intersections identified in Figure 1.


Figure 1 - Study Area

A Traffic Impact Study Usually Considers

## Four

 QuestionsStudy Objectives

A TIS usually consists of determining answers for the following questions:

1. What is the existing transportation situation adjacent to the study site? How have volumes changed historically?
2. What transportation changes are expected at key Study Area locations? How many vehicle and active mode trips are expected to be generated by the proposed development during weekday peak hours? What routes are the trips expected to use to travel within and through the Study Area?
3. What transportation impacts will occur on Study Area roads, sidewalks, and intersections?
4. What transportation improvements are required to mitigate project impacts on Study Area travel? Are there transportation modifications that should be made to improve the travel experience for all users?
5. Develop projected 2030 background weekday AM and PM peak hourly volumes for Study Intersection that do not include trips generated by the proposed site.
6. Estimate the number of weekday AM and PM peak hour trips that will be generated by the proposed development.
7. Distribute and assign site generated trips to Study Intersections to project 2030 peak hourly volumes that include site generated trips.
8. Evaluate impacts of site generated traffic on the performance of Study Intersections.
9. Complete warrant analyses, as necessary, for Study Intersections and recommend improvements that may be needed at study intersections to mitigate the impacts of site development.



KEY PLAN


## 2 STUDY AREA DESCRIPTIONS

## Description of Proposed Development

The proposed mixed-use development is expected to be constructed on the undeveloped lands bound by Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard.

Sub Areas 1 and 12 are expected to consist of four (4) neighbourhoods, as shown in Figure 3. The proposed mixed-use development is expected to cover approximately 213 acres of land totalling approximately 2,111 apartment units, 428 single family dwellings, 26,500 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of institutional development and $128,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial development. Sub Areas 1 and 12 are anticipated to be constructed and occupied by 2030.


Figure 3 - Proposed Neighbourhoods

## Proposed Site Access

It is expected that each neighbourhood will consist of a number of properties and will be provided with access to Larry Uteck Boulevard and Hammonds Plains Road.

Vehicular access to Neighbourhood A is expected from a planned access road across from Blue Mountain Drive (Road 1) and an additional planned access road (Road 8) via Hammonds Plains Road. Neighbourhood A is supplemented with a roadway connection to Olive Avenue and may also be indirectly accessed using Road 1 through to Larry Uteck Boulevard. In addition, a driveway is expected on Larry Uteck Boulevard to access a cluster of single family townhouse dwellings.

Vehicular access to Neighbourhood $B$ is expected to be distributed between access driveways on Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard. Location of access for the area will be determined through further site plan development.

## Existing Road Descriptions

Vehicular access to Neighbourhood C and Neighbourhood D is expected to be distributed between access driveways on Larry Uteck Boulevard. Location of access for the area will be determined through further site plan development.

Hammonds Plains Road is an arterial road that runs east-west approximately 19 km between St. Margarets Bay Road and the Bedford Highway, otherwise known as NS Route 213 between Upper Tantallon and NS Trunk 2. In the vicinity of the proposed development, Hammonds Plains Road has a two-lane cross section with paved shoulders and a $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit.

Larry Uteck Boulevard is an arterial road that runs northwest-southeast approximately 7.5 km between Hammonds Plains Road and the Bedford Highway. In the vicinity of the proposed development, Larry Uteck Boulevard has a two-lane cross section with unpaved shoulders and an $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit. With ongoing development of West Bedford, it is likely that this speed limit will be reviewed and reduced over the study horizon.

Blue Mountain Drive is a local residential street that extends approximately 1 km southwest from Larry Uteck Boulevard to Terradore Lane. Blue Mountain Drive consists of one travel lane in each direction and a $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ posted speed limit.

Olive Avenue is a local loop road that connects to Lewis Drive on the north and south boundaries. Olive Avenue consists of a two-lane cross section with sidewalk on the east side. The posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Existing \& Planned Intersection Descriptions

Intersection 1 - Larry Uteck Boulevard and Blue Mountain Drive is a 3-leg unsignalized intersection with stop control on Blue Mountain Drive (see Photo 1). All of the approaches consist of a single travel lane. With Sub Areas 1 and 12 development, an access road (Road 1) is planned to extend from the existing intersection into Neighbourhood A.


Intersection 2 - Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard is a 3-leg signalized intersection with pedestrian crosswalks on the south and east legs (see Photo 2). The eastbound approach consists of a through lane and a right turn lane. The northbound approach consists of a left turning lane and a right channelized turn. The westbound approach consists of a through lane and a left turn lane.


Photo 2 - Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard
Intersection 3 - Hammonds Plains Road and Road 8 is a planned 3-leg stop-controlled intersection with free flow on Hammonds Plains Road. The available stopping sight distance appears adequate for a driveway onto Hammonds Plains Road, as shown in Photo 3 and 4.


Photo 3 - Looking west (to the left) on Hammonds Plains Road from Road 8


Photo 4 - Looking west (to the left) on Hammonds Plains Road from Road 8

Turning Movement Counts

Annual Growth

Projected 2020 Traffic Volumes

Projected 2030 Background Traffic Volumes

Turning movement counts were obtains from previously completed Transportation Studies in the area. The turning movement counts for Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive and Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard were collected in April 2018. The turning movement counts have been tabulated in Tables A-1 and A-2, Appendix A, with peak hour volumes indicated by shaded areas.

The peak hour volumes on the Study Intersections have been increased by an annual growth rate of $1.0 \%$ to project background traffic volumes. This growth rate was determined based on review of previous studies, historical background volume information and is considered typical for this area.

The projected 2020 AM and PM peak hour volumes represent estimates of the current traffic volumes and are shown diagrammatically in Figure A-1, Appendix A.

The projected 2030 AM and PM peak hour background volumes are shown diagrammatically in Figure A-2, Appendix A. It should be noted that the volumes have been rounded to the nearest multiple of 5 .

HRM Transit currently operates Route 433 (Tantallon) past the proposed development with additional routes surrounding the area such as Route 90 (Larry Uteck), Route 71 (Hemlock Ravine) and Route 194 (West Bedford Express).

Although the existing site is not currently supplemented with active transportation (AT) facilities, HRM has plans to improve non-auto connectivity in the area. Integrated Mobility Plan (IMP) has identified Larry Uteck


Figure 4 - Priority Connections for Multi-Use
Pathways (IMP, 2017) Boulevard, between the Highway 102 interchange and Hammonds Plains Road, as a priority connection for multi-use pathways. An active transportation greenway is planned near to the proposed site (see Figure 4).

## Anticipated Land Use for Proposed Development (Total)

The proposed mixed-use development is expected to include approximately:

- 428 Single Family Dwellings;
- 2,111 Mid-Ride Apartment Units;
- $26,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Institutional Development; and,
- $128,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Commercial Development.

For the purposes of this study, the following land use breakdowns have been used:

- 428 Single Family Dwellings;
- 2,111 Mid-Ride Apartment Units;
- $72,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Specialty Retail;
- $51,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of General Office;
- $3,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps; and,
- 2,000 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of Fast-Food Restaurant without Drive-Through Window.

Trip generation estimates were not prepared for the Institutional Development since it is currently operational in the area, therefore, the trips generated by the Institutional Development are considered to be captured in the traffic counts collected in April 2018.
When using the published rates in Trip Generation Manual (Institute of Transportation Engineers), the transportation engineer's objective should be to provide a realistic estimate of the number of trips that will be generated.

Trips generated by Single Family Dwellings (Land Use 210) and Mid-Rise Apartment Units (Land Use 221), are estimated for the AM and PM peak hours of traffic by the number of units. Trip generated by General Office (Land Use 710), Speciality Retail (Land Use 826), Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (Land Use 853) and Fast-Food Restaurant without Drive-Through Window (Land Use 933) are estimated for the AM and PM peak hours of traffic by the leasable square footage available.

Trip generation estimates for Single Family Dwellings, Mid-Rise Apartment Units, General Office, Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps and Fast-Food Restaurant without DriveThrough Window were prepared using published rates from Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017), and estimates for Speciality Retail were prepared using published rates from Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2012). Speciality Retail is no longer listed as a potential land usage in the $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, instead more specific retail descriptions are provided (e.g. supermarket, apparel store, pet supply store, etc.). Detailed breakdowns of the commercial space within the proposed mixed-use development was unavailable, therefore, more general scenarios were explored.
Two types of trips are included in the external trips that will be generated by the proposed development:

- Pass-by trips are those which are made as 'intervening opportunity' stops to commercial and retail land uses by vehicle trips already passing by the site. Although these trips will be included in the site access volumes to the site, they will not increase the overall traffic volumes on Study Area roads. Diverted link and pass-by rates were determined using Trip Generation Handbook, $3{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2017) and local knowledge of the area.
- Primary trips for this study include all external site generated trips that are not considered pass-by trips.

Reductions to Trip Generation Estimates

Trip Generation Estimates

Sub Areas 1 and 12 consists of four (4) neighbourhoods, which include high density areas with good access to transit service, as well as internal active transportation connections to employment and shopping opportunities in the $128,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial areas proposed for the development.

The Halifax Integrated Mobility Plan has a $26 \%$ target for non-auto trips within the Suburban Region by 2031. Within the 2030 timeframe that Sub Areas 1 and 12 are expected to be occupied. A slightly more conservative reduction was considered at $20 \%$ for non-auto trips generated by residential, office, and specialty retail land uses has been used to account for all transit, bicycle and walking trips. Similarly, $10 \%$ reduction has also been used for trips generated by the convenience market, gas bar and restaurant land uses to account for cross shopping and on-site synergies between those land uses and the other land uses in the neighbourhood.
Since the development is expected to consist of mixed land uses with different access configuration options to the street network, trip generation estimates were completed by zone, which were based on the designated neighbourhoods and land uses. The zones considered are:

- Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1);
- Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12);
- Neighbourhood B;
- Neighbourhood C; and,
- Neighbourhood D.

Sub Area 1 Portion of Neighbourhood A - The trip generation estimates for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1) are summarized in Table 1. It is estimated that Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1) will generate:

- 180 two-way trips (46 entering and 133 exiting) during the AM peak hour; and,
- 229 two-way trips ( 141 entering and 88 exiting) during the PM peak hour.

Sub Area 12 Portion of Neighbourhood A - The trip generation estimates for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) are summarized in Table 2.

During the AM peak hour, it is estimated that Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) will generate:

- 236 two-way primary vehicle trips ( 66 entering and 170 exiting); and,
- 8 two-way pass-by vehicle trips (4 entering and 4 exiting).

During the PM peak hour, it is estimated that Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) will generate:

- 312 two-way primary vehicle trips (186 entering and 126 exiting); and,
- 14 two-way pass-by vehicle trips (7 entering and 7 exiting).

Neighbourhood B - The trip generation estimates for Neighbourhood B are summarized in Table 3.

During the AM peak hour, it is estimated that Neighbourhood B will generate:

- 156 two-way primary vehicle trips ( 82 entering and 74 exiting); and,
- 94 two-way pass-by vehicle trips (47 entering and 47 exiting).

During the PM peak hour, it is estimated that that Neighbourhood B will generate:

- 195 two-way primary vehicle trips (92 entering and 103 exiting); and,
- 124 two-way pass-by vehicle trips (62 entering and 62 exiting).

Trip Generation Estimates (Continued)

Neighbourhood C - The trip generation estimates for Neighbourhood C are summarized in Table 4.

During the AM peak hour, it is estimated that Neighbourhood C will generate:

- 43 two-way primary vehicle trips ( 32 entering and 11 exiting); and,
- 10 two-way pass-by vehicle trips ( 5 entering and 5 exiting).

During the PM peak hour, it is estimated that that Neighbourhood C will generate:

- 59 two-way primary vehicle trips (18 entering and 41 exiting); and,
- 22 two-way pass-by vehicle trips ( 11 entering and 11 exiting).

Neighbourhood D - The trip generation estimates for Neighbourhood D are summarized in Table 5. It is estimated that Neighbourhood D will generate:

- 237 two-way trips ( 62 entering and 175 exiting) during the AM peak hour; and,
- 293 two-way trips (179 entering and 114 exiting) during the PM peak hour.

Table 1 - Trip Generation Estimates for Sub Area 1 Portion of Neighbourhood A

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Units ${ }^{2}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Trip Generation Estimates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD A (Sub Area 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family Homes (Land Use 210) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 106 \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 20 | 59 | 66 | 39 |
| Mid-Rise Apartments (Land Use 221) | 454 <br> Units | Equations from Pages 74 and 75 <br> (Residential - Land Uses 200-299) |  |  |  | 39 | 112 | 115 | 74 |
| Removal of Existing Single Family Homes ${ }^{4}$ (Land Use 210) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8 \\ \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | -1 | -4 | -5 | -3 |
| Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1) |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 167 | 176 | 110 |
| 20\% Reduction for Non-Auto Trips ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 33 | 35 | 22 |
| Primary Trip Estimate for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1) |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 134 | 141 | 88 |

[^0]Table 2 - Trip Generation Estimates for Sub Area 12 Portion of Neighbourhood A

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Units ${ }^{2}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Trip Generation Estimates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD A (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single Family Homes (Land Use 210) | 322 <br> Units | 0.19 | 0.56 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 20 | 59 | 66 | 39 |
| Mid-Rise Apartments (Land Use 221) | 593 <br> Units | Equations from Pages 74 and 75(Residential - Land Uses 200-299) |  |  |  | 51 | 145 | 149 | 95 |
| Specialty Retail ${ }^{4}$ (Land Use 826) ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 0.76 | 0.60 | 1.19 | 1.52 | 11 | 9 | 18 | 23 |
| Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 213 | 233 | 157 |
| 20\% Reduction for Non-Auto Trips ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 43 | 47 | 31 |
| 35\% Reduction for Pass-By Trips ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 |
| Primary Trip Estimate for Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  | 66 | 170 | 186 | 126 |

NOTES: 1. Land Use Code 210 and 221 are from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) and Land Use Code 826 is from Trip Generation, 9th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2012).
2. 'Number of Residential Units' for Single Family Housing and Mid-Rise Apartment Buildings. 'Gross Leasable Area x 1000 SF' for Specialty Retail.
3. Rates are 'vehicles per hour per unit'; trips generated are 'vehicles per hour for peak hours'.
4. The Specialty Retail (ITE Land Use 826) rate for 'Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 PM' has been used. Since there is no published rate for the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic for this land use, and since AM peak hour trips to specialty retail are generally low, AM trip rates have been assumed to be $50 \%$ of the PM rate with reversal of the directional split.
5. Commercial uses associated with Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 12) have yet to be identified, therefore, the commercial space was assumed to be Specialty Retail.
6. A $20 \%$ reduction for non-auto trips generated by neighbourhood land uses has been used to account for transit, cycling and walking trips.
7. Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) indicates an average of 34\% pass-by trips for a Variety Store (Land Use 814) during the PM peak hour and there is no published rate for the AM peak hour. A $35 \%$ reduction in Specialty Retail trips was assumed for Neighbourhood A during the AM and PM peak hour, which accounts for pass-by trips on Hammonds Plains Road.

Table 3 - Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood B

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Units ${ }^{2}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Trip Generation Estimates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD B (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Rise Apartments (Land Use 221) | $\begin{aligned} & 159 \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ | Equations from Pages 74 and 75 <br> (Residential - Land Uses 200-299) |  |  |  | 14 | 40 | 42 | 27 |
| General Office (Land Use 710) | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.97 | 25 | 4 | 5 | 24 |
| Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (Land Use 853) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 20.30 | 20.30 | 24.65 | 24.65 | 61 | 61 | 74 | 74 |
| Fast-Food Restaurant without Drive-Through Window <br> (Land Use 933) | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 15.06 | 10.04 | 14.17 | 14.17 | 30 | 20 | 28 | 28 |
| Specialty Retail ${ }^{4}$ (Land Use 826) ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.7 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 0.76 | 0.60 | 1.19 | 1.52 | 20 | 16 | 31 | 40 |
| Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood B |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 141 | 180 | 193 |
| 20\% Reduction for Non-Auto Trips ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 12 | 16 | 18 |
| 10\% Reduction for Internal Trips ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 8 | 10 | 10 |
| 45\% Reduction for Commercial Pass-By Trips ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 47 | 47 | 62 | 62 |
| Primary Trip Estimate for Neighbourhood B |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 74 | 92 | 103 |

NOTES: 1. Land Use Code 210, 221, 710, 853 and 933 are from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) and Land Use Code 826 is from Trip Generation, 9th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2012).
2. 'Number of Residential Units' for Single Family Housing and Mid-Rise Apartment Buildings. 'Gross Leasable Area $\times 1000$ SF' for General Office, Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps, Fast-Food Restaurant without Drive-Through Window and Specialty Retail.
3. Rates are 'vehicles per hour per unit'; trips generated are 'vehicles per hour for peak hours'.
4. The Specialty Retail (ITE Land Use 826) rate for 'Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 PM' has been used. Since there is no published rate for the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic for this land use, and since AM peak hour trips to specialty retail are generally low, AM trip rates have been assumed to be $50 \%$ of the PM rate with reversal of the directional split.
5. Commercial uses associated with Neighbourhood B have yet to be identified, therefore, the commercial space was assumed to be a combination of General Office, Specialty Retail, Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps and Fast-Food Restaurant without Drive-Through Window.
6. A $20 \%$ reduction for non-auto trips generated by residential, office, and specialty retail land uses has been used to account for transit, cycling and walking trips.
7. A $10 \%$ reduction has be used for trips generated by the convenience market and restaurant land uses to account for cross shopping and onsite synergies between those land uses and the other land uses in the neighbourhood.
8. Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) indicates the average pass-by trips for a Convenience Market with Gasoline Pumps (Land Use 853) is $63 \%$ during the AM peak hour and is $66 \%$ during the PM peak hour. It also indicates an average of $50 \%$ pass-by trips for a Fast-Food Restaurant with a Drive-Through Window (Land Use 934), however, a drive-through is not expected at this location, therefore, it was estimated that approximately half $(25 \%)$ of trips generated to the site would be considered pass by trips. Lastly, it indicates an average of $34 \%$ pass-by trips for a Variety Store (Land Use 814). A weighted average of the associated pass-by rates was calculated to be $49 \%$, therefore, a $45 \%$ reduction in commercial trips was assumed for Neighbourhood B, which accounts for passby trips on Larry Uteck Boulevard and diverted trips from Hammonds Plains Road.

Table 4 - Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood C

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Units ${ }^{2}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Trip Generation Estimates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD C (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Office (Land Use 710) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.97 | 26 | 4 | 5 | 25 |
| Specialty Retail ${ }^{4}$ <br> (Land Use 826) ${ }^{5}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.0 \\ \text { KGLA } \end{gathered}$ | 0.76 | 0.60 | 1.19 | 1.52 | 20 | 16 | 31 | 40 |
| Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood C |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 20 | 36 | 65 |
| 20\% Reduction for Non-Auto Trips ${ }^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 | 7 | 13 |
| 30\% Reduction for Commercial Pass-By Trips ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5 | 11 | 11 |
| Primary Trip Estimate for Neighbourhood C |  |  |  |  |  | 32 | 11 | 18 | 41 |

NOTES: 1. Land Use Code 710 is from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) and Land Use Code 826 is from Trip Generation, 9th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2012).
2.'Gross Leasable Area $\times 1000$ SF' for General Office and Specialty Retail.
3. Rates are 'vehicles per hour per unit'; trips generated are 'vehicles per hour for peak hours'.
4. The Specialty Retail (ITE Land Use 826) rate for 'Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 PM' has been used. Since there is no published rate for the AM peak hour of adjacent street traffic for this land use, and since AM peak hour trips to specialty retail are generally low, AM trip rates have been assumed to be $50 \%$ of the PM rate with reversal of the directional split.
5. Commercial uses associated with Neighbourhood $C$ have yet to be identified, therefore, the commercial space was assumed to be $50 \%$ Specialty Retail and 50\% General Office.
6. A $20 \%$ reduction for non-auto trips generated by office and specialty retail land uses has been used to account for transit, cycling and walking trips.
8. Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) indicates an average of 34\% pass-by trips for a Variety Store (Land Use 814), therefore, a 30\% reduction in commercial trips was assumed for Neighbourhood C, which accounts for pass-by trips on Larry Uteck Boulevard and diverted trips from Hammonds Plains Road.

Table 5 - Trip Generation Estimates for Neighbourhood D

| Land Use ${ }^{1}$ | Units ${ }^{2}$ | Trip Generation Rates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | Trip Generation Estimates ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
|  |  | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out | In | Out |
| NEIGHBOURHOOD D (Sub Area 12) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Rise Apartments (Land Use 221) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline 905 \\ & \text { Units } \end{aligned}$ | Equations from Pages 74 and 75 <br> (Residential - Land Uses 200-299) |  |  |  | 77 | 219 | 224 | 143 |
| 20\% Reduction for Non-Auto Trips ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 44 | 45 | 29 |
| Primary Trip Estimate for Neighbourhood D |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 175 | 179 | 114 |

NOTES: 1. Land Use Code 221 is from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017).
2. 'Number of Residential Units' for Mid-Rise Apartment Buildings.
3. Rates are 'vehicles per hour per unit'; trips generated are 'vehicles per hour for peak hours'.
4. A $20 \%$ reduction for non-auto trips generated has been used for all land uses to account for transit, cycling and walking trips.

Trip Distribution and Assignment

External trips generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway network based on review of past studies and WSP's local knowledge of the area considering major trip origins and destinations in the region.

| Northeast | $40 \%$ | (Bedford, Highway 102, Lower Sackville, Burnside) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| South | $50 \%$ | (Highway 102, Halifax, Bayers Lake) |
| Northwest | $10 \%$ | (Hammonds Plains, Tantallon) |

Trips were assigned to access points along Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard based on the development concept plan and the location of access roads and driveways.

Pass-by trips generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway based on the turning movement counts available.

Trips generated by the proposed site (Figure A-3, Appendix A) have been added to the 2030 background traffic volumes (Figure A-2, Appendix A) to provide projected 2030 AM and PM peak hourly volumes that include site generated trips. The 2030 traffic volumes with the site generated trips are illustrated diagrammatically in Figure A-4, Appendix A.

## 4 INTERSECTION OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Intersection Level of Service (LOS) Analyses was completed to estimate how the Study Intersections are currently preforming and how they may be expected to operate in the future without and with site generated trips. This section of the report addresses how turning lane warrants and traffic signal warrants were conducted and how each intersection was evaluated. The following subsections identify each study intersection and summarize the results of the operational analysis.

Left-Turn Lane Warrant Analysis

Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

Intersection
Capacity
Analysis Results

Warrant/
Intersection
Capacity
Analysis
Results

Left-turn movements on a two-lane street may cause both operational and safety problems. Operational problems result as a vehicle stopped waiting for an opportunity to turn across 'heavy' opposing traffic causes a queue of stopped vehicles to form. Safety problems result from rear end collisions when a stopped left-turning vehicle is struck by an advancing vehicle, or from head-on or right-angle collisions when a left-turning vehicle is struck by an opposing vehicle.

The Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways Manual contains nomographs for leftturn lane analysis for two lane streets at unsignalized intersections. The analysis method, which is normally used by WSP Atlantic to evaluate the need for left-turn lanes, uses a series of nomographs that consider speed, advancing volumes, left-turns as a percentage of advancing volumes, and opposing volumes. A point, based on 'opposing' and 'advancing' volumes, plotted to the right of the 'warrant line' of the appropriate ' $\%$ left-turns' and 'approach speed' nomograph, indicates that a left-turn lane is warranted for the conditions used in the analysis. Similarly, a point that is plotted to the left of the warrant line indicates that a left-turn lane is not warranted.

A signal warrant analysis is completed to determine if the installation of traffic signals at an intersection will provide a positive impact on total intersection operation. That is, the benefits in time saved and improved safety that will accrue to vehicles entering from a side street will exceed the impact that signals will have in time lost and potential additional collisions for vehicles approaching the intersection on the main street.

The Canadian Traffic Signal Warrant Matrix Analysis (Transportation Association of Canada (TAC), 2005) considers 100 warrant points as an indication that traffic signals will provide a positive impact. Signal warrant analysis uses vehicular and pedestrian volumes, and intersection, roadway and Study Area characteristics to calculate a warrant point value.

Synchro 10.0 or Sidra 6.1 software were used to evaluate the performance of the Study Intersections for the following scenarios:
A. Existing 2020 AM and PM peak hour volumes;
B. Projected 2030 AM and PM peak hour volumes without site development; and,
C. Projected 2030 AM and PM peak hour volumes with site development.

Detailed results of the analyses are included in Appendix C.

Intersection 1 - Larry Uteck Boulevard and Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 (Table 6) - Based on the existing traffic control and lane configuration, traffic signal and left turn lane warrants were completed with respect to projected 2030 traffic volumes without and with site development. It was determined that:

- A northbound left turn lane is warranted without and with site development (Figure B1, Appendix B);
- A southbound left turn lane is warranted with site development (Figure B-2, Appendix B); and,
- Traffic signals are not warranted without site development (Table B-1, Appendix B) but are warranted with site development (Table B-2, Appendix B).

Warrant/ Intersection Capacity Analysis Results (Continued)

The existing intersection (stop control) operates below capacity during the AM and PM peak hours. Without site development, the intersection is expected to continue operating at a satisfactory performance during the peak hours. Traffic signals become warranted with site development and the implementation of Road 1. With the additional turning lanes and traffic signals, the intersection is expected to operate within HRM guidelines.
Intersection 2 - Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard (Table 7 and Table 8) Due to the existing traffic control and lane configuration, no additional warrants were conducted for this intersection prior to evaluating the operational performance.

The existing intersection is expected to operate within available capacity during the AM and PM peak hours. It should be noted that during the PM peak hour, the westbound through movement currently exceeds the HRM critical limit $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.86)$ and the northbound left movement also experiences a high volume-to-capacity ratio $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=0.87)$. By 2030, without site development, both movements are expected to nearly reach capacity. Minimal changes in the operational performance of this intersection are expected with the addition of Sub Areas 1 and 12.

Due to the exceeded capacity and movements beyond the HRM critical limit guidelines and continuous development in the area, this intersection was evaluated as a roundabout (Figure 5). The roundabout is expected to operate below capacity in 2030 without site development. Minimal changes in the operational performance of the roundabout are expected with the addition of Sub Areas 1 and 12. The roundabout is expected to operate within HRM guidelines.


Figure 5 - Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard Roundabout Configuration

Intersection 3 - Hammonds Plains Road and Road 8 (Table 8) - Road 8 is a planned road to provide access to Sub Areas 1 and 12 development, therefore, this intersection was only evaluated with respect to projected 2030 traffic volumes with site development. It was determined that:

- A westbound left turn lane is warranted with site development (Figure B-2, Appendix B); and,
- Traffic signals are not warranted with site development (Table B-3, Appendix B).

With the implementation of a westbound left turn lane on Hammonds Plains Road, the intersection is expected to operate within HRM guidelines.

Table 6 - Intersection Capacity Analysis for Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1


Table 7 - Intersection Capacity Analysis for Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard (Signalized)

| LOS <br> Criteria | Control Delay (sec/veh), v/c Ratio and $95^{\text {th }} \%$ ile Queue (m) by Intersection Movement |  |  |  |  |  | Overall Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammonds Plains Road |  |  |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard |  |  |
|  | EB-T | EB-R | WB-L | WB-T | NB-L | NB-R | Delay |
| 2020 AM Peak Hour with Existing Conditions (Page C-2) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 24.3 | 14.7 | 8.7 |
| v/c | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.03 |  |
| Queue | 83.4 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 24.1 | 34.7 | 4.3 |  |
| 2020 PM Peak Hour with Existing Conditions (Page C-4) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 21.2 | 3.3 | 15.3 | 34.4 | 38.3 | 15.5 | 27.1 |
| v/c | 0.56 | 0.43 | 0.04 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.02 |  |
| Queue | 79.8 | 8.1 | 4.5 | 180.1 | 178.6 | 4.5 |  |
| 2030 AM Peak Hour without Proposed Site (Page C-6) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 12.4 | 3.1 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 25.8 | 15.6 | 9.0 |
| v/c | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.03 |  |
| Queue | 97.4 | 12.2 | 2.5 | 26.5 | 38.7 | 4.5 |  |
| 2030 PM Peak Hour without Proposed Site (Page C-8) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 22.3 | 3.3 | 16.5 | 50.5 | 48.5 | 14.6 | 36.8 |
| v/c | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.02 |  |
| Queue | 94.1 | 16.3 | 4.7 | 246.4 | 200.9 | 4.3 |  |
| 2030 AM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-10) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 14.4 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 27.6 | 14.9 | 10.7 |
| v/c | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.33 |  |
| Queue | 110.1 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 32.0 | 51.9 | 23.7 |  |
| 2030 PM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-13) - Signalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 23.4 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 58.9 | 53.4 | 14.2 | 39.0 |
| v/c | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.16 |  |
| Queue | 97.7 | 17.2 | 37.8 | 251.9 | 219.7 | 21.5 |  |

Table 8 - Intersection Capacity Analysis for Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard (Roundabout)

| LOS <br> Criteria | Control Delay (sec/veh), v/c Ratio and $95^{\text {th }} \%$ ile Queue (m) by Intersection Movement |  |  |  |  |  | Overall Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammonds Plains Road |  |  |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard |  |  |
|  | EB-T | EB-R | WB-L | WB-T | NB-L | NB-R | Delay |
| 2030 AM Peak Hour without Proposed Site (Page C-15) - Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 2.2 | 2.5 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 7.1 |  |
| v/c | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.02 | 3.4 |
| Queue | 21.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 6.9 | 0.6 |  |
| 2030 PM Peak Hour without Proposed Site (Page C-15) - Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 2.2 | 2.5 | 15.6 | 7.3 | 12.2 | 5.1 |  |
| v/c | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.01 | 7.0 |
| Queue | 12.6 | 0.0 | 29.3 | 31.7 | 29.5 | 0.4 |  |
| 2030 AM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-16) - Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 2.6 | 2.5 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 13.2 | 11.0 |  |
| v/c | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 4.1 |
| Queue | 25.7 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 10.1 | 7.6 |  |
| 2030 PM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-16) - Roundabout |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 2.8 | 2.5 | 18.7 | 10.0 | 12.9 | 5.5 |  |
| v/c | 0.34 | 0.23 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.59 | 0.13 | 8.5 |
| Queue | 16.4 | 0.0 | 44.9 | 50.4 | 40.0 | 5.2 |  |

Table 9 - Intersection Capacity Analysis for Hammonds Plains Road at Road 8

| LOS <br> Criteria | Control Delay (sec/veh), v/c Ratio and 95th \%ile Queue (m) by Intersection Movement |  |  |  | Overall Intersection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hammonds Plains Road |  |  | Road 8 |  |
|  | EB-TR | WB-L | WB-T | NB-LR | Delay |
| 2030 AM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 2.5 |
| v/c | 0.53 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.42 |  |
| Queue | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 15.7 |  |
| 2030 PM Peak Hour with Proposed Site (Page C-14) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 26.2 | 1.9 |
| v/c | 0.36 | 0.11 | 0.58 | 0.35 |  |
| Queue | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 |  |

### 5.1 SUMMARY

## Description of the Proposed Development

## Proposed Site Access

## Estimation of Site Generated Trips

Trip Distribution and Assignment

1. Plans are being prepared for the development of Sub Areas 1 and 12, a proposed mixeduse development bound by Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard in West Bedford, Nova Scotia. Sub Areas 1 and 12 are expected to include approximately 2,111 apartment units, 428 single family dwellings, $26,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of institutional development and $128,700 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial development. Sub Areas 1 and 12 are anticipated to be constructed and occupied by 2030 .
2. It is expected that vehicular access to the proposed site will be provided by a new access road opposite to Blue Mountain Drive and a new street to Hammonds Plains Road. Additional access points to Hammonds Plains Road and to Larry Uteck Boulevard will be determined as development plans progress.
3. Trip generation estimates were prepared using rates published in Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017) as well as Trip Generation Manual, $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2012).

It is estimated that Sub Areas 1 and 12 will generate the following primary vehicle trips:

- 852 two-way trips (288 entering and 564 exiting) during the AM peak hour; and,
- 1088 two-way trips ( 616 entering and 472 exiting) during the PM peak hour.

4. External trips generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway network based on review of past studies and WSP's local knowledge of the area considering major trip origins and destinations in the region. Trips were distributed to the northeast (40\%), south (50\%) and northwest (10\%).

Pass-by trips generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway based on the turning movement counts available.

Upgrade Warrant Analysis
5. Left turn lane warrants were completed for Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 and for Hammonds Plains Road at Road 8. A northbound left turn lane on Larry Uteck is warranted without and with site development and a southbound left turn lane is warranted with site development. In addition, a westbound left turn lane is warranted on Hammonds Plains Road at Road 8 with site development.
6. Traffic signal warrants were completed for Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 without and with site development and Hammonds Plains Road at Road 8 with site development. It was determined that traffic signals are warranted at Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 with site development.

## Summary Intersection Capacity Analysis

7. Intersection 1 - Larry Uteck Boulevard and Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 - With the addition of a northbound and southbound left turn lane on Larry Uteck Boulevard and upgrading the traffic control to signals, this intersection is expected to operation within HRM guidelines in 2030 with site development.

Intersection 2 - Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard - The existing intersection is operating outside of HRM guidelines and is approaching capacity. Minimal changes in the operational performance are expected as a result of site development. Upgrading to a roundabout is expected to improve performance and enable the intersection operations to remain within HRM guidelines for all scenarios.

Intersection 3 - Hammonds Plains Road and Road 8 - With the addition of a westbound left turn lane on Hammonds Plains Road, this intersection is expected to operate within HRM guidelines.

### 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations 8. As site plans develop and during final design, confirmation of sightlines and access points will be required.
9. Consideration should be given to constructing northbound and southbound left turn lanes on Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive when connecting the fourth leg of the intersection (Road 1). Consideration should be given to installing conduit and underground infrastructure when installing left turn lanes on Larry Uteck Boulevard to prepare for a future signalized intersection.
10. Consideration should be given to planning for a future roundabout at the Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard intersection due to the current operational performance expected during the peak hours. The roundabout configuration is shown in Figure 5.
11. Consideration should be given to installing a westbound left turn lane on Hammonds Plains Road at Road 8 during the construction of Road 8.

### 5.3 CONCLUSIONS

Impacts to Vehicular Traffic
12. While delays have been recognized at the Hammonds Plains Road and Larry Uteck Boulevard intersection, particularly during the evening peak period, minimal changes are expected to occur as a result of trips generated by Sub Areas 1 and 12.
13. With the recommended left turn lanes and traffic signals at Road 1, and left turn lane at Road 8, external trips generated by the development are not expected to have a significant impact to levels of performance on the regional street system.

## APPENDIX

TRAFFIC VOLUME DATA

| Larry Uteck Boulevard <br> @ <br> Blue Mountain Drive <br> Bedford, Nova Scotia <br> Wednesday, April 18, 2018 |  |  |  |  | Mount |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Period Volume Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Northbound Approach |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Southbound Approach |  | Blue Mountain Drive <br> Eastbound Approach |  | Total Vehicles |
|  |  | A | B | H | I | J | L |  |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 6 | 24 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 235 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 3 | 26 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 246 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 4 | 49 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 280 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 8 | 51 | 159 | 0 | 1 | 62 | 281 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 15 | 57 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 295 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 7 | 55 | 141 | 2 | 2 | 37 | 244 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 8 | 53 | 149 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 268 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 8 | 55 | 119 | 2 | 1 | 40 | 225 |
| AM P | Hour | 30 | 183 | 680 | 0 | 1 | 208 | 1102 |
| 07:00 | 08:00 | 21 | 150 | 657 | 0 | 1 | 213 | 1042 |
| 08:00 | 09:00 | 38 | 220 | 590 | 4 | 4 | 176 | 1032 |
| Midday Peak Period Volume Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Northbound Approach |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Southbound Approach |  | Blue Mountain Drive Eastbound Approach |  | Total Vehicles |
|  |  | A | B | H | 1 | J | L |  |
| 11:00 | 11:15 | 9 | 36 | 72 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 143 |
| 11:15 | 11:30 | 7 | 51 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 131 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 14 | 35 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 116 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 15 | 59 | 66 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 152 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 18 | 69 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 159 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 10 | 53 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 149 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 14 | 75 | 69 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 169 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 12 | 56 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 128 |
| Midday Peak Hour |  | 57 | 256 | 269 | 2 | 1 | 44 | 629 |
| 11:00 | 12:00 | 45 | 181 | 257 | 2 | 1 | 56 | 542 |
| 12:00 | 13:00 | 54 | 253 | 250 | 1 | 1 | 46 | 605 |
| PM Peak Period Volume Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Northbound Approach |  | Larry Uteck Boulevard Southbound Approach |  | Blue Mountain Drive Eastbound Approach |  | Total Vehicles |
|  |  | A | B | H | 1 | J | L |  |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 43 | 129 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 243 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 50 | 148 | 82 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 302 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 48 | 135 | 86 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 288 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 58 | 134 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 286 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 62 | 151 | 86 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 324 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 62 | 121 | 87 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 294 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 42 | 118 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 263 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 41 | 104 | 84 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 250 |
| PM P | Hour | 218 | 568 | 331 | 6 | 3 | 74 | 1200 |
| 16:00 | 17:00 | 199 | 546 | 307 | 2 | 2 | 63 | 1119 |
| 17:00 | 18:00 | 207 | 494 | 338 | 12 | 2 | 78 | 1131 |



[^1]




## APPENDIX

WARRANT ANALYSES



## 2005 Canadian Traffic Signal Warrant Matrix Analysis

Table B-1 - Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive 2030 Future Background Volumes without Site Development

| Main Street (name) <br> Side Street (name) | Larry Uteck Boulevard |  |  | Direction (EW or NS) <br> Direction (EW or NS) |  |  | NS | Date: City: |  | December 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blue Mountain Drive |  |  |  |  |  | EW |  |  | West Bedford, NS |
| Lane Configuration |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{5}{7} \\ & \text { ※ } \\ & \stackrel{\leftrightarrows}{F} \end{aligned}$ |  | ® \% ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { a } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | NB | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 999 | 1 |  |  |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | SB |  |  | 1 |  |  | 590 | 1 |  |  |
| N/A | WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mountain Drive | EB |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Other input |  | Speed <br> $(\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Trucks <br> $\%$ | Bus Rt <br> $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | Median <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | NS | 80 | $2.0 \%$ | n | 0.0 |
| Blue Mountain Drive | EW | 50 | $2.0 \%$ | n |  |


|  | Ped1 | Ped2 | Ped3 | Ped4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | W Side | E Side | N Side | S side |
| $7: 00-8: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $8: 00-9: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 30-12: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 30-13: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 30-16: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $16: 30-17: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Average (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Metro Area Population | $(\#)$ | 400,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |


| Traffic Input | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT |
| 7:00-8:00 | 35 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 760 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 25 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 570 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 11:30-12:30 | 70 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 12:30-13:30 | 70 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 15:30-16:30 | 210 | 540 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 70 |
| 16:30-17:30 | 245 | 635 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 85 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 655 | 1,955 | 0 | 0 | 2,585 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 725 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 109 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 431 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 121 |



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{W}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{v}}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{F}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{L}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{2}\right] \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\\
\begin{array}{lcc}
\mathrm{W}= & 42 & 42 \\
& 0 \\
\text { NOT Warranted } & \text { Veh } & \text { Ped } \\
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## 2005 Canadian Traffic Signal Warrant Matrix Analysis

Table B-2 - Larry Uteck Boulevard at Blue Mountain Drive/Road 1 2030 Future Background Volumes without Site Development

| Main Street (name) <br> Side Street (name) | Larry Uteck Boulevard |  |  | Direction (EW or NS) <br> Direction (EW or NS) |  |  | NS | Date: City: |  | December 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Blue Mountain Drive |  |  |  |  |  | EW |  |  | West Bedford, NS |
| Lane Configuration |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 与 } \\ & \text { ※ } \\ & \stackrel{5}{F} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F } \\ & \text { \% } \\ & \text { है } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sim} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{u} \\ & \text { u } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | NB | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 999 | 1 |  |  |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | SB | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 590 | 1 |  |  |
| Road 1 | WB | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mountain Drive | EB |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other input |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Speed } \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trucks } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Bus Rt } \\ (\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Media <br> (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Larry Uteck Boulevard | NS | 80 | 2.0\% | n | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Mountain Drive | EW | 50 | 2.0\% | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Ped1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ped2 | Ped3 | Ped4 |  |
|  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | W Side | E Side | N Side | S side |
| $7: 00-8: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $8: 00-9: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 30-12: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 30-13: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 30-16: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30-17:30 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Average (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Metro Area Population | $(\mathrm{H})$ | 400,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |


| Traffic Input | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT |
| 7:00-8:00 | 35 | 295 | 55 | 15 | 895 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 235 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 25 | 220 | 40 | 10 | 670 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 175 |
| 11:30-12:30 | 70 | 270 | 55 | 15 | 350 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 12:30-13:30 | 70 | 270 | 55 | 15 | 350 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 15:30-16:30 | 210 | 665 | 135 | 35 | 430 | 5 | 90 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 70 |
| 16:30-17:30 | 245 | 785 | 160 | 40 | 505 | 5 | 105 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 85 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 655 | 2,505 | 500 | 130 | 3,200 | 10 | 585 | 0 | 170 | 10 | 0 | 725 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 109 | 418 | 83 | 22 | 533 | 2 | 98 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 121 |



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{W}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{v}}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{F}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{L}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{2}\right] \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\\
\begin{array}{lccc}
\mathrm{W}= & 132 & 132 & 0 \\
& \text { Veh } & \text { Ped } \\
\text { Warranted } & & \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## 2005 Canadian Traffic Signal Warrant Matrix Analysis

Table B-3 - Hammonds Plains Road @ Road 8
2030 Future Background Volumes with Site Development

| Main Street (name) <br> Side Street (name) | Hammonds Plains Road |  |  | Direction (EW or NS) <br> Direction (EW or NS) |  |  | EW | Date: City: |  | December 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road 8 |  |  |  |  |  | NS |  |  | West Bedford, NS |
| Lane Configuration |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { f } \\ & \text { ※ } \\ & \text { F } \end{aligned}$ |  | ® \% \% ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { va } \\ & \vec{\sim} \\ & \text { x } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Hammonds Plains Road | WB | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 800 | 1 |  |  |
| Hammonds Plains Road | EB |  |  | 1 |  |  | 999 | 1 |  |  |
| Road 8 | NB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| N/A | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Other input |  | Speed <br> $(\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Trucks <br> $\%$ | Bus Rt <br> $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | Median <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hammonds Plains Road | EW | 80 | $2.0 \%$ | n | 0.0 |
| Road 8 | NS | 50 | $2.0 \%$ | n |  |


|  | Ped1 |  |  | Ped2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ped3 | Ped4 |  |  |
|  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | W Side | E Side | N Side | S side |
| $7: 00-8: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $8: 00-9: 00$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $11: 30-12: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $12: 30-13: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $15: 30-16: 30$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30-17:30 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| Average (6-hour peak) | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elementary School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Metro Area Population | $(\mathrm{\#})$ | 400,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |


| Traffic Input | $\overline{\text { NB }}$ |  |  | $\overline{\text { SB }}$ |  |  | $\overline{W B}$ |  |  | $\overline{\text { EB }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT |
| 7:00-8:00 | 20 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 820 | 10 |
| 8:00-9:00 | 15 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 615 | 10 |
| 11:30-12:30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 340 | 10 |
| 12:30-13:30 | 10 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 310 | 0 | 0 | 340 | 10 |
| 15:30-16:30 | 15 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 765 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 20 |
| 16:30-17:30 | 15 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 530 | 25 |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 85 | 0 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 | 2,870 | 0 | 0 | 3,095 | 85 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 14 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 478 | 0 | 0 | 516 | 14 |



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{W}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{v}}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{1}+\left(\mathrm{F}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{p}}\right) \mathrm{L}\right) / \mathrm{K}_{2}\right] \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
\\
\begin{array}{lccc|}
\hline \mathbf{W}= & 35 & 35 & 0 \\
& \text { Veh } & \text { Ped } \\
\text { NOT Warranted }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## APPENDIX

INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| Movement | EBL |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | + ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\dagger}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 0 | 210 | 30 | 185 | 695 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 0 | 210 | 30 | 185 | 695 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 228 | 33 | 231 | 739 | 0 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1036 | 739 | 739 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1036 | 739 | 739 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 45 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 247 | 417 | 867 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 228 | 264 | 739 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 33 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 228 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 417 | 867 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.55 | 0.04 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 25.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 23.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | C | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 23.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 56.2\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | B |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | - EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | 4 NBL | $p$ NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | T | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | * | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 615 | 660 | 10 | 225 | 140 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 615 | 660 | 10 | 225 | 140 | 10 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.294 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1863 | 1583 | 548 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 776 |  |  |  | 7 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 691 | 776 | 11 | 247 | 161 | 11 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 10.7 | 10.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 24.3 | 14.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 11.8 | 3.2 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 24.3 | 14.7 |
| LOS | B | A | A | A | C | B |
| Approach Delay | 7.2 |  |  | 6.7 | 23.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 39.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 0.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 83.4 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 24.1 | 34.7 | 4.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 204.5 |  |  | 834.9 | 501.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 10.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1825 | 1566 | 537 | 1825 | 823 | 740 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.38 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 53.3
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.4\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd


| Movement | EBL |  | ${ }_{\text {NBL }}$ | + ${ }_{\text {NBT }}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\dagger}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{\text { SBR }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 5 | 75 | 220 | 580 | 340 | 5 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 5 | 75 | 220 | 580 | 340 | 5 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.93 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.92 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 5 | 82 | 239 | 617 | 354 | 5 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  | None | None |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1452 | 356 | 359 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1452 | 356 | 359 |  |  |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 96 | 88 | 80 |  |  |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 115 | 688 | 1200 |  |  |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 87 | 856 | 359 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 5 | 239 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 82 | 0 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 535 | 1200 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 4.6 | 5.9 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 13.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 13.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 75.8\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 83.7
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87
Intersection Signal Delay: $27.1 \quad$ Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.2\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd




Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.9
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: 9.0
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd



| Lane Group | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \text { EBT } \end{aligned}$ | EBR | WBL | - WBT | 4 NBL | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「' |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 405 | 345 | 10 | 750 | 640 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 405 | 345 | 10 | 750 | 640 | 10 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.380 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1863 | 1583 | 708 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 375 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 440 | 375 | 11 | 815 | 696 | 11 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 43.9 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 43.9 | 40.5 | 40.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.02 |
| Control Delay | 22.3 | 3.3 | 16.5 | 50.5 | 48.5 | 14.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.3 | 3.3 | 16.5 | 50.5 | 48.5 | 14.6 |
| LOS | C | A | B | D | D | B |
| Approach Delay | 13.5 |  |  | 50.1 | 48.0 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | D | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 64.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 161.1 | 127.5 | 1.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 94.1 | 16.3 | 4.7 | \#246.4 | \#200.9 | 4.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 204.5 |  |  | 834.9 | 501.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 10.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 852 | 927 | 324 | 852 | 810 | 725 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.02 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 96.4
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.9\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd


| Lane Group | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{y} \\ & \text { EBL } \end{aligned}$ | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $4$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 个 } \\ \text { NBT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} p \\ \text { NBR } \end{gathered}$ | \$ | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 235 | 148 | 0 | 43 | 35 | 295 | 54 | 14 | 894 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 235 | 148 | 0 | 43 | 35 | 295 | 54 | 14 | 894 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1611 | 0 | 1770 | 1583 | 0 | 1770 | 1820 | 0 | 1770 | 1863 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.462 |  |  | 0.127 |  |  | 0.515 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1611 | 0 | 861 | 1583 | 0 | 237 | 1820 | 0 | 959 | 1863 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 77 |  |  | 469 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 255 | 0 | 161 | 47 | 0 | 38 | 380 | 0 | 15 | 972 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 17.8 |  | 17.8 | 17.8 |  | 49.3 | 49.3 |  | 49.3 | 49.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.23 |  | 0.23 | 0.23 |  | 0.62 | 0.62 |  | 0.62 | 0.62 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.61 |  | 0.83 | 0.07 |  | 0.26 | 0.33 |  | 0.03 | 0.84 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 24.8 |  | 62.3 | 0.2 |  | 14.6 | 8.9 |  | 8.0 | 22.2 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 24.8 |  | 62.3 | 0.2 |  | 14.6 | 8.9 |  | 8.0 | 22.2 |  |
| LOS |  | C |  | E | A |  | B | A |  | A | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 24.8 |  |  | 48.3 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 24.7 |  | 24.2 | 0.0 |  | 2.4 | 24.1 |  | 0.8 | 106.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 47.4 |  | 46.9 | 0.0 |  | 11.4 | 53.7 |  | 3.9 | \#245.5 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 297.2 |  |  | 138.6 |  |  | 441.3 |  |  | 532.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 837 |  | 426 | 1020 |  | 147 | 1138 |  | 596 | 1160 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.30 |  | 0.38 | 0.05 |  | 0.26 | 0.33 |  | 0.03 | 0.84 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 79.1
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.5
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.9\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Blue Mountain Dr


| Lane Group | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \text { EBT } \end{aligned}$ | EBR | WBL | $\downarrow$ <br> WBT |  | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | T | \% | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 684 | 750 | 65 | 260 | 191 | 122 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 684 | 750 | 65 | 260 | 191 | 122 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.243 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1863 | 1583 | 453 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 815 |  |  |  | 68 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 743 | 815 | 71 | 286 | 208 | 133 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.66 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 14.4 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 27.6 | 14.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 14.4 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 7.6 | 27.6 | 14.9 |
| LOS | B | A | B | A | C | B |
| Approach Delay | 8.7 |  |  | 8.2 | 22.7 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 49.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 13.5 | 18.7 | 5.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 110.1 | 13.1 | 12.6 | 32.0 | 51.9 | 23.7 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 204.5 |  |  | 834.9 | 501.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 10.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1767 | 1543 | 429 | 1767 | 806 | 758 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 57.1
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.7
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.1\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd


WSP Canada Inc.
Synchro 10 Report December 2020


| Lane Group | EBL | $\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow \\ & \text { EBT } \end{aligned}$ | EBR | WBL | - WBT | 4 WBR | 4 NBL | ¢ | NBR | SBL | ¢ SBT | $\downarrow$ SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 85 | 104 | 0 | 29 | 245 | 785 | 159 | 42 | 503 | 5 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 0 | 85 | 104 | 0 | 29 | 245 | 785 | 159 | 42 | 503 | 5 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1619 | 0 | 1770 | 1583 | 0 | 1770 | 1816 | 0 | 1770 | 1861 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.983 |  | 0.841 |  |  | 0.422 |  |  | 0.150 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1597 | 0 | 1567 | 1583 | 0 | 786 | 1816 | 0 | 279 | 1861 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 92 |  |  | 108 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 97 | 0 | 113 | 32 | 0 | 266 | 1026 | 0 | 46 | 552 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 |  | 50.0 | 50.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 12.0 |  | 12.0 | 12.0 |  | 48.4 | 48.4 |  | 48.4 | 48.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  | 0.71 | 0.71 |  | 0.71 | 0.71 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.27 |  | 0.41 | 0.09 |  | 0.47 | 0.79 |  | 0.23 | 0.42 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.7 |  | 29.5 | 0.5 |  | 10.4 | 16.0 |  | 9.6 | 7.1 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.7 |  | 29.5 | 0.5 |  | 10.4 | 16.0 |  | 9.6 | 7.1 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  | C | A |  | B | B |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.7 |  |  | 23.1 |  |  | 14.9 |  |  | 7.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 0.6 |  | 13.5 | 0.0 |  | 15.3 | 88.0 |  | 2.1 | 29.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 11.6 |  | 27.4 | 0.0 |  | 42.1 | \#212.5 |  | 9.4 | 60.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 297.2 |  |  | 138.6 |  |  | 441.3 |  |  | 532.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  | 75.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 847 |  | 786 | 848 |  | 561 | 1301 |  | 199 | 1329 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.11 |  | 0.14 | 0.04 |  | 0.47 | 0.79 |  | 0.23 | 0.42 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.8
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.0
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.9\%
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Blue Mountain Dr


|  |  |  | / |  |  | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 417 | 395 | 125 | 761 | 677 | 103 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 417 | 395 | 125 | 761 | 677 | 103 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.361 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1863 | 1583 | 672 | 1863 | 1770 | 1583 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 429 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 453 | 429 | 136 | 827 | 736 | 112 |
| Turn Type | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Prot | Prot |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 42.6 | 42.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 23.4 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 58.9 | 53.4 | 14.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.4 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 58.9 | 53.4 | 14.2 |
| LOS | C | A | C | E | D | B |
| Approach Delay | 13.7 |  |  | 54.2 | 48.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | D | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 66.5 | 0.0 | 19.0 | $\sim 168.1$ | 140.1 | 10.6 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 97.7 | 17.2 | 37.8 | \#251.9 | \#219.7 | 21.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 204.5 |  |  | 834.9 | 501.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 100.0 |  |  | 10.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 831 | 944 | 299 | 831 | 790 | 718 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.16 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 98.6
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00
Intersection Signal Delay: $39.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 87.6\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 2: Larry Uteck Blvd \& Hammonds Plains Rd



SIDRA ANALYSIS 2030 AM \& PM PEAK HOUR WITHOUT SITE DEVELOPMENT

Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard


Table 1-2030 AM Peak Hour - Without Site Development

| Movement Performance - Vchicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov | OD |  | Demand Flows | Dcg | Average | Level of | 95\% Brak of Cueve |  |
| 10 | Mov | Totat | HV | Satn | Detay | Sence | Vehictes | Ditance |
|  |  | vehh | $\chi$ | vic | sec |  | wh | m |
| South Larry Uteck |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 12 | 163 | 20 | 0.151 | 13.1 | LOS B | 1.0 | 69 |
| 3 | R2 | 11 | 20 | 0.015 | 7.1 | LOSA | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| Approach |  | 174 | 20 | 0.151 | 12.7 | LOS B | 1.0 | 6.9 |
| East Hammonds Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | 11 | 2.0 | 0.100 | 10.3 | Los B | 0.6 | 4.1 |
| 5 | T1 | 266 | 20 | 0.100 | 2.8 | LOSA | 0.6 | 4.3 |
| Approach |  | 277 | 20 | 0.100 | 3.1 | LOSA | 0.6 | 4.3 |
| West Hammonds Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T1 | 739 | 2.0 | 0.435 | 2.2 | LOSA | 3.0 | 21.0 |
| 12 | R2 | 788 | 20 | 0.415 | 2.5 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach |  | 1527 | 2.0 | 0.435 | 2.4 | LOSA | 3.0 | 21.0 |
| All Vehicles |  | 1978 | 2.0 | 0.435 | 3.4 | LOSA | 3.0 | 21.0 |

Table 2-2030 PM Peak Hour - Without Site Development


SIDRA ANALYSIS 2030 AM \& PM PEAK HOUR WITH SITE DEVELOPMENT

Hammonds Plains Road at Larry Uteck Boulevard


Table 3-2030 AM Peak Hour - With Site Development


Table 4-2030 PM Peak Hour - With Site Development

| Movement Performance - Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mov | OD |  | Demand Flows | Deg | Average | Level of | 95\% Back of Cueve |  |
| ID | Mov | Total vehth | $\begin{gathered} \text { HV } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Satn } \\ \text { v/c } \end{gathered}$ | Delay sec | Service | Vehicles weh | Distance m |
| South: Larry Uteck |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | L2 | 736 | 2.0 | 0.588 | 12.9 | LOS B | 5.6 | 40.0 |
| 3 | R2 | 112 | 2.0 | 0.132 | 5.5 | LOSA | 0.7 | 5.2 |
| Appro |  | 848 | 2.0 | 0.588 | 11.9 | LOS B | 5.6 | 40.0 |
| East. Hammonds Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | L2 | 136 | 2.0 | 0.610 | 18.7 | LOS B | 6.3 | 44.9 |
| 5 | T1 | 827 | 2.0 | 0.610 | 10.0 | LOSA | 7.1 | 50.4 |
| Appro |  | 963 | 2.0 | 0.610 | 11.2 | LOS B | 7.1 | 50.4 |
| West Hammonds Plains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | T1 | 453 | 2.0 | 0.341 | 2.8 | LOSA | 2.3 | 16.4 |
| 12 | R2 | 429 | 2.0 | 0.226 | 2.5 | LOSA | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Appro |  | 883 | 2.0 | 0.341 | 2.6 | LOSA | 2.3 | 16.4 |
| All Ve |  | 2693 | 2.0 | 0.610 | 8.6 | LOSA | 7.1 | 50.4 |


[^0]:    NOTES: 1. Land Use Code 210 and 221 are from Trip Generation, 10th Edition, (Institute of Transportation Engineers, Washington, 2017).
    2. 'Number of Residential Units' for Single Family Housing and Mid-Rise Apartment Buildings.
    3. Rates are 'vehicles per hour per unit'; trips generated are 'vehicles per hour for peak hours'.
    4. Currently on the north side of Larry Uteck Boulevard, along frontage of proposed Neighbourhood A (Sub Area 1), there are 8 single family homes that are expected to be replaced with high density apartment buildings. The existing single family homes were applied as a credit to the trip generation estimate in order to determine the number of new trips generated by the redevelopment.
    5. A $20 \%$ reduction for non-auto trips generated by neighbourhood land uses has been used to account for transit, cycling and walking trips.

[^1]:    * Count not completed by WSP

