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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## LAKE LOON DEVELOPMENT

This study was prepared to identify the anticipated impacts of the proposed Lake Loon development on the surrounding roadway and active transportation networks. The development is located along the Lake Loon waterfront in Dartmouth, Nova Scotia and consists of about 300 units in a dedicated Retirement Living Complex, and about 300 Seniors Apartments in a second building that is targeted as complementary senior adult housing.

The development is located immediately adjacent to the Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway / Forest Hills Extension transportation corridors and has direct access to Main Street at two locations, including the existing Loonview Lane. Loonview was constructed to service an earlier proposed development on these lands, of which one small residential building was constructed on the site at that time and is fully functional. Since then, the lands have been consolidated and re-envisioned as presented in this report.

It is recognized that Main Street is a busy corridor and occasionally experiences some congestion during peak hours. It is also recognized that the current zoning allows for a variety of different land uses that could potentially generate significantly more traffic than is being proposed in this report. The current proposed development strives to find a balance between: a reasonable level of density to make the redevelopment of the lands financially feasible; a location close to major transportation routes and commercial centers to limit kilometers traveled from more distant development areas; and, land uses that complement the surrounding area.

The study shows that the retirement living complex has very low trip generation rates that contribute relatively few peak hour trips to the road network. The remaining residential units are also oriented towards an adult seniors
population and are therefore expected to result in peak hour trip generation rates lower than typical residential land uses

In the overall context of the Main Street corridor, the critical intersection is the Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway / Extension intersection due to the substantial volumes on all four legs of the intersection. All other intersections and driveways experience heavy peak directional movements on Main Street (westbound in the AM peak and eastbound in the PM peak) though side street volumes are comparatively low and function at reasonable levels of service.

Corridor operations benefit significantly by the presence of 3 sets of traffic signals in relatively close proximity ( $\sim 160$ meters between Forest Hills and Panavista, and ~500 meters between Panavista and Montague), which generates frequent gaps in traffic on Main Street. These gaps aid in allowing side street traffic from the various roads and driveways connecting to Main Street to operate with reasonable levels of service.

At the Forest Hills / Main Street intersection, changes in all measures of performance related to the proposed
development are negligible given the magnitude of new development traffic compared to the existing and future traffic already on the road network. The results show that the intersection occasionally operates near capacity today, and will continue to do so in the future in the absence of network upgrades. It is expected that with the development and background traffic in place, future operations in the corridor will be indistinguishable from current operations.

It is understood that HRM is currently in the process of reviewing the Main Street corridor for potential improvements. This parcel of land near the Forest Hills / Main Street intersection is being developed in a manner that respects the existing characteristics of the Main Street corridor, and presents a number of opportunities to help facilitate improvements that could be mutually beneficial to HRM, Nova Scotia Public Works and the various land owners in area. This could include considerations such as improvements to traffic signal technologies, improvements to active transportation trails and sidewalks, or intersection geometric improvements to aid in capacity accommodation.

We trust that this report satisfies the Halifax Regional Municipalities requirements for the preparation of a development Transportation Impact Study. Should there be any questions or comments regarding the content of the study, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Roger Boychuk • P Eng • Senior Transportation Engineer www.fathomstudio.ca (formerly Ekistics Planning \& Design and Form:Media) 1 Starr Lane, Dartmouth, NS B2Y 4V7
902.233.1152 [mobile]

## 01. Introduction and Existing Conditions



### 1.1 Background and Context

This study was prepared to determine the anticipated impacts of a proposed development located in the northeast quadrant of the Main Street intersection with the Forest Hills Parkway / Forest Hills Extension in Dartmouth, Nova Scotia. This proposal represents the consolidation of number of land parcels to supplement past construction that has occurred on one of the sites. Past development includes two, 2-story multi-unit residential buildings and the construction of the Loonview Lane connection to Main Street.

This area has been subject to a variety of development proposals over the past decade and traffic studies have been previously prepared by SNC-Lavalin (2011 and 2013) and Genivar (2013). A supplementary access options report was also prepared for this area by WSP (2017).

The larger undeveloped portion of land proposed to include a seniors retirement living complex and a multi-unit residential building targeted toward adult senior living. The development will be accessed by two connection points with the main access at the existing Loonview Lane, and a second access point is proposed at a location similar to the driveway that was used for access to the former multi-tenant commercial complex that was demolished in 2014.

These access points are located between three sets of Main Street traffic signals located at Forest Hills Parkway/Extension, the Sobeys entrance at Panavista Drive, and the Monteague Road/Hillsboro Drive intersection. The area is commercial in nature and is a primary commuter route with direct connections to major roadway corridors in all directions.


### 1.2 Study Area

The development fronts onto Main Street and is in close proximity to the Forest Hills Parkway / Extension located immediately to the west of the site. To effectively determine the potential impacts of the development and the ability of the transportation network to accommodate the proposed development, the study area extends from the intersection of Main Street and Forest Hills, to the intersection of Main Street with Montague Road / Hillsboro Drive. Beyond these points, development traffic is too insignificant to have any discernible impacts.

### 1.3 Roadway Infrastructure

## Main Street

Main Street (Route 7) is a major arterial roadway extending from the Circumferential Highway (Highway 111) at its east end and transitions to Highway 107 extending to Musquodoboit Harbour close to 25 kilometers to the east. In the vicinity of the development, Main Street is a 4-lane undivided roadway with left turn auxiliary lanes. The left turn lanes are configured as dedicated left turn lanes between Forest Hills and Panavista Drive and transition to mostly two-way-left turn lanes east of Panavista.

Concrete sidewalks are present on both sides of the roadway separated by a grassed boulevard in most areas with the exception of approximately 80 meters of sidewalk on the north side of Main Street adjacent to the development site where it is placed directly on the back of the curb. The signalized intersections at Panavista and Forest Hills are about 160 meters apart, and the Panavista Signals are about 500 meters away from the Montague signals to the west. There are numerous commercial driveways along this section of roadway

## Forest Hills Parkway / Forest Hills Extension

The Forest Hills Extension between Main Street and north to Highway 118 is a two-lane highway with rural cross section and a posted speed of $100 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{hr}$. It is an access controlled highway connecting Portland Street and Main Street to the Burnside Industrial Park, and in the near future, to the Burnside Connector with access directly to Highway 102

South of Main Street, Forest Hills Parkway is two-lane urban undivided roadway connecting Main Street to Portland Street approximately 2.6 kilometers to the south. There are multiple signalized intersections with dedicated left turn lanes and additional intersections servicing the surrounding
 residential neighbourhoods on either side of the road. Over the past couple years, a new off-road multi-use trail has been constructed along the east side of Forest Hills


Parkway between Main Street and Portland Street and includes direct access to the various Cole Harbour Place facilities adjacent to the roadway.



### 1.4 Other Transportation Infrastructure

## Active Transportation

The HRM active transportation web site shows a variety of existing and future pedestrian and cycling facilities through the project area. Existing sidewalks are in place in many locations but do not provide a consistent level of connectivity and facility type. For example, sidewalks on Main Street adjacent to the development do not include a boulevard for separation in some locations, and the northeast crossing of the Forest Hills / Main intersection terminates at an informal gravel/mud pathway leading to the commercial complex on that corner.

Sidewalks west of Forest Hills exist only on the south side of Main Street and sidewalks along Forest Hills Parkway south of Main Street terminate at Cedarwood Drive. That said, this south portion of the Forest Hill Parkway has just undergone a significant upgrade to include a full multi-use trail along the east side of the street that extends from Main Street to Portland Street. The figure below was taken from the Candidate Bicycle Routes and Greenway


Network maps available on the HRM website and shows that this recent upgrade (purple line) fulfills a portion of the envisioned AT network in this area. The figure also shows:

- Existing on-road bike lanes currently along much of Main Street (yellow lines), though gaps exist between MacLaughlin / Broom Road and Montague / Hillsboro Drive, as well as between Loonview Lane and Forest Hills.
- The extension of the Trans Canada Trail on the west side of the Forest Hills Extension is a significant future AT initiative for the area.

The figure below and to the right, shows the future intent of AT connectivity through this area including completion of facilities along Main Street past the development


## Transit

Transit routes existing within the vicinity of the development, through a direct route past the site is not available at present. Existing service includes routes 61 and 68 that travel through the Hillsboro / Montague / Main Street intersection about 450 metes to the east of the development, and routes 62, 68 and 78 that pass through the intersection of the Forest Hills Parkway with Taranaki / Flying Cloud Drive about 500 meters to the south of the development.

Discussions contained within the Halifax Transit Moving Forward Together Plan suggest that transit demands do not clearly identify the need for a route along Main Street and at this time, it is our understanding the no additional transit services are planned for the portions of Main Street adjacent to the development. With respect to the development, the developer has indicated that there will be a shuttle service provided for residents wishing to access offsite destinations individually or as small groups.


## Truck Routes

Data contained on the HRM Open Data web portal indicated that Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway adjacent to the development are designated as full time truck routes as per By-Law T400, Respecting The Establishment Of Truck Routes For Certain Trucking Motor Vehicles Within The Halifax Regional Municipality.

The truck routes are shown in blue below. While they do not extend along Forest Hills Extension to the north of Main Street as this section of roadway falls under Provincial jurisdiction, the Forest Hills Extension is a designated truck route providing direct access to Highway 118 and the Burnside Industrial Park.


02 Existing and Future Traffic Conditions

### 2.1 Existing Traffic

Recent traffic count data was obtained from the Halifax Regional Municipality and included data between 2014 and 2019. Counts were included for the following intersections:

- Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway / Extension (2016 and 2017),
- Main Street and Panavista Drive (2014 and 2017),
- Main Street and Hillsboro Drive / Montague Road (2019),
- Forest Hills Parkway and Taranaki / Flying Cloud Drive (2014), and
- Main Street and Ridgecrest Drive (2017)

To supplement this data, additional counts were carried out at the Main / Forest Hills, and the Main / Panavista intersections in April 2021 prior to the most recent shutdown. Counts were performed between 7:30 to 9:30 AM, 11:30 AM to 1:30 PM and 3:30 to 6:30 PM. Based on previous traffic count data, raw counts were processed for the relevant periods surrounding AM and PM peak hour with a summary of the processed data as well as the AM and PM peak hour data being presented in Appendix A of the report.

The challenge with the most recent counts volumes are understanding the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic response which has generally reduced traffic volumes across North America. At the time of the counts, volumes on the road network appeared to be closer to normal peak hour conditions than during shutdown periods, though it was not possible to confirm their accuracy relative to pre-pandemic conditions. Similarly, the 2017 pre-pandemic volumes are available but challenging to establish a accurate growth rate that may have reasonably occurred between 2017 and 2021.

For the purposes of this study, both sets of volumes were reviewed and reasonable assumptions were made in order to estimate practical traffic volumes to use for analysis purposes.


### 2.2 Project Time Horizons

The analysis establishes a 2021 base year with the overall development expected to be constructed over the next 5-year time horizon. For the purposes of evaluating future traffic impacts, a 10-year time horizon extending to 2031 (built out +5 years) was assumed.

### 2.3 Analysis Periods

The development is located in the middle of a commercial corridor that also serve as primary commuter routes during weekday travel periods. As such, both weekday and weekend traffic is significant, though the highest volumes along the corridor can consistently be found during the weekday commuter peak hours. Therefore, the AM and PM weekday peak hours have been selected as the analysis periods for the study.

## $2.4 \quad$ Traffic Growth

Estimating future traffic growth has become a more challenging endeavor given a wide variety of impacts that affect travel decisions. Some of these considerations include:

- Timing and extent of recovery from the COVID related impacts to the traffic network, including impacts on transit, AT traffic and vehicle traffic;
- Shifts to alternate modes of travel (both natural and COVID induced) including aggressive initiatives and investment by HRM in AT and transit shifts;
- Increasing opportunities for alternate work arrangements including work from home opportunities, flexible travel arrangements and location options;
- Natural wider area traffic growth;
- Technology shifts toward electric automobile and self-driving vehicles;
- The pending opening of the Burnside Connector; and,
- Future road improvements including the potential for the Cherry Brook Connector

To quantify all of these in a meaningful manner is very challenging and speculative at best, though there are a number of criteria that point towards relatively low average annual growth rates in this study area:

1. Review of historical NSPW counts on the Forest Hills Extension near the development suggest growth in traffic between 2012 and 2017 but then limited growth (or potentially a negative growth rate) between 2017 and 2021. Historic counts along Main Street east of the site are in the range of 0.5-0.7\%.
2. The combination of limited route options and frequent congestion on Main Street discourage peak hour growth and typically result in the spreading of peak traffic volumes over a longer period of time. This limits growth potential within the critical peak hours.
3. Areas feeding traffic growth on Main Street have not been identified as primary growth areas in the regional planning process. This limits growth opportunities that factor into traffic growth projections.
4. Longer term growth projections used in the Downtown Dartmouth Traffic Study used annual growth rates of $0.5 \%$ based guidance from HRM using their longer term regional planning modeling exercises. While the study was carried out a number of years ago, the underlying growth principles for this area remain similar.

For these reasons, this study was completed considering a sensitivity analysis approach in order to identify key triggers in the network that suggest certain upgrades or modifications to existing infrastructure. Baseline volumes were established for 2021 by consolidating historical and recent traffic counts and adjusting to the 2021 base year. In consideration of the above noted future growth impacts, most of which are likely to limit vehicular traffic growth, an annual traffic growth rate of $0.5 \%$ was applied to all traffic along the corridor to account for general background traffic growth above and beyond what is accounted for specifically by additional development traffic.

03 Proposed Development


## Proposed Development

The proposed site is focused around the construction of an approximately 300 -unit seniors retirement living complex (Building B) and an additional 300 units aimed towards seniors residential apartments (Building A). Both buildings are serviced by surface and underground parking structures with access to both Loonview Lane and to a new driveway located just west of the existing Fast Fuels development. This secondary driveway is in approximately the same location as the driveway that previously served the commercial development on the same parcel of land as Building B up to 2014.

The development is expected to include a variety of resident-use only amenities and services, and may include: a dining rooms; bistro/bar; small convenience store; hair salon; spa / wellness facilities; pool / sauna; fitness facilities / exercise classes; golf simulator; on-site medical; theatre; chapel; activity rooms; courts (badminton, pickleball, etc...); hobby kitchens / cooking classes / family dining functions; package delivery systems to facilitate on line shopping; and, outdoor amenity spaces.

## 3.1 <br> Trip Generation

## Trips Generated by the Development

New trips generated by the development were estimated based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Guide (10th Edition). The trip generation table below shows the anticipated new trips to and from the road network for a 300-unit retirement living complex, and a 300-unit seniors apartment building. Due to the nature of the development and proposed land uses, peak hour trip generation rates are relatively low as compared to regular residential apartments or condominiums.

## Transit and Active Transportation Impacts

The development is located in close proximity to major transportation routes, though at this time does not have a transit route directly adjacent to the development. It is expected that residents of the seniors buildings and their visitors will have a low likelihood of using transit services, particularly with a 400-500 meter walking requirement to the nearest stop locations. It is more likely that residents will utilized the proposed shuttle services provided by the development, taxis or public shuttle services to access off-site destinations, with such services being unlikely to impact the overall number of vehicle trips to and from the site. For the purposes of this study, no further reductions in trip generation rates were applied for increased bus service. Note that this assumption could change if more direct transit service were to be provided adjacent to this development.

With respect to AT traffic, again some uptake is expected primarily from the residential components of the development. Similar to transit, modal shares to AT are expected to be consistent with similar developments and therefore have some modal split already accounted for in the trip generation rates below. Therefore, no further reductions to trip generation rates were accounted for due to active transportation uptake.

| Land Use | Trip Code | $\begin{gathered} \# \\ \text { Units } \end{gathered}$ | Variable | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Enter | Exit | TOTAL | Enter | Exit | TOTAL |
| Retirement Living Complex | 253 | 300 | Units | 10 | 7 | 17 | 25 | 22 | 47 |
| Seniors Apartments | 252 | 300 | Units | 21 | 39 | 60 | 41 | 33 | 74 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | 31 | 46 | 77 | 66 | 55 | 121 |

## $3.2 \quad$ Trip Distribution and Assignment



## Trip Distribution

Trips to and from the proposed site are expected to distribute themselves in a manner similar to today's traffic distribution. The distributions in the above figure were based on existing movements at various intersections throughout the study area which consistently suggest that movements to and from Main Street occur at a ratio of about $75 \%$ to and from the west and $25 \%$ to and from the east. At the intersections, existing trip distribution by movement was used to further distribute traffic through the network. These assumption were further evaluated based on field observations and assumptions regarding logical route choices to and from the development.

## Trip Assignment

The new traffic volumes to and from the development were assigned to the road network based on the most logical access points to the site given the above distribution and the portion of units located in each segment of the site. The assignment process also assumed that the west site driveway is configured as a right-in, right-out access only. The traffic volume assignments used in the analysis included in Appendix C of this report and summarized on the following page.

## AM Peak Hour Trip Summaries

The figure to the right shows the
breakdown of traffic volumes composing the full 2031 analysis volumes during the AM
peak period. This includes:

- 2021 Baseline Volumes
- Development Volumes
- Background traffic growth between 2021 and 2031


PM Peak Hour Trip Summaries
The figure to the right shows the
breakdown of traffic volumes composing the full 2031 analysis volumes during the PM peak period. This includes:

- 2021 Baseline Volumes
- Development Volumes
- Background traffic growth between 2021 and 2031



## 04 Transportation Analysis



## $4.1 \quad$ Transportation Modeling

A detailed traffic model was prepared using the Synchro/SimTraffic (v.11) platform for the weekday AM and PM peak hours of analysis. The model was used to gain insight into operations and capacity utilization at the various intersections directly impacted by the proposed development under each of the traffic loading scenarios. The analysis included the following models for each of the AM and PM peak hours:

- 2021 Baseline conditions,
- 2026 conditions with development traffic only,
- 2031 future conditions with background traffic only, and
- 2031 Future conditions with background and full development traffic.

The model preparation utilized the Traffic Impact Analysis tool set contained within the Synchro model to distribute development traffic throughout the study area and for the application of future growth of background traffic. The figure below identifies the main intersections reviewed in the analysis.

The results of the modeling exercise are summarized by individual intersection for both the AM and PM peak hours. Results are shown in both graphical format and tabular form where required to allow for the quick comparison of key performance criteria between the different analysis scenarios. All sections include supporting text that highlights key considerations at the intersection and connecting roadways.

Key performance indicators used in this report include:

- Peak hour volumes (vehicles / hour) by intersection turning movement,
- Volume to capacity ratios (V/C) by movement,
- Average Delay (sec/vehicle), and
- $95 \%$ Queue lengths.

The summary figures and tables are followed by a brief discussion of the results for each peak period at each intersection highlighting key findings. Additional details are provided in the Synchro reports provided in Appendix D of this report.

### 4.2 Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway / Extension

The Forest Hills intersection with Main Street is the highest volume intersection in the corridor due to competing demands on both Main Street and Forest Hills. The modeling exercise suggests that during the AM peak hours, the heaviest movements in the westbound and northbound direction operate near capacity today and operate at similar levels throughout all future scenarios. During the PM peak hour, the same characteristics are evident between the eastbound and southbound movements.

Field observations confirm that delay and queuing patterns at the intersection vary day to day depending on travel patterns and times, occasionally resulting in some congestion on a leg of the intersection Daily fluctuations in travel patterns make it challenging to identify any regular pattern of congestion though it is clear that the "smarter" the traffic signals are at managing queuing, the better the intersection

| Main and Forest Hills AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { NBL }}{4} \underset{\text { NBT }}{\rightarrow}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | T |  |  |  |  | F |  |
| Ni天 | Vol, veh/h |  |  |  | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.93 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.18 | 0.34 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 79.4 | 25 | 4.4 | 18.8 | 41.5 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3 | 43.5 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 55.2 | 42.7 | 14.4 | 17 | 187.2 |  | 42.6 | 188.5 | 6.3 | 28.6 |  |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 130 | 371 | 170 | 73 | 936 | 522 | 340 | 540 | 100 | 183 | 140 | 35 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.94 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.19 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 79.7 | 25.1 | 4.4 | 19 | 85.4 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3.4 | 43.7 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 55.2 | 43.8 | 14.4 | 18.6 | 193.6 |  | 42.6 | 188.5 | 7.5 | 29.7 |  |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 137 | 378 | 179 | 68 | 967 | 536 | 357 | 568 | 100 | 184 | 147 | 37 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.96 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.97 |  | 0.35 | 1 | 0.19 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 98.5 | 25.2 | 4.4 | 19 | 49.2 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 3.4 | 43.5 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 59 | 44.7 | 14.7 | 17.6 | 205.1 |  | 44.6 | 202.7 | 7.5 | 30 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\mathbb{~}} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \\ & \stackrel{y}{c} \end{aligned}$ | Vol, veh/h | 137 | 390 | 179 | 77 | 984 | 549 | 357 | 568 | 105 | 192 | 147 | 37 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.96 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.99 |  | 0.35 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.36 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 98.5 | 25.4 | 4.4 | 19.3 | 53.2 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 4 | 43.7 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 59 | 46.1 | 14.7 | 19.5 | 212 |  | 44.6 | 202.7 | 8.6 | 31 |  |  | performs.

Detailed analysis results are provided in the tables to the right for both the AM and PM peak hours and show that outside of these peak direction movements, most movements operate at reasonable levels of service. To understand the overall impact the background and development traffic on the intersection as a whole, total intersection capacity utilization was reviewed. During the AM peak hour, overall intersection volume to capacity ratio remains at 0.94 under existing conditions as well as under the 2031 scenario with both background and development traffic added. During the PM peak hour, similar results are found with the intersection volume to capacity ratio staying consistent at 0.84 through all analysis scenarios.

These results suggest that the actuated signal control of the intersection provides some flexibility in overall delay and capacity

|  | Main and Forest Hills PM PEAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \% |  |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 35 | 1080 | 350 |  |  |  | 200 | 550 | 210 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 390 | 85 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.9 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.51 |  | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.9 |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 19 | 46.6 | 4.7 | 49.2 | 22.2 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 15.4 | 39.8 | 61 |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 10.5 | 163.1 | 20.3 | 70.5 | 90.1 |  | 33.9 | 84.3 | 28.2 | 93.5 | 164 |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 35 | 1103 | 350 | 208 | 570 | 224 | 215 | 240 | 210 | 716 | 390 | 85 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.92 | 0.43 | 0.87 | 0.54 |  | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.56 | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 19.2 | 49.4 | 4.7 | 51.9 | 22.6 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 17 | 40.3 | 61 |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 10.5 | 169 | 20.3 | 74.4 | 94.9 |  | 33.9 | 84.3 | 31.1 | 96 | 164 |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 37 | 1135 | 368 | 210 | 578 | 221 | 226 | 252 | 210 | 736 | 410 | 89 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.96 | 0.45 | 0.91 | 0.55 |  | 0.37 | 0.77 | 0.56 | 0.7 | 0.9 |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 19.5 | 55.1 | 4.8 | 58.4 | 23.1 |  | 42.4 | 60.4 | 16.9 | 40.1 | 65 |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 10.9 | 177.2 | 20.8 | 74.8 | 96.1 |  | 35.3 | 90.9 | 31.1 | 98.9 | 17 |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 37 | 1159 | 368 | 219 | 599 | 235 | 226 | 252 | 221 | 753 | 410 | 89 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.98 | 0.45 | 0.94 | 0.58 |  | 0.37 | 0.77 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.9 |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 19.6 | 59 | 4.8 | 66.4 | 23.5 |  | 42.4 | 60.4 | 18.8 | 40.6 | 65 |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 10.9 | 183.1 | 20.8 | 80.7 | 101 |  | 35.3 | 90.9 | 34.9 | 101.7 | 17 |  | utilization as volume peaks vary from day-to-day. As with any busy intersections, there are natural level of peak hour spreading and alternate route selection that tends to balance capacity utilization at such intersections, and it is expected that such phenomenon will maintain this intersection at a similar level of capacity utilization in the future.

### 4.3 Main Street and Panavista Drive

Peak direction volumes on Main Street remain high during the peak hours with the highest volumes occurring the in westbound (inbound) direction during the AM peak hour, and the eastbound (outbound direction during the PM peak hour). These volumes result in peak movement capacity utilization of about $90 \%$.

Side road volumes from the Sobeys / Panavista and the Fast Fuels approaches are very low requiring only minimum green time allocations required for pedestrian crossing accommodation. This allows the majority of green time to be attributed to Main Street movements which helps limit delays and queues on Main Street. Two key factors need to be considered and balanced at this location:

1. Traffic signal timing needs to be optimized to maximize green time to Main Street movements, but at the same time they should not be too long resulting in excessive delays for side street movements; and,
2. Coordination of green time progression between these signals and the Main / Forest Hills intersection signals is essential to minimize the potential of eastbound queuing at the Panavista / Sobeys intersection interfering with (reducing the capacity of) the eastbound through and southbound left movements at the Forest Hills intersection.

| Main and Panavista AM PEAK |  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $\nsim$ |  | NBL |  |  | SBL | $\frac{\downarrow}{\mathrm{SBT}}$ | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{5 B R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 种 |  |  | 7 4 ¢ |  |  | \% A |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | Vol, veh/h | 15 | 600 | 15 | 25 | 1415 | 60 | 21 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 63 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.52 |  | 0.13 | 0.84 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |  | 0.13 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 20.4 | 20.5 |  | 30.2 | 20.6 |  | 13.8 | 9 |  | 11.5 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 6 | 50.1 |  | 10 | 115.6 |  | 5.9 | 3.9 |  | 13.6 |  |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 15 | 624 | 15 | 25 | 1438 | 60 | 21 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 63 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.54 |  | 0.13 | 0.85 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |  | 0.13 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 20.4 | 20.8 |  | 30.2 | 21.3 |  | 13.8 | 9 |  | 11.6 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 6 | 52.2 |  | 10 | 118.7 |  | 5.9 | 3.9 |  | 13.7 |  |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 16 | 631 | 16 | 26 | 1487 | 63 | 22 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 66 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.55 |  | 0.14 | 0.88 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.14 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 20.7 | 20.9 |  | 30.3 | 23.1 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  | 12.2 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 6.3 | 52.9 |  | 10.1 | 134.8 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 14.6 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 子 } \\ & \text { • } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | Vol, veh/h | 16 | 656 | 16 | 26 | 1512 | 63 | 22 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 5 | 66 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.57 |  | 0.14 | 0.89 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  | 0.14 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 20.7 | 21.2 |  | 30.3 | 24.2 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  | 12.3 |  |  |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 6.3 | 55.3 |  | 10.1 | 147.9 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 14.7 |  |  |



## 4．4 Main Street and Montague Road／Hillsboro Drive

Volumes at the Montague／Hillsboro intersection are significantly lower than those at the Forest Hills intersection，with the exception of peak direction through movements on Main Street．This intersection also sees a much smaller portion of development related traffic as the majority of development traffic is destined to and from the west．

Similar to the Panavista intersection，side road volumes on Montague and Hillsborough are significantly lower than the volumes on Main Street again resulting in the majority of green time being attributed to Main Street movements．

The highest volumes on Montague and Hillsboro are for the left and right turn movements and volumes suggest that simple full movement green phases in the north and southbound direction will be adequate to accommodate the left turn movements onto Main Street．

Similar to the Panavista intersection，signal optimization to balance maximum green time allocation to Main Street while not causing excessive delays on the side streets is important．Unlike Panavista， there is limited need to coordinate traffic signal timing between adjacent intersection due to the distance between Panavista and Montague／Hillsboro combined with the operational impacts related to the numerous driveways and commercial interests along the corridor．

| Main and Montague／ Hillsboro AM PEAK |  | EBL | $\rightarrow$ | E日月 | WBL | 廿- |  | NBL |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{N}$ | SOL | $\frac{\downarrow}{S B T}$ | $\frac{\downarrow}{S B A}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \％ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | T | 7 | 性 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| N. | Vol，veh／h | 58 | 547 | 53 | 32 | 1384 | 126 | 139 | 56 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 67 |
|  | V／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay（s／veh） | 9.7 | 12 | 1 | 6.9 | 23 |  | 35.4 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  |
|  | 95th \％Queue（m） | 8.2 | 40.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 152.8 |  | 56.3 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  |
|  | Vol，veh／h | 60 | 555 | 55 | 32 | 1391 | 126 | 141 | 56 | 17 | 11 | 17 | 69 |
|  | V／C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay（s／veh） | 9.9 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 23.2 |  | 35.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  |
|  | 95th \％Queue（m） | 8.5 | 41 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 153.8 |  | 56.9 |  |  | 15.9 |  |  |
|  | Vol，veh／h | 61 | 576 | 56 | 34 | 1455 | 133 | 146 | 59 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 70 |
|  | V／C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  | 0.6 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay（s／veh） | 10 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 25.3 |  | 36.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  |
|  | 95th \％Queue（m） | 8.5 | 42.7 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 185 |  | 59.3 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\substack{k}}{\stackrel{N}{N}} \end{aligned}$ | Vol，veh／h | 63 | 583 | 58 | 34 | 1462 | 133 | 148 | 59 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 72 |
|  | V／C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.3 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.22 |  |  |
|  | Control Delay（s／veh） | 10.1 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 25.5 |  | 36.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  |
|  | 95th \％Queue（m） | 8.8 | 43.2 | 3 | 5.6 | 186.4 |  | 60 |  |  | 16.6 |  |  |



### 4.5 Development Driveways - Loonview Lane

The tables to the right show the analysis results for the intersection of Main Street with Loonview Lane. This intersection was constructed as a stop controlled, full movement intersection connecting to Main Street, though it is noted that a stop sign is not presently installed on the southbound Loonview Lane approach. The modeling work for this report assumed a single enter lane and single exit lane to accommodates left turn and right turn movements to and from Main Street. The left turn entry movement to Loonview Lane also assumes the presence of a dedicated left turn lane on Main Street. This lane is already present in the form of a two-way left turn lane which services the existing Loonview Lane, the Gateway Meat Market, and the automotive / barbershop commercial complex on the east side of Loonview Lane. In its current configuration, it also suggests access to the east driveway of the Esso gas station on the south side of Main Street.

The Esso has two driveways and entering left turns are only permitted at the west driveway (closest to Panavista). The eastern-most driveway has a variety of internal conflict points near Main Street and therefore has been restricted to exiting movements only using signage and pavement markings. Pavement markings on Main Street conflict with these restrictions and suggest that a left turn movement is permitted. It is recommended that the center lane left

| East Driveway AM Peak |  |  | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\downarrow}{4 B R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  | * ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  | M |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 5 | 674 | 1717 | 5 | 5 | 11 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.67 | 0.34 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 13.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 32 | 674 | 1723 | 11 | 17 | 30 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.2 | 0.68 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 13.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.6 | 16.6 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 1.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 5 | 709 | 1805 | 5 | 5 | 12 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 13.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{4} \\ & \text { ㄹ } \\ & \text { N } \end{aligned}$ | Vol, veh/h | 33 | 709 | 1811 | 12 | 18 | 32 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 14.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.3 | 17.3 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | turn markings on Main Street approaching this east driveway be removed and the left turn lane be converted to a dedicated left turn lane in the eastbound direction serving properties on the north side of Main Street.

The Loonview intersection (and adjacent driveways) benefit from the presence of traffic signals on either side of the driveway (Panavista is about 120 meters west and Montague is about 380 meters east). This creates regular gaps in Main Street traffic that allows vehicles to and from the side roads and driveway to operate at a relatively good level of service despite the significant traffic volumes on Main Street. In general, volumes destined to and from the development are considered to be relatively low compared to other intersections and driveways connecting to Main Street in the surrounding areas. It is also expected that future volumes after the development is in place will remain comparatively low.

| East Driveway PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% $4 \uparrow$ |  |  |  | \% |  |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 16 | 2153 | 1042 | 11 | 5 | 11 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13.3 | 13.3 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 67 | 2153 | 1052 | 20 | 21 | 34 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.63 | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 10.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 17 | 2263 | 1096 | 12 | 5 | 12 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.67 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.2 | 12.2 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
|  | Vol, veh/h | 71 | 2263 | 1105 | 21 | 22 | 36 |
|  | V/C Ratio | 0.1 | 0.67 | 0.43 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
|  | Control Delay (s/veh) | 10.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.5 | 15.5 |
|  | 95th \%Queue (m) | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |

### 4.6 Development Driveways - West Driveway

The western driveway to the development is located just east of the Main Street intersection with Forest Hills and directly across from the Sobeys right-in, right-out driveway on the south side of Main Street. At this location, Main Street consists of two through lanes in each direction with back-to-back dedicated left turn lanes present in the median lane.

To facilitate a left turn lane entry movement from Main Street to the proposed development, an extension of the existing eastbound left turn lane currently servicing the intersection of Main Street with Panavista and the Fast Fuels driveway would be required along with a corresponding reduction of the westbound left turn lane at Forest Hills. Alternatively, a two-way left turn lane could be used , through both options are not considered desirable and are likely to cause operational and safety challenges in this section of the corridor. For these reasons, a left turn entry movement is not recommended.

Similar arguments exist for the provision of a left turn exit movement from the proposed development to Main Street as it would require reconfiguration of the median treatments to allow the left turn movement, while minimizing operational and safety implications given the close proximity to Forest Hills and the opposing Sobeys driveway movements.

From an operational perspective, Synchro model results are not provided for the intersection as the Main Street movements through this intersection are free-flowing, and both the northbound Sobeys and the southbound development driveway are configured as right-in, right-out only movements. This results in minimal delays for vehicles entering and exiting Main Street from the development. Any delays
incurred will typically be related to queues that extend from the Forest Hills / Main intersection during the red-light phase for the westbound movements.

From a geometric design perspective, Nova Scotia Public Works has indicated that the new driveway should be located a minimum of 50 meters from the Forest Hills intersection (measured from end of curb returns). The figure at the bottom of this page shows the 50 meter offset in RED and indicates that there is adequate space to accommodate a right-in, right-out driveway at this location.

Nova Scotia Public works has indicated that a permit for this driveway will be required prior to construction. It is recommended that the specific design details for this driveway, including confirmation of spacing, should be provided as part of the detailed design and building approvals stages of the project.


## 05 Conclusions and Recommendations



This study was prepared to evaluate the impacts of the proposed Lake Loon development on the surrounding transportation networks. The development is comprised of a seniors retirement living complex and a seniors apartment building, both with low trip generation characteristics. The location is considered a prominent and desirable location given the convenient connections to a variety of major transportation routes, the proximity of numerous amenities, the ability to accommodate additional resident amenities on site, the robust active transportation network surrounding the site, and proximity to Lake Loon.

It is recognized that Main Street is a busy corridor that occasionally experiences some congestion during the peak hours of traffic. In modeling the corridor and reviewing operations, it becomes clear that the quality of travel on the corridor is directly tied to the ability of vehicles to get through the intersection of Main Street and Forest Hills Parkway / Extension. Outside of this intersection, the other signalized intersections at Panavista Road and Montague / Hillsboro can reasonably manage additional traffic with limited impact on intersection level of performance. Furthermore, the relatively close spacing of signalized intersections along this corridor help provide gaps in Main Street traffic that allow lower volume side roads and driveways to operate at a reasonable level of service.

The analysis and investigations contained in this report suggest a number of conclusions and recommendations:

1. The development is intended to include two seniors related land uses, both of which generate traffic volumes significantly lower than traditional residential development. Many of the trips generated by the development are likely to take place outside of typical commuter peak hours, minimizing impacts on the adjacent road network during the highest volume periods of the day.
2. At the critical Main / Forest Hills intersection, the full proposed development contributes less than $2 \%$ of the total traffic through the intersection. These volumes are distributed over multiple movements at the intersection, resulting in negligible impacts.
3. The consolidation of lands for this development provides a distinct advantage by allowing entering and exiting traffic to be distributed over two driveways. The consolidation and density also allows the development to include a variety of on-site amenities that further help limit the amount of new traffic contributed to the adjacent road network.
4. The Loonview Lane driveway permits left turn in and out movements and both movements are aided by the presence of traffic signals on either side of this access that creates gaps in Main Street traffic. As such, left turns in and out of the site operate at good levels of service. It is recommended that the two-way left turn lane currently present on Main Street be converted to a dedicated left turn lane in the eastbound direction, servicing properties on the north side of Main Street. This conversion simply enforces the turn restrictions that are already in place at the opposing Esso driveway. It was also noted that a stop sign is not currently present on the Loonview approach to Main Street and it is recommended that a sign and associated stop bar be installed.
5. The west development driveway is located in close proximity to the Main Street / Forest Hill Intersection. As a right-in, right-out access only, the intersection operates with minimal delay or queuing. Nova Scotia Public Works has indicated that a permit will be required for this driveway and that it should be placed 50 meters from the intersection. A preliminary analysis indicates that this spacing can be achieved and should be confirmed during the detailed design stages of the project.
6. Traffic signal coordination is a critical feature of this corridor, particularly between Forest Hills and Panavista signalized intersections. While it is not specifically required to accommodate the proposed development, functional improvements to traffic signal actuation and coordinate between these two intersections can result in improved corridor operations and improved operations of the developments two driveways. Such coordination and that associated queue managements could also provide improved opportunities to permit a left turn exit movement from the west development driveway.
7. There are a number of active transportation improvements that should occur as part of this development work. The three most significant of these include:

- The existing sidewalk along the north side of Main Street adjacent to the development is located directly on the back of the Main Street curb. Given the volume and speed of traffic on Main Street, it is recommended that the sidewalk or active transportation trail be separated from the roadway by a minimum of 1.5 meters. Site planning should account for such separation, and may also require some coordination with property ownership in the northeast quadrant of the Main / Forest Hills intersection immediately adjacent to the intersection.
- There is expected to be an increased desire line created between this development and the commercial facilities in the northwest quadrant of the Main / Forest Hills intersection. There is currently only a gravel pathway at this location and it is recommended that the sidewalk connection between the intersection and the lands adjacent to the Tim Horton's building be upgraded to improve connectivity.
- Internal active transportation facilities should be designed to promote internal AT circulation between the various proposed facilities, routes and loops internal to the site that minimize conflicts with vehicular traffic circulation, and provide safe and efficient connections to the surrounding AT network.

8. HRM is currently undergoing functional planning studies along the Main Street corridor between Forest Hills and Ross Road. It is possible that there may be some mutually beneficial synergies between this development and the functional planning work (i.e. right turn upgrades, traffic signal upgrades, space for AT accommodation etc.). It is recommended that ongoing discussions be initiated to coordinate these opportunities.
9. The Cherry Brook connector has been long discussed as a potential connector route between Main Street and Burnside. Such a connection would significantly reduce traffic on Main Street and reduce the demand on the Main / Forest Hills intersection. In discussions with HRM, it appears that such a connection is likely at least 10-20 years in the future.
10. There are a variety of larger scale Transportation Demand Management considerations that must be kept in mind as this and other future developments move forward. These include:

- COVID related travel impacts (i.e. work from home, work flexibility);
- HRM's aggressive initiatives for transit and active transportation modal shifts;
- Autonomous driving vehicles; and,
- Electric vehicles and other shifts in environmental stewardship.


## APPENDIX A

## TRAFFIC COUNTS

HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

| INTERSECTIO |  |  |  |  |  | HWA | P | VISTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  | SUN | \& CLEAR |
| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CO |  |  |  |
| THURSDAY | 21 | SEPT | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STREET: |  |  | GHWA |  |  | HW |  | FAST | GA | TION |  | T | VE |  |
| TIME: |  | FRO | M THE |  |  | THE |  |  | HE |  |  | HE | TH | TOTAL |
| 15 MIN INTER | VALS | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 07:00:00 AM | 07:15:00 AM | 6 | 388 | 18 | 1 | 109 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 543 |
| 07:15:00 AM | 07:30:00 AM | 3 | 365 | 12 | 1 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 505 |
| 07:30:00 AM | 07:45:00 AM | 8 | 336 | 16 | 3 | 96 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 478 |
| 07:45:00 AM | 08:00:00 AM | 6 | 355 | 11 | 3 | 112 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 511 |

TOTAL
PEAK
15 MIN PEAK
PEAK HOUR FACTOR
TWO WAY TOTALS

| 23 | 1444 | 57 | 8 | 423 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 54 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 2037 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1524 |  |  | 434 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 22 |  |  |
|  | 1648 |  |  | 472 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 40 |  |  |
|  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.84 |  |  | 0.55 |  |  |
|  | 1955 |  |  | 1948 |  |  | 123 |  |  | 48 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1976 |


| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THURSDAY | 21 | SEPT | 2017 |


| TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 08:00:00 AM | 08:15:00 AM | 5 | 326 | 14 | 6 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 479 |
| 08:15:00 AM | 08:30:00 AM | 6 | 299 | 13 | 3 | 151 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 498 |
| 08:30:00 AM | 08:45:00 AM | 5 | 274 | 16 | 2 | 115 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 427 |
| 08:45:00 AM | 09:00:00 AM | 3 | 241 | 12 | 4 | 129 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 408 |

TOTAL
PEAK
15 MIN PEAK
PEAK HOUR FACTOR
TWO WAY TOTALS

| 19 | 1140 | 55 | 15 | 498 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 22 | 0 | 7 | 1812 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1214 |  |  | 516 |  |  | 53 |  |  | 29 |  |  |
|  | 1380 |  |  | 624 |  |  | 68 |  |  | 40 |  |  |
|  | 0.88 |  |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.73 |  |  |
|  | 1720 |  |  | 1730 |  |  | 123 |  |  | 51 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1758 |



HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

| INTERSECTIO |  |  |  |  |  | HWA | P | VISTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AT |  | SUN | \& CLEAR |
| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CO |  |  |  |
| THURSDAY | 21 | SEPT | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STREET: |  |  | GHWA |  |  | HW |  | FAST | GA | TION |  | T | IVE |  |
| TIME: |  | FRO | M THE |  |  | THE |  |  | HE |  |  | HE | UTH | TOTAL |
| 15 MIN INTER | VALS | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM | 9 | 139 | 9 | 7 | 411 | 2 | 19 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 634 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM | 16 | 163 | 12 | 9 | 436 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 19 | 698 |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM | 19 | 176 | 13 | 11 | 413 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 14 | 682 |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 7 | 193 | 8 | 13 | 416 | 4 | 23 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 699 |

TOTAL
PEAK
15 MIN PEAK
PEAK HOUR FACTOR TWO WAY TOTALS

| 51 | 671 | 42 | 40 | 1676 | 11 | 83 | 4 | 23 | 33 | 1 | 78 | 2713 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 764 |  |  | 1727 |  |  | 110 |  |  | 112 |  |  |
|  | 832 |  |  | 1792 |  |  | 124 |  |  | 120 |  |  |
|  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.96 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  |
|  | 2601 |  |  | 2454 |  |  | 193 |  |  | 178 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2632 |


| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| THURSDAY | 21 | SEPT | 2017 |


| TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM | 12 | 178 | 6 | 11 | 433 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 14 | 693 |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM | 11 | 163 | 11 | 13 | 429 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 673 |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM | 8 | 152 | 13 | 9 | 386 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 615 |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 6 | 144 | 8 | 8 | 370 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 571 |


| TOTAL | 37 | 637 | 38 | 41 | 1618 | 11 | 64 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 5 | 53 | 2552 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK |  | 712 |  |  | 1670 |  |  | 88 |  |  | 82 |  |  |
| 15 MIN PEAK |  | 784 |  |  | 1788 |  |  | 104 |  |  | 96 |  |  |
| PEAK HOUR FACTOR |  | 0.91 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  | 0.85 |  |  | 0.85 |  |  |
| TWO WAY TOTALS |  | 2447 |  |  | 2355 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 130 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.97 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2475 |



HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY
TRANSPORTATION \& PUBLIC WORKS
TRAFFIC \& RIGHT OF WAY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

| INTERSECTION: |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY AT HIGHWAY 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| WEDNESDAY | 15 | NOV | 2017 |


| STREET: <br> TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY |  |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 07:00:00 AM | 07:15:00 AM | 11 | 317 | 115 | 23 | 77 | 16 | 41 | 30 | 1 | 117 | 136 | 15 | 899 |
| 07:15:00 AM | 07:30:00 AM | 14 | 229 | 90 | 37 | 65 | 17 | 36 | 22 | 0 | 122 | 151 | 11 | 794 |
| 07:30:00 AM | 07:45:00 AM | 8 | 243 | 136 | 40 | 78 | 29 | 41 | 47 | 3 | 86 | 123 | 16 | 850 |
| 07:45:00 AM | 08:00:00 AM | 14 | 245 | 127 | 30 | 99 | 49 | 51 | 30 | 12 | 5 | 124 | 22 | 808 |

TOTAL
PEAK
15 MIN PEAK
PEAK HOUR FACTOR
TWO WAY TOTALS

| 47 | 1034 | 468 | 130 | 319 | 111 | 169 | 129 | 16 | 330 | 534 | 64 | 3351 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1549 |  |  | 560 |  |  | 314 |  |  | 928 |  |  |
|  | 1772 |  |  | 712 |  |  | 372 |  |  | 1136 |  |  |
|  | 0.87 |  |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.84 |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |
|  | 2101 |  |  | 1940 |  |  | 1446 |  |  | 1215 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3317 |


| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEDNESDAY | 15 | NOV | 2017 |


| TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 08:00:00 AM | 08:15:00 AM | 14 | 289 | 97 | 28 | 62 | 33 | 35 | 38 | 23 | 96 | 93 | 17 | 825 |
| 08:15:00 AM | 08:30:00 AM | 21 | 266 | 115 | 31 | 75 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 12 | 99 | 101 | 23 | 859 |
| 08:30:00 AM | 08:45:00 AM | 27 | 219 | 116 | 18 | 69 | 50 | 52 | 42 | 18 | 92 | 104 | 34 | 841 |
| 08:45:00 AM | 09:00:00 AM | 25 | 223 | 111 | 21 | 74 | 39 | 42 | 37 | 14 | 80 | 89 | 22 | 777 |


| TOTAL | 87 | 997 | 439 | 98 | 280 | 161 | 167 | 156 | 67 | 367 | 387 | 96 | 3302 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK | 1523 |  |  | 539 |  |  | 390 |  |  | 850 |  |  |  |
| 15 MIN PEAK | 1608 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 448 |  |  | 920 |  |  |  |
| PEAK HOUR FACTOR | 0.95 |  |  | 0.93 |  |  | 0.87 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |  |
| TWO WAY TOTALS | 2066 |  |  | 1970 |  |  | 1314 |  |  | 1254 |  |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3269 |



HALIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY
TRANSPORTATION \& PUBLIC WORKS
TRAFFIC \& RIGHT OF WAY

## MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

| INTERSECTION: |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY AT HIGHWAY 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| WEDNESDAY | 15 | NOV | 2017 |


| STREET: <br> TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  | HIGHWAY 7 |  |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY |  |  | FOREST HILLS PARKWAY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 04:00:00 PM | 04:15:00 PM | 59 | 150 | 43 | 14 | 281 | 62 | 191 | 98 | 18 | 61 | 62 | 48 | 1087 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM | 49 | 131 | 46 | 13 | 222 | 69 | 180 | 99 | 23 | 62 | 48 | 55 | 997 |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM | 43 | 109 | 59 | 12 | 230 | 110 | 197 | 105 | 11 | 51 | 51 | 44 | 1022 |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 47 | 151 | 39 | -7 | 264 | 109 | 163 | 87 | 15 | 35 | 73 | 54 | 1030 |

TOTAL
PEAK
15 MIN PEAK
PEAK HOUR FACTOR TWO WAY TOTALS

| 198 | 541 | 187 | 32 | 997 | 350 | 731 | 389 | 67 | 209 | 234 | 201 | 4136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 926 |  |  | 1379 |  | 1187 |  | 644 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1008 |  | 1464 |  | 1252 |  | 684 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.95 |  | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2855 |  |  | 1640 | 1581 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| DAY | DATE | MONTH | YEAR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WEDNESDAY | 15 | NOV | 2017 |


| TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 05:00:00 PM | 05:15:00 PM | 37 | 150 | 71 | 28 | 255 | 91 | 73 | 95 | 24 | 51 | 47 | 67 | 989 |
| 05:15:00 PM | 05:30:00 PM | 36 | 147 | 56 | 8 | 261 | 70 | 177 | 96 | 11 | 49 | 39 | 46 | 996 |
| 05:30:00 PM | 05:45:00 PM | 45 | 63 | 56 | 8 | 289 | 74 | 163 | 115 | 24 | 32 | 51 | 51 | 971 |
| 05:45:00 PM | 06:00:00 PM | 52 | 150 | 41 | 10 | 205 | 74 | 167 | 115 | 13 | 54 | 37 | 49 | 967 |


| TOTAL | 170 | 510 | 224 | 54 | 1010 | 309 | 580 | 421 | 72 | 186 | 174 | 213 | 3923 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PEAK |  | 904 |  |  | 1373 |  |  | 1073 |  |  | 573 |  |  |
| 15 MIN PEAK |  | 1032 |  |  | 1496 |  |  | 1208 |  |  | 660 |  |  |
| PEAK HOUR FACTOR |  | 0.88 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  | 0.89 |  |  | 0.87 |  |  |
| TWO WAY TOTALS |  | 2707 |  |  | 2141 |  |  | 1525 |  |  | 1473 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3884 |



| INTERSECTION: |  | MAIN ST AT MONTAGUE RD \& HILLSEBORO DR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OVERCAST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MONTH YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EATHER |  |  |  |
| DAY | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RECORDERJACO |  | Os | N \& SAM |
| THURS | 22 | AUGUST 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STREET: |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MONTAGUE RD |  |  | HILLSBORO DR |  |  |  |
| TIME: |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
| 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
| 07:00:00 AM | 07:15:00 AM | 1 | 333 | 10 | 7 | 71 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 471 |
| 07:15:00 AM | 07:30:00 AM | 9 | 326 | 19 | 10 | 81 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 37 | 9 | 3 | 520 |
| 07:30:00 AM | 07:45:00 AM | 7 | 338 | 47 | 14 | 88 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 35 | 14 | 3 | 566 |
| 07:45:00 AM | 08:00:00 AM | 4 | 324 | 35 | 15 | 107 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 28 | 13 | 2 | 568 |
| TOTAL |  | 21 | 1321 | 111 | 46 | 347 | 33 | 6 | 10 | 55 | 123 | 43 | 9 | 2125 |
| PEAK ${ }_{\text {4 }}$ |  |  | 1453 |  |  | 426 |  |  | 71 |  |  | 175 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1568 |  |  | 548 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 208 |  |  |
| 4(15 MIN PEAK) PEAK HOUR FACTOR |  |  | 0.93 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.71 |  |  | 0.84 |  | AAWT |
| TWO WAY TOTALS |  |  | 1815 |  |  | 1925 |  |  | 271 |  |  | 239 |  | FACTOR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2083 |




## Peak Hour Pedestrians



## Car traffic

| Interval starts | MAIN ST |  |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MONTAGUE RD |  |  | HILLSBORO DR |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 7:00 | 1 | 327 | 10 | 6 | 64 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 23 | 7 | 1 | 457 |
| 7:15 | 8 | 319 | 19 | 10 | 69 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 37 | 9 | 2 | 497 |
| 7:30 | 6 | 327 | 46 | 14 | 84 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 34 | 14 | 3 | 548 |
| 7:45 | 4 | 306 | 35 | 15 | 95 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 20 | 28 | 12 | 1 | 536 |
| 8:00 | 2 | 268 | 16 | 13 | 92 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 19 | 44 | 9 | 4 | 486 |
| 8:15 | 3 | 333 | 8 | 10 | 127 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 533 |
| 8:30 | 2 | 266 | 8 | 11 | 93 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 41 | 4 | 1 | 454 |
| 8:45 | 4 | 242 | 11 | 17 | 127 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 4 | 4 | 462 |
| TOTAL | 30 | 2388 | 153 | 96 | 751 | 77 | 21 | 16 | 106 | 255 | 60 | 20 | 3973 |

Truck traffic


Bicycle traffic


## Pedestrian volumes




HALLIFAX REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY
TRANSORTATION \& PUBLIC WORKS
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT
CODENO. $\quad 19$ TM321
MANUAL TRAFFIC COUNTS

| INTERSECTION: |  | MAIN ST AT MONTAGUE RD \& HILLSBORO DR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SUNNY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MONTH YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EATHER |  |  |  |
| DAY | DATE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THURS | 22 | AUGUST 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RECORDERJACOB COSMAN \& SAM CRIMP |  |  |  |
| STREET: <br> TIME: <br> 15 MIN INTERVALS |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MONTAGUE RD |  |  | HILLSBORO DR |  |  |  |
|  |  | FROM THE EAST |  |  | FROM THE WEST |  |  | FROM THE NORTH |  |  | FROM THE SOUTH |  |  | TOTAL |
|  |  | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R | L | S | R |  |
|  | 04:15:00 PM | 5 | 165 | 7 | 18 | 441 | 65 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 21 | 7 | 6 | 763 |
| 04:15:00 PM | 04:30:00 PM | 8 | 179 | 9 | 26 | 447 | 45 | 30 | 16 | 17 | 26 | 7 | 10 | 820 |
| 04:30:00 PM | 04:45:00 PM | 9 | 168 | 8 | 18 | 455 | 48 | 39 | 17 | 22 | 30 | 5 | 3 | 822 |
| 04:45:00 PM | 05:00:00 PM | 9 | 166 | 6 | 25 | 444 | 61 | 24 | 16 | 33 | 26 | 9 | 8 | 827 |
| TOTAL <br> PEAK 4(15 MIN PEAK) PEAK HOUR FACTOR TWO WAY TOTALS |  | 31 | 678 | 30 | 87 | 1787 | 219 | 100 | 55 | 87 | $\begin{array}{l\|c} 103 & 28 \\ \hline & 158 \end{array}$ |  | 27 | 3232 |
|  |  | 31-739 |  |  | 2093 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  | AAWT FACTOR |
|  |  | 784 |  |  | 2120 |  |  | 312 |  |  | 158172 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.94 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.92 |  |  |
|  |  | 2653 |  |  | 2961 |  |  | 387 |  |  | 463 |  |  |  |
| TWO WAY TOTALS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |
|  |  | 3167 |  |  |  |  |  |




Peak Hour Pedestrians

| 16:15-17:15 |  | NE |  |  | NW |  |  | SW |  |  | SE |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total | Left | Right | Total |  |
|  | Pedestrians | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | , | 1 |

Car traffic

| Interval starts | MAIN ST |  |  | MAIN ST |  |  | MONTAGUE RD |  |  | HILLSBORO DR |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 16:00 | 4 | 155 | 6 | 18 | 431 | 65 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 21 | 6 | 6 | 738 |
| 16:15 | 7 | 173 | 9 | 26 | 437 | 45 | 30 | 16 | 17 | 25 | 6 | 9 | 800 |
| 16:30 | 8 | 160 | 8 | 18 | 446 | 47 | 39 | 17 | 21 | 30 | 5 | 3 | 802 |
| 16:45 | 7 | 159 | 6 | 25 | 433 | 61 | 24 | 16 | 33 | 26 | 8 | 8 | 806 |
| 17:00 | 5 | 186 | 3 | 31 | 457 | 70 | 40 | 23 | 15 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 865 |
| 17:15 | 7 | 166 | 2 | 23 | 416 | 64 | 32 | 24 | 19 | 33 | 6 | 6 | 798 |
| 17:30 | 7 | 177 | 7 | 24 | 393 | 61 | 41 | 22 | 28 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 781 |
| 17:45 | 13 | 142 | 5 | 29 | 376 | 37 | 19 | 7 | 20 | 36 | 10 | 5 | 699 |
| TOTAL | 58 | 1318 | 46 | 194 | 3389 | 450 | 232 | 130 | 167 | 211 | 48 | 46 | 6289 |

Truck traffic


Bicycle traffic


## Pedestrian volumes




Tue Apr 13, 2021
59 Craigburn Drive, Dartmouth, NS, B2X 3E6, CA
Full Length (7 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829104, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | WB - Main Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | NB - Forest Hils <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | SB - Forest Hills Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2021-04-13 \\ 7: 00 \mathrm{AM} \end{array}$ | 30 | 55 | 27 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 7 | 232 | 139 | 0 | 378 | 0 | 98 | 81 | 11 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 723 |
| 7:15AM | 30 | 73 | 23 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 9 | 239 | 147 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 87 | 90 | 18 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 28 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 774 |
| 7:30AM | 26 | 86 | 30 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 10 | 239 | 183 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 114 | 118 | 16 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 23 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 879 |
| 7:45AM | 28 | 81 | 35 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 9 | 219 | 105 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 92 | 132 | 22 | 0 | 246 | 1 | 48 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 816 |
| Hourly Total | 114 | 295 | 115 | 0 | 524 | 0 | 35 | 929 | 574 | 0 | 1538 | 0 | 391 | 421 | 67 | 0 | 879 | 1 | 120 | 102 | 29 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 3192 |
| 8:00AM | 20 | 90 | 43 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 24 | 256 | 110 | 0 | 390 | 0 | 67 | 97 | 16 | 0 | 180 | 2 | 33 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 791 |
| 8:15AM | 22 | 111 | 55 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 26 | 202 | 121 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 60 | 97 | 43 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 47 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 823 |
| Hourly Total | 42 | 201 | 98 | 0 | 341 | 0 | 50 | 458 | 231 | 0 | 739 | 0 | 127 | 194 | 59 | 0 | 380 | 2 | 80 | 61 | 13 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 1614 |
| Total | 156 | 496 | 213 | 0 | 865 | 0 | 85 | 1387 | 805 | 0 | 2277 | 0 | 518 | 615 | 126 | 0 | 1259 | 3 | 200 | 163 | 42 | 0 | 405 | 0 | 4806 |
| \% Approach | 18.0\% | 57.3\% | 24.6\% 0 |  | - | - | 3.7\% | 60.9\% | 35.4\% 0 |  | - | - | 41.1\% | 48.8\% | 10.0\% 0 |  |  | - - | 49.4\% | 40.2\% | 10.4\% 0 |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 3.2\% 1 | 10.3\% | 4.4\% 0 | \% 18 | 18.0\% | - | 1.8\% | 28.9\% | 16.7\% 0 | \% | 47.4\% |  | 10.8\% | 12.8\% | 2.6\% 0 | 0\% | 26.2\% |  | 4.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.9\% 0 |  | 8.4\% |  |  |
| Lights | 150 | 467 | 200 | 0 | 817 | - | 78 | 1354 | 771 | 0 | 2203 |  | 509 | 601 | 122 | 0 | 1232 |  | 172 | 150 | 38 | 0 | 360 |  | 4612 |
| \% Lights | 96.2\% 9 | 94.2\% | 93.9\% 0 | \% 9 | 94.5\% |  | 91.8\% | 97.6\% | 95.8\% 0 | \% 9 | 96.8\% |  | 98.3\% | 97.7\% | 96.8\% 0 | 0\% | 97.9\% |  | 86.0\% | 92.0\% | 90.5\% 0 | \% | 88.9\% |  | 96.0\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 6 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 29 | - | 5 | 21 | 31 | 0 | 57 | - | 4 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 17 |  | 27 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 41 | - | 144 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { \% Articulated } \\ \text { Trucks and } \\ \text { Single-Unit } \\ \text { Trucks } \end{array}$ | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 2.3\% 0 | \%\% | 3.4\% | - | 5.9\% | 1.5\% | 3.9\% 0 |  | 2.5\% | - | 0.8\% | 1.6\% | 2.4\% 0 |  | 1.4\% |  | 13.5\% | 6.7\% | 7.1\% 0 | \%\% | 10.1\% | - | 3.0\% |
| Buses | 0 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 19 | - | 2 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 17 | - | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 10 | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | - | 50 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 2.2\% | 3.8\% 0 | \% | 2.2\% | - | 2.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% 0 |  | 0.7\% |  | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% 0 |  | 0.8\% |  | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 2.4\% |  | 1.0\% | - | 1.0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^0]Full Length (7 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829104, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017
[N] SB - Forest Hills
Total: 1981
In: 405 Out: 1576
$\underset{\sim}{\sim}{ }_{\sim}^{\circ}$ -


Out: 461 In: 1259
Total: 1720
[S] NB - Forest Hils

Tue Apr 13, 2021
AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses,
Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829104, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | WB - Main Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | NB - Forest Hils Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | SB - Forest Hills Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R U | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 2021-04-13 } \\ 7: 30 \mathrm{AM} \end{array}$ | 26 | 86 | 30 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 10 | 239 | 183 | 0 | 432 | 0 | 114 | 118 | 16 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 23 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 879 |
| 7:45AM | 28 | 81 | 35 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 9 | 219 | 105 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 92 | 132 | 22 | 0 | 246 | 1 | 48 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 816 |
| 8:00AM | 20 | 90 | 43 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 24 | 256 | 110 | 0 | 390 | 0 | 67 | 97 | 16 | 0 | 180 | 2 | 33 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 791 |
| 8:15AM | 22 | 111 | 55 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 26 | 202 | 121 | 0 | 349 | 0 | 60 | 97 | 43 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 47 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 823 |
| Total | 96 | 368 | 163 | 0 | 627 | 0 | 69 | 916 | 519 | 0 | 1504 | 0 | 333 | 444 | 97 | 0 | 874 | 3 | 151 | 120 | 33 | 0 | 304 | 0 | 3309 |
| \% Approach | 15.3\% | 58.7\% | 26.0\% 0\% |  | - | - | 4.6\% 6 | 60.9\% | 34.5\% 0\% |  | - | - | 38.1\% | 50.8\% | 11.1\% 0\% |  |  | - | 49.7\% | 39.5\% 1 | 10.9\% 0\% |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 2.9\% 1 | 11.1\% | 4.9\% 0\% | \% 1 | 18.9\% | - | 2.1\% | 27.7\% | 15.7\% 0\% | \% 4 | 45.5\% | - | 10.1\% | 13.4\% | 2.9\% 0\% | \% | 26.4\% | - | 4.6\% | 3.6\% | 1.0\% 0\% |  | 9.2\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.857 | 0.829 | 0.741 | - 0 | 0.834 |  | 0.663 | 0.895 | 0.709 |  | 0.870 |  | 0.730 | 0.841 | 0.564 | - 0 | 0.881 |  | 0.786 | 0.882 | 0.635 | - 0 | 0.817 |  | 0.941 |
| Lights | 93 | 347 | 153 | 0 | 593 |  | 64 | 890 | 495 | 0 | 1449 |  | 325 | 432 | 95 | 0 | 852 |  | 134 | 112 | 30 | 0 | 276 |  | 3170 |
| \% Lights | 96.9\% 9 | 94.3\% | 93.9\% 0\% | \% 9 | 94.6\% |  | 92.8\% | 97.2\% | 95.4\% 0\% | \% 9 | 96.3\% |  | 97.6\% | 97.3\% | 97.9\% 0\% | \% 9 | 97.5\% |  | 88.7\% | 93.3\% | 90.9\% 0\% | \% 9 | 90.8\% |  | 95.8\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 3 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 22 | - | 3 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 40 | - | 3 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 13 |  | 16 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 26 | - | 101 |
| \% Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 3.1\% | 4.1\% | 2.5\% 0\% | \% | 3.5\% | - | 4.3\% | 1.7\% | 4.0\% 0\% | \% | 2.7\% | - | 0.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% 0\% | \% | 1.5\% |  | 10.6\% | 5.8\% | 9.1\% 0\% |  | 8.6\% |  | 3.1\% |
| Buses | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 12 | - | 2 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 15 | - | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 38 |
| \% Buses | 0\% | 1.6\% | 3.7\% 0\% | \% | 1.9\% | - | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% 0\% | \% | 1.0\% |  | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% 0\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 1.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^1]AM Peak (7:30 AM - 8:30 AM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829104, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017
[N] SB - Forest Hills
Total: 1363
In: 304 Out: 1059
m 욱



Out: 352
In: 874
Total: 1226
[S] NB - Forest Hils

Tue Apr 13, 2021
59 Craigburn Drive, Dartmouth, NS, B2X 3E6, CA
Full Length (4 PM-5:30 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829108, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main Eastbound |  |  |  |  | WB - Main Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | NB - Forest Hills Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | SB - Forest Hills Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L T | R |  | App |  | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2021-04-13 \\ 4: 00 \mathrm{PM} \end{array}$ | $9 \quad 248$ | 69 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 31 | 129 | 45 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 66 | 55 | 56 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 151 | 81 | 18 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 958 |
| 4:15PM | 10306 | 87 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 29 | 117 | 66 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 44 | 50 | 41 | 0 | 135 | 1 | 158 | 86 | 28 | 0 | 272 | 2 | 1022 |
| 4:30PM | 8299 | 74 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 40 | 132 | 51 | 0 | 223 | 1 | 50 | 47 | 39 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 159 | 84 | 11 | 0 | 254 | 1 | 994 |
| 4:45PM | 2237 | 100 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 38 | 125 | 48 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 54 | 44 | 38 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 147 | 91 | 27 | 0 | 265 | 1 | 951 |
| Hourly Total | 291090 | 330 | 0 | 1449 | 0 | 138 | 503 | 210 | 0 | 851 | 1 | 214 | 196 | 174 | 0 | 584 | 2 | 615 | 342 | 84 | 0 | 1041 | 4 | 3925 |
| 5:00PM | 7267 | 74 | 0 | 348 | 0 | 26 | 134 | 37 | 0 | 197 | 0 | 31 | 44 | 35 | 0 | 110 | 1 | 141 | 80 | 14 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 890 |
| 5:15PM | 11241 | 82 | 0 | 334 | 0 | 33 | 141 | 51 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 45 | 39 | 38 | 0 | 122 | 3 | 174 | 75 | 21 | 0 | 270 | 2 | 951 |
| Hourly Total | 18508 | 156 | 0 | 682 | 0 | 59 | 275 | 88 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 76 | 83 | 73 | 0 | 232 | 4 | 315 | 155 | 35 | 0 | 505 | 2 | 1841 |
| Total | 471598 | 486 | 0 | 2131 | 0 | 197 | 778 | 298 | 0 | 1273 | 1 | 290 | 279 | 247 | 0 | 816 | 6 | 930 | 497 | 119 | 0 | 1546 | 6 | 5766 |
| \% Approach | 2.2\% 75.0\% | 22.8\% 0\% |  | - |  | 15.5\% 6 | 61.1\% | 23.4\% 0 |  | - | - | 35.5\% | 34.2\% | 30.3\% 0 |  | - |  | 60.2\% | 32.1\% | 7.7\% 0 |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 0.8\% 27.7\% | 8.4\% 0\% | \% 3 | 37.0\% |  | 3.4\% | 13.5\% | 5.2\% 0 | \% | 22.1\% | - | 5.0\% | 4.8\% | 4.3\% 0\% | \% 1 | 14.2\% |  | 16.1\% | 8.6\% | 2.1\% 0 | \% | 26.8\% |  |  |
| Lights | $44 \quad 1578$ | 484 | 0 | 2106 |  | 195 | 759 | 273 | 0 | 1227 | - | 285 | 263 | 245 | 0 | 793 | - | 900 | 491 | 119 | 0 | 1510 |  | 5636 |
| \% Lights | 93.6\% 98.7\% | 99.6\% 0\% | \% 98 | 98.8\% |  | 99.0\% | 97.6\% | 91.6\% 0 | \% 9 | 96.4\% | - | 98.3\% | 94.3\% | 99.2\% 0 | \% | 97.2\% |  | 96.8\% 9 | 98.8\% | 100\% 0 | \% 9 | 97.7\% |  | 97.7\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | $2 \quad 11$ | 1 | 0 | 14 | - | 2 | 12 | 24 | 0 | 38 | - | 2 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 18 | - | 26 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 30 | - | 100 |
| \% Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 4.3\% 0.7\% | 0.2\% 0\% |  | 0.7\% | - | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 8.1\% 0 |  | 3.0\% | - | 0.7\% | 5.0\% | 0.8\% 0 |  | 2.2\% | - | 2.8\% | 0.8\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 1.9\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Buses | 19 | 1 | 0 | 11 | - | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | - | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | - | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 30 |
| \% Buses | 2.1\% 0.6\% | 0.2\% 0\% | \% | 0.5\% | - | 0\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% 0 | \% | 0.6\% | - | 1.0\% | 0.7\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0.6\% | - | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0.4\% | - | 0.5\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - - | - | - | - |  | - - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | _ | - | - | 100\% | - |

[^2]Tue Apr 13, 2021
Full Length (4 PM-5:30 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829108, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017
[N] SB - Forest Hills
Total: 2170
In: 1546 Out: 624


Out: 1180 In: 816
Total: 1996
[S] NB - Forest Hills

PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829108, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  | WB - Main Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | NB - Forest Hills Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | SB - Forest Hills Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R |  | App | Ped* | L | T | R |  | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2021-04-13 \\ 4: 00 \mathrm{PM} \end{array}$ | $9 \quad 248$ | 69 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 31 | 129 | 45 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 66 | 55 | 56 | 0 | 177 | 0 | 151 | 81 | 18 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 958 |
| 4:15PM | 10306 | 87 | 0 | 403 | 0 | 29 | 117 | 66 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 44 | 50 | 41 | 0 | 135 | 1 | 158 | 86 | 28 | 0 | 272 | 2 | 1022 |
| 4:30PM | 8299 | 74 | 0 | 381 | 0 | 40 | 132 | 51 | 0 | 223 | 1 | 50 | 47 | 39 | 0 | 136 | 0 | 159 | 84 | 11 | 0 | 254 | 1 | 994 |
| 4:45PM | 2237 | 100 | 0 | 339 | 0 | 38 | 125 | 48 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 54 | 44 | 38 | 0 | 136 | 1 | 147 | 91 | 27 | 0 | 265 | 1 | 951 |
| Total | 291090 | 330 | 0 | 1449 | 0 | 138 | 503 | 210 | 0 | 851 | 1 | 214 | 196 | 174 | 0 | 584 | 2 | 615 | 342 | 84 | 0 | 1041 | 4 | 3925 |
| \% Approach | 2.0\% 75.2\% | 22.8\% 0 |  | - |  | 16.2\% | 59.1\% | 24.7\% 0 |  | - |  | 36.6\% | 33.6\% | 29.8\% 0 | \% | - | - | 59.1\% | 32.9\% | 8.1\% 0 | \% | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 0.7\% 27.8\% | 8.4\% 0 | \% 36 | 36.9\% |  | 3.5\% 1 | 12.8\% | 5.4\% 0 | \% 2 | 21.7\% |  | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 4.4\% 0\% | \% 1 | 14.9\% | - | 15.7\% | 8.7\% | 2.1\% 0 | \% 26 | 26.5\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.7250 .891 | 0.825 |  | 0.899 |  | 0.863 | 0.953 | 0.795 |  | 0.954 |  | 0.811 | 0.891 | 0.777 | 0 | 0.825 |  | 0.967 | 0.940 | 0.750 | - 0 | 0.957 |  | 0.960 |
| Lights | $27 \quad 1076$ | 328 | 0 | 1431 |  | 136 | 490 | 190 | 0 | 816 |  | 210 | 184 | 173 | 0 | 567 |  | 597 | 338 | 84 | 0 | 1019 |  | 3833 |
| \% Lights | 93.1\% 98.7\% | 99.4\% 0 | \% 98 | 88.8\% |  | 98.6\% 97 | 97.4\% | 90.5\% 0 | 0\% 9 | 95.9\% |  | 98.1\% | 93.9\% | 99.4\% 0 | \% 9 | 97.1\% |  | 97.1\% 9 | 98.8\% | 100\% 0 | \% 9 | 97.9\% |  | 97.7\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 16 | 1 | 0 | 8 | - | 2 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 30 | - | 2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 13 | - | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | - | 67 |
| \% Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 3.4\% 0.6\% | 0.3\% 0 |  | 0.6\% | - | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | 9.0\% 0 |  | 3.5\% |  | 0.9\% | 5.1\% | 0.6\% 0\% | \% | 2.2\% | - | 2.3\% | 0.6\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 1.5\% |  | 1.7\% |
| Buses | 18 | 1 | 0 | 10 | - | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | - | 25 |
| \% Buses | 3.4\% 0.7\% | 0.3\% 0\% | \% | 0.7\% | - | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% 0 | 0\% | 0.6\% |  | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.7\% | - | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.6\% | - | 0.6\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - |

[^3]Tue Apr 13, 2021
PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses,
Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829108, Location: 44.693874, -63.499017

## [N] SB - Forest Hills

Total: 1476
In: 1041 Out: 435


Out: 810 In: 584
Total: 1394
[S] NB - Forest Hills

AM - Main and Sobeys - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
Full Length (7:30 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses)
All Movements
ID: 829109, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327


* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Full Length (7:30 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses)
All Movements
ID: 829109, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327
[N] SB - Sobeys Driveway
Total: 130
In: 67 Out: 63


Out: 13 In: 21
Total: 34
[S] NB - Panavista

AM - Main and Sobeys - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
Full Length (7:30 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses)
All Movements
ID: 829109, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main <br> Eastbound |  | WB - Main Westbound |  | NB - Panavista Northbound |  |  |  | SB - Sobeys Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L T | R U App | L T | R U App | L | T | R | U App | L | T | R U | App | Int |
| 2021-04-14 7:30AM | 3149 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 152\end{array}$ | 4347 | 13 0 364 | 3 | 0 | 4 | $0 \quad 7$ | 1 | 0 | 110 | 12 | 535 |
| 7:45AM | 1140 | 100 | $3 \quad 314$ | 10 0 327 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 160 | 20 | 497 |
| 8:00AM | 2135 | 0 0 137 | 3399 | $14 \quad 0 \quad 416$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 120 | 16 | 572 |
| 8:15AM | 1176 | 100178 | 0271 | $18 \quad 0 \quad 289$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 03 | 4 | 0 | 150 | 19 | 489 |
| Total | 7600 | $2{ }^{2} \quad 0 \quad \mathbf{6 0 9}$ | 101331 | $\begin{array}{llll}55 & 0 & 1396\end{array}$ | 10 | 1 | 10 | $0 \quad 21$ | 12 | 1 | 540 | 67 | 2093 |
| \% Approach | 1.1\% 98.5\% | 0.3\% 0\% | 0.7\% 95.3\% | 3.9\% 0\% | 47.6\% | 4.8\% 4 | 47.6\% 0\% | \% | 17.9\% | 1.5\% 8 | 80.6\% 0\% | - |  |
| \% Total | 0.3\% 28.7\% | 0.1\% 0\% 29.1\% | 0.5\% 63.6\% | 2.6\% 0\% 66.7\% | 0.5\% | 0\% | 0.5\% 0\% | \% 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 2.6\% 0\% | 3.2\% |  |
| PHF | 0.5830 .8520 | $0.500-0.855$ | 0.6250 .834 | 0.764-0.839 | 0.4170 | 0.250 | 0.625 | - 0.656 | 0.7500 | 0.250 | 0.844 | 0.838 | 0.915 |
| Lights | $5 \quad 570$ | $2{ }^{2}$ | $9 \quad 1266$ | $\begin{array}{lll}53 & 0 & 1328\end{array}$ | 10 | 1 | 9 | $0 \quad 20$ | 11 | 1 | 520 | 64 | 1989 |
| \% Lights | 71.4\% 95.0\% 1 | 100\% 0\% 94.7\% | 90.0\% 95.1\% | 96.4\% 0\% 95.1\% | 100\% 1 | 100\% 9 | 90.0\% 0\% | \% 95.2\% | 91.7\% 1 | 100\% | 96.3\% 0\% | 95.5\% | 95.0\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | 223 | 0 | 053 | $2 \quad 0 \quad 55$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 1 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 83 |
| \% Articulated Trucks and SingleUnit Trucks | 28.6\% 3.8\% | 0\% 0\% 4.1\% | 0\% 4.0\% | 3.6\% 0\% 3.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | \% 0\% | 8.3\% | 0\% | 3.7\% 0\% | 4.5\% | 4.0\% |
| Buses | 07 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 7\end{array}$ | 112 | $\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 13\end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $0 \quad 1$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | 21 |
| \% Buses | 0\% 1.2\% | 0\% 0\% 1.1\% | 10.0\% 0.9\% | 0\% 0\% 0.9\% | 0\% | 0\% 1 | 10.0\% 0\% | \% 4.8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | 1.0\% |

* L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Full Length (7:30 AM-8:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses)
All Movements
ID: 829109, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327
[N] SB - Sobeys Driveway
Total: 130
In: 67 Out: 63


Out: 13 In: 21
Total: 34
[S] NB - Panavista

PM - Main and Sobeys Driveways - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
Full Length (4 PM-5:30 PM)
Provided by: Trans4m Development Group
59 Craigburn Drive,
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses,
Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829111, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327

| Leg <br> Direction | EB - Main <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | WB - Main Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | NB - Panavista Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | SB - Sobeys Driveway Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | L T | R U | App |  | L | T | R U | U | App | Ped* | L | T | R | U | App |  | L | T | R | U | App | Ped* |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 2021-04-14 \\ 4: 00 \mathrm{PM} \end{array}$ | 6477 | 50 | 488 | 0 | 8 | 248 | 15 | 0 | 271 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 810 |
| 4:15PM | $6 \quad 476$ | 60 | 488 | 0 | 16 | 202 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 736 |
| 4:30PM | $6 \quad 444$ | 30 | 453 | 0 | 8 | 247 | 9 | 0 | 264 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 780 |
| 4:45PM | 6411 | 10 | 418 | 0 | 9 | 199 | 8 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 680 |
| Hourly Total | 241808 | 150 | 1847 | 0 | 41 | 896 | 34 | 0 | 971 | 1 | 33 | 3 | 92 | 0 | 128 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 3006 |
| 5:00PM | $8 \quad 470$ | 10 | 479 | 0 | 7 | 214 | 18 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 766 |
| 5:15PM | $10 \quad 420$ | 40 | 434 | 0 | 9 | 190 |  | 0 | 208 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 677 |
| Hourly Total | 18890 | 50 | 913 | 0 | 16 | 404 | 27 | 0 | 447 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 1443 |
| Total | 422698 | $20 \quad 0$ | 2760 | 0 | 57 | 1300 | 61 | 0 | 1418 | 1 | 48 | 6 | 118 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 49 | 6 | 44 | 0 | 99 | 2 | 4449 |
| \% Approach | 1.5\% 97.8\% | 0.7\% 0\% | - | - | 4.0\% | 91.7\% | 4.3\% 0\% |  | - |  | 27.9\% | 3.5\% | 68.6\% 0\% |  | - |  | 49.5\% | 6.1\% | 44.4\% 0 |  |  | - | - |
| \% Total | 0.9\% 60.6\% | 0.4\% 0\% 6 | 62.0\% | - | 1.3\% | 29.2\% | 1.4\% 0\% | \% | 31.9\% | - | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 2.7\% 0\% |  | 3.9\% |  | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 1.0\% 0 |  | 2.2\% |  | - |
| Lights | 422618 | $19 \quad 0$ | 2679 | - | 57 | 1264 | 60 | 0 | 1381 | - | 46 | 6 | 118 | 0 | 170 |  | 47 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 96 | - | 4326 |
| \% Lights | 100\% 97.0\% | 95.0\% 0\% 9 | 97.1\% | - | 100\% | 97.2\% | 98.4\% 0\% | \% 9 | 97.4\% |  | 95.8\% | 100\% | 100\% 0\% | \% | 98.8\% |  | 95.9\% | 100\% | 97.7\% 0 | \% | 97.0\% |  | 97.2\% |
| Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks | $0 \quad 71$ | 10 | 72 | - | 0 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 33 |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - | 110 |
| \% Articulated <br> Trucks and <br> Single-Unit <br> Trucks | 0\% 2.6\% | 5.0\% 0\% | 2.6\% | - | 0\% | 2.5\% | 1.6\% 0\% |  | 2.3\% | - | 4.2\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 4.1\% | 0\% | 2.3\% 0 |  | 3.0\% |  | 2.5\% |
| Buses | $0 \quad 9$ | $0 \quad 0$ | 9 | - | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 13 |
| \% Buses | 0\% 0.3\% | 0\% 0\% | 0.3\% | - | 0\% | 0.3\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0.3\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0.3\% |
| Pedestrians | - - | - - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - - | - - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | , | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - |

[^4]PM - Main and Sobeys Driveways - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
Full Length (4 PM-5:30 PM)
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829111, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327

Provided by: Trans4m Development Group
59 Craigburn Drive, Dartmouth, NS, B2X 3E6, CA
[N] SB - Sobeys Driveway
Total: 208
In: 99 Out: 109


Out: 83 In: 172
Total: 255
[S] NB - Panavista

PM - Main and Sobeys Driveways - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses,
Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829111, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327


[^5]PM - Main and Sobeys Driveways - TMC
Wed Apr 14, 2021
PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights, Articulated Trucks and Single-Unit Trucks, Buses, Pedestrians)
All Movements
ID: 829111, Location: 44.694848, -63.497327

Provided by: Trans4m Development Group
59 Craigburn Drive, Dartmouth, NS, B2X 3E6, CA
[N] SB - Sobeys Driveway
Total: 121
In: 60 Out: 61


Out: 58 In: 128
Total: 186
[S] NB - Panavista

## APPENDIX B

## TRIP GENERATION

Trip Generation Summary

Alternative: Alternative 1

| Phase: | Open Date: | $7 / 22 / 2021$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Project: | Lake Loon Development | Analysis Date: |



Total Weekday Average Daily Trips Internal Capture $=0$ Percent
Total Weekday AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic Internal Capture $=0$ Percent
Total Weekday PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic Internal Capture $=0$ Percent

APPENDIX C

## TRIP ASSIGNMENT

## Development: Seniors Complex

Driveway: 1 New Driveway

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | New Driveway to Forest Hills South | 0.00 | --- | 7.50 | 1 |
| 2 | New Driveway to Main West | 0.00 | -- | 17.50 | 2 |
| 3 | New Driveway to Forest Hills North | 0.00 | -- | 12.50 | 1 |
| 4 | Hillsboro South to New Driveway | 2.50 | 0 | 0.00 | --- |
| 5 | Main East to New Driveway | 7.50 | 1 | 0.00 | --- |
| 6 | Montague North to New Driveway | 2.50 | 0 | 0.00 | --- |

## Development: Seniors Complex

Driveway: 2 Loon View Lane

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | Loon View Lane to Forest Hills South | 15.00 | 2 | 7.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Loon View Lane to Main West | 35.00 | 5 | 17.50 | 2 |
| 3 | Loon View Lane to Forest Hills North | 25.00 | 3 | 12.50 | 1 |
| 4 | Loon View Lane to Hillsboro South | 2.50 | 0 | 5.00 | 0 |
| 5 | Loon View Lane to Main East | 7.50 | 1 | 15.00 | 1 |
| 6 | Loon View Lane to Montague North | 2.50 | 0 | 5.00 | 0 |

## Development: Residential Development

Driveway: 1
New Driveway

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | New Driveway to Forest Hills South | 0.00 | --- | 7.50 | 5 |
| 2 | New Driveway to Main West | 0.00 | --- | 17.50 | 11 |
| 3 | New Driveway to Forest Hills North | 0.00 | --- | 12.50 | 8 |
| 4 | Hillsboro South to New Driveway | 2.50 | 1 | 0.00 | --- |
| 5 | Main East to New Driveway | 7.50 | 2 | 0.00 | --- |
| 6 | Montague North to New Driveway | 2.50 | 1 | 0.00 | --- |

## Development: Residential Development

Driveway: 2 Loon View Drive

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | Loon View Drive to Forest Hills South | 15.00 | 4 | 7.50 | 5 |
| 2 | Loon View Drive to Main West | 35.00 | 9 | 17.50 | 11 |
| 3 | Loon View Drive to Forest Hills North | 25.00 | 6 | 12.50 | 8 |
| 4 | Loon View Drive to Hillsboro South | 2.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 3 |
| 5 | Loon View Drive to Main East | 7.50 | 2 | 15.00 | 10 |
| 6 | Loon View Drive to Montague North | 2.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 3 |

## Development: Seniors Development

Driveway: 1
New Driveway

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | New Driveway to Forest Hills South | 0.00 | --- | 7.50 | 2 |
| 2 | New Driveway to Main West | 0.00 | --- | 17.50 | 5 |
| 3 | New Driveway to Forest Hills North | 0.00 | -- | 12.50 | 4 |
| 4 | Hillsboro South to New Driveway | 2.50 | 1 | 0.00 | --- |
| 5 | Main East to New Driveway | 7.50 | 2 | 0.00 | --- |
| 6 | Montague North to New Driveway | 2.50 | 1 | 0.00 | --- |

## Development: Seniors Development

Driveway: 2 Loon View Drive

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | Loon View Drive to Forest Hills South | 15.00 | 5 | 7.50 | 2 |
| 2 | Loon View Drive to Main West | 35.00 | 11 | 17.50 | 5 |
| 3 | Loon View Drive to Forest Hills North | 25.00 | 8 | 12.50 | 4 |
| 4 | Loon View Drive to Hillsboro South | 2.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 5 | Loon View Drive to Main East | 7.50 | 2 | 15.00 | 4 |
| 6 | Loon View Drive to Montague North | 2.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |

## Development: Residential Development

Driveway: 1
New Driveway

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | New Driveway to Forest Hills South | 0.00 | --- | 7.50 | 2 |
| 2 | New Driveway to Main West | 0.00 | --- | 17.50 | 6 |
| 3 | New Driveway to Forest Hills North | 0.00 | --- | 12.50 | 4 |
| 4 | Hillsboro South to New Driveway | 2.50 | 2 | 0.00 | --- |
| 5 | Main East to New Driveway | 7.50 | 6 | 0.00 | --- |
| 6 | Montague North to New Driveway | 2.50 | 2 | 0.00 | --- |

## Development: Residential Development

Driveway: 2 Loon View Lane

| Origin \# | Route | To |  | From |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Distribution \% | Trips | Distribution \% | Trips |
| 1 | Loon View Lane to Forest Hills South | 15.00 | 11 | 7.50 | 2 |
| 2 | Loon View Lane to Main West | 35.00 | 27 | 17.50 | 6 |
| 3 | Loon View Lane to Forest Hills North | 25.00 | 19 | 12.50 | 4 |
| 4 | Loon View Lane to Hillsboro South | 2.50 | 2 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 5 | Loon View Lane to Main East | 7.50 | 6 | 15.00 | 5 |
| 6 | Loon View Lane to Montague North | 2.50 | 2 | 5.00 | 2 |

## APPENDIX D

## SYNCHRO REPORTS

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7 \% 1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1794 | 1770 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.099 |  |  | 0.488 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 184 | 3539 | 1794 | 909 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 170 |  | 130 |  |  |  | 131 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 1430 | 0 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 175 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 7.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 45.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 45.3 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 46.8 | 41.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.37 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.93 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.62 |  |
| Control Delay | 79.4 | 25.0 | 4.4 | 18.8 | 41.5 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3.0 | 43.5 | 51.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 79.4 | 25.0 | 4.4 | 18.8 | 76.4 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3.0 | 43.5 | 51.1 |  |
| LOS | E | C | A | B | E |  | C | E | A | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.4 |  |  | 73.9 |  |  | 48.6 |  |  | 47.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | ～18．5 | 30.4 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 141.8 |  | 30.1 | 119.6 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 34.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃55．2 | 42.7 | 14.4 | 17.0 | \＃187．2 |  | 42.6 | \＃188．5 | 6.3 | 28.6 | 57.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 147 | 1355 | 792 | 432 | 1543 |  | 1029 | 566 | 520 | 586 | 317 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 213 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 1.08 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.55 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 3：NBTL，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 55.9 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 94．5\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ～Volume exceeds capacity，queue is theoretically infinite． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：1：Forest Hills \＆Main



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.1\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\downarrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 性 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \＄ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 55 | 520 | 50 | 30 | 1315 | 120 | 125 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 55 | 520 | 50 | 30 | 1315 | 120 | 125 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1783 | 0 | 0 | 1676 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.087 |  |  | 0.422 |  |  |  | 0.770 |  |  | 0.954 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 162 | 3539 | 1583 | 786 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1419 | 0 | 0 | 1608 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 91 |  | 15 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 67 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 58 | 547 | 53 | 32 | 1510 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | ， |  | 1 | ， |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 11.0 | 49.2 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 53.8 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 53.0 | 47.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.7 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 23.0 |  |  | 35.4 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.7 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 23.0 |  |  | 35.4 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 35.4 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 3.7 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 119.4 |  |  | 32.8 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 8.2 | 40.3 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 152.8 |  |  | 56.3 |  |  | 15.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 222 | 1950 | 913 | 539 | 1846 |  |  | 371 |  |  | 465 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.20 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 90
Actuated Cycle Length： 90
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 6：WBTL，Start of Green
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay： 20.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71．6\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
Splits and Phases：5：Hillsboro／Montague \＆Main


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7 \% 1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 130 | 371 | 170 | 73 | 936 | 522 | 340 | 540 | 100 | 183 | 140 | 35 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3539 | 1794 | 1770 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.099 |  |  | 0.478 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 184 | 3539 | 1794 | 890 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 170 |  | 132 |  |  |  | 131 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 130 | 371 | 170 | 73 | 1458 | 0 | 340 | 540 | 100 | 183 | 175 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 7.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 45.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 45.2 | 42.1 | 42.1 | 46.9 | 41.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.37 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.94 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.62 |  |
| Control Delay | 79.7 | 25.1 | 4.4 | 19.0 | 43.8 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3.4 | 43.7 | 51.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 79.7 | 25.1 | 4.4 | 19.0 | 85.4 |  | 31.7 | 67.2 | 3.4 | 43.7 | 51.1 |  |
| LOS | E | C | A | B | F |  | C | E | A | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.5 |  |  | 82.2 |  |  | 48.4 |  |  | 47.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | ～18．5 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 146.4 |  | 30.1 | 119.6 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 34.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃55．2 | 43.8 | 14.4 | 18.6 | \＃193．6 |  | 42.6 | \＃188．5 | 7.5 | 29.7 | 57.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 147 | 1354 | 791 | 427 | 1544 |  | 1029 | 566 | 520 | 586 | 317 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 211 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.27 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 1.09 |  | 0.33 | 0.95 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.55 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 3：NBTL，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 59.5 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 94．5\％ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ～Volume exceeds capacity，queue is theoretically infinite． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：1：Forest Hills \＆Main



|  | 4 |  |  | $\%$ |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 600 | 15 | 25 | 1415 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 15 | 624 | 15 | 25 | 1438 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 60 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 1671 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.170 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.763 |  |  |  | 0.962 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 317 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1421 | 1671 | 0 | 0 | 1611 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 22 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 15 | 639 | 0 | 25 | 1498 | 0 | 21 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 11.5 | 39.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 7.5 | 35.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.34 |  | 0.11 | 0.50 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.54 |  | 0.13 | 0.85 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.13 |  |
| Control Delay | 20.4 | 20.8 |  | 30.2 | 21.3 |  | 13.8 | 9.0 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 20.4 | 20.8 |  | 30.2 | 21.3 |  | 13.8 | 9.0 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C |  | B | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.8 |  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.4 | 36.7 |  | 3.2 | 88.0 |  | 1.8 | 0.4 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.0 | 52.2 |  | 10.0 | 118.7 |  | 5.9 | 3.9 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 106 | 1186 |  | 189 | 1763 |  | 548 | 651 |  |  | 634 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.54 |  | 0.13 | 0.85 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |  |  | 0.13 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.1\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中4 | 7 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 520 | 50 | 30 | 1315 | 120 | 125 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 57 | 527 | 52 | 30 | 1321 | 120 | 127 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 62 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3497 | 0 | 0 | 1783 | 0 | 0 | 1674 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.087 |  |  | 0.417 |  |  |  | 0.770 |  |  | 0.955 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 162 | 3539 | 1583 | 777 | 3497 | 0 | 0 | 1419 | 0 | 0 | 1608 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 91 |  | 15 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 60 | 555 | 55 | 32 | 1517 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 11.0 | 49.2 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.8 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 53.0 | 47.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.9 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 23.2 |  |  | 35.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.9 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 6.9 | 23.2 |  |  | 35.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.0 |  |  | 22.8 |  |  | 35.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.9 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 120.1 |  |  | 33.1 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.5 | 41.0 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 153.8 |  |  | 56.9 |  |  | 15.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 222 | 1950 | 913 | 534 | 1848 |  |  | 371 |  |  | 467 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.82 |  |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.6\%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7 \% 1}$ | 4 | 7 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 137 | 378 | 179 | 68 | 967 | 536 | 357 | 568 | 100 | 184 | 147 | 37 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1794 | 1770 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.099 |  |  | 0.471 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 184 | 3539 | 1794 | 877 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 179 |  | 129 |  |  |  | 131 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 137 | 378 | 179 | 68 | 1503 | 0 | 357 | 568 | 100 | 184 | 184 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 7.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 45.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.9 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 46.8 | 41.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.37 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.97 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.64 |  |
| Control Delay | 98.5 | 25.2 | 4.4 | 19.0 | 49.2 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 3.4 | 43.5 | 52.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 98.5 | 25.2 | 4.4 | 19.0 | 90.3 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 3.4 | 43.5 | 52.0 |  |
| LOS | F | C | A | B | F |  | C | E | A | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.3 |  |  | 87.2 |  |  | 54.9 |  |  | 47.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~23.3 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 154.5 |  | 31.7 | ~129.0 | 0.0 | 19.1 | 36.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#59.0 | 44.7 | 14.7 | 17.6 | \#205.1 |  | 44.6 | \#202.7 | 7.5 | 30.0 | 60.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 142 | 1350 | 795 | 419 | 1542 |  | 1029 | 566 | 520 | 586 | 317 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 1.13 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.58 |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 0 ( $0 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 3:NBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00
Intersection Signal Delay: 64.2
Intersection LOS: E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 94.5\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Forest Hills \& Main


2: Main \& New Driveway


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ |  |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |  | $\$$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 15 | 600 | 15 | 25 | 1415 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 631 | 16 | 26 | 1487 | 63 | 21 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 63 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.170 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.757 |  |  |  | 0.961 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 317 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1410 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1609 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 4 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 19 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 | 647 | 0 | 26 | 1550 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 11.5 | 39.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 7.5 | 35.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.34 |  | 0.11 | 0.50 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.55 |  | 0.14 | 0.88 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Control Delay | 20.7 | 20.9 |  | 30.3 | 23.1 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 20.7 | 20.9 |  | 30.3 | 23.1 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C |  | B | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.9 |  |  | 23.2 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 12.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.5 | 37.2 |  | 3.3 | 93.4 |  | 1.8 | 0.4 |  |  | 5.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.3 | 52.9 |  | 10.1 | \#134.8 |  | 6.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 14.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 106 | 1186 |  | 189 | 1763 |  | 543 | 649 |  |  | 632 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.55 |  | 0.14 | 0.88 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.0
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.1\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 | $4$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  | , | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中4 | T | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 520 | 50 | 30 | 1315 | 120 | 125 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 58 | 547 | 53 | 32 | 1382 | 126 | 131 | 53 | 16 | 11 | 16 | 63 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1783 | 0 | 0 | 1676 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.087 |  |  | 0.405 |  |  |  | 0.772 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 162 | 3539 | 1583 | 754 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1422 | 0 | 0 | 1601 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 91 |  | 15 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 61 | 576 | 56 | 34 | 1588 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 11.0 | 49.2 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.8 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 53.0 | 47.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  |  | 0.60 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Control Delay | 10.0 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 25.3 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 10.0 | 12.2 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 25.3 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| LOS | A | B | A | A | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  | 24.9 |  |  | 36.5 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.9 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 130.7 |  |  | 34.8 |  |  | 4.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.5 | 42.7 | 2.6 | 5.6 | \#185.0 |  |  | 59.3 |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 222 | 1950 | 913 | 523 | 1846 |  |  | 371 |  |  | 466 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  |  | 0.60 |  |  | 0.21 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.8
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.6\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7 \%}$ | 4 | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 360 | 170 | 65 | 920 | 510 | 340 | 540 | 95 | 175 | 140 | 35 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 137 | 390 | 179 | 77 | 984 | 549 | 357 | 568 | 105 | 192 | 147 | 37 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1794 | 1770 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.099 |  |  | 0.461 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 184 | 3539 | 1794 | 859 | 3922 | 0 | 3539 | 1946 | 1583 | 3586 | 1887 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 179 |  | 131 |  |  |  | 131 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 137 | 390 | 179 | 77 | 1533 | 0 | 357 | 568 | 105 | 192 | 184 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 7.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 9.0 | 45.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.9 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 46.8 | 41.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 16.3 | 16.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.37 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.99 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.64 |  |
| Control Delay | 98.5 | 25.4 | 4.4 | 19.3 | 53.2 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 4.0 | 43.7 | 52.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 98.5 | 25.4 | 4.4 | 19.3 | 91.3 |  | 31.9 | 78.5 | 4.0 | 43.7 | 52.0 |  |
| LOS | F | C | A | B | F |  | C | E | A | D | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.2 |  |  | 87.8 |  |  | 54.8 |  |  | 47.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | ~23.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 159.7 |  | 31.7 | ~129.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 36.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#59.0 | 46.1 | 14.7 | 19.5 | \#212.0 |  | 44.6 | \#202.7 | 8.6 | 31.0 | 60.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 142 | 1349 | 794 | 413 | 1544 |  | 1029 | 566 | 520 | 586 | 317 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.29 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 1.14 |  | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.58 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 3:NBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 64.5 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 94.5\% ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Forest Hills \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 个t |  | \% | 个 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | \% | F |  |  | \$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 15 | 600 | 15 | 25 | 1415 | 60 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 5 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 656 | 16 | 26 | 1512 | 63 | 21 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 5 | 63 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 |
| FIt Permitted | 0.170 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.757 |  |  |  | 0.961 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 317 | 3525 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1410 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1609 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 18 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 16 | 672 | 0 | 26 | 1575 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 27.5 | 27.5 |  | 11.5 | 39.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 |  | 7.5 | 35.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 | 0.34 |  | 0.11 | 0.50 |  | 0.39 | 0.39 |  |  | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.57 |  | 0.14 | 0.89 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Control Delay | 20.7 | 21.2 |  | 30.3 | 24.2 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 20.7 | 21.2 |  | 30.3 | 24.2 |  | 13.8 | 8.8 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| LOS | C | C |  | C | C |  | B | A |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 21.2 |  |  | 24.3 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 12.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.5 | 39.0 |  | 3.3 | 96.3 |  | 1.8 | 0.4 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.3 | 55.3 |  | 10.1 | \#147.9 |  | 6.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 106 | 1186 |  | 189 | 1763 |  | 543 | 649 |  |  | 631 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.57 |  | 0.14 | 0.89 |  | 0.04 | 0.03 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBT, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.8
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.1\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | $\psi$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | * |  |  | $\ddagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 55 | 520 | 50 | 30 | 1315 | 120 | 125 | 50 | 15 | 10 | 15 | 60 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 60 | 554 | 55 | 32 | 1389 | 126 | 133 | 53 | 16 | 11 | 16 | 65 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1783 | 0 | 0 | 1676 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.087 |  |  | 0.401 |  |  |  | 0.771 |  |  | 0.951 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 162 | 3539 | 1583 | 747 | 3493 | 0 | 0 | 1420 | 0 | 0 | 1603 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 91 |  | 15 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 72 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 63 | 583 | 58 | 34 | 1595 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 11.0 | 49.2 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  | 29.8 | 29.8 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 53.8 | 49.6 | 49.6 | 53.0 | 47.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| Control Delay | 10.1 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 25.5 |  |  | 36.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 10.1 | 12.2 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 25.5 |  |  | 36.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| LOS | B | B | A | A | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  | 25.2 |  |  | 36.7 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.1 | 31.6 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 131.7 |  |  | 35.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.8 | 43.2 | 2.8 | 5.6 | \#186.4 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 16.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 222 | 1950 | 913 | 519 | 1846 |  |  | 371 |  |  | 468 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.86 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.22 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.9
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.6\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{71}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 35 | 1080 | 350 | 200 | 550 | 210 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 390 | 85 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 35 | 1080 | 350 | 200 | 550 | 210 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 390 | 85 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3725 | 1794 | 1770 | 3573 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.278 |  |  | 0.107 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 518 | 3725 | 1794 | 199 | 3573 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 350 |  | 57 |  |  |  | 171 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 35 | 1080 | 350 | 200 | 760 | 0 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 475 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 9.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 14.0 | 44.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 40.8 | 35.6 | 35.6 | 49.8 | 44.4 |  | 18.3 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 29.9 | 29.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.40 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.90 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.51 |  | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.91 |  |
| Control Delay | 19.0 | 46.6 | 4.7 | 49.2 | 22.2 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 15.4 | 39.8 | 61.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 56.4 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 19.0 | 46.6 | 4.7 | 49.2 | 22.2 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 15.8 | 96.2 | 61.5 |  |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C |  | D | E | B | F | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.0 |  |  | 27.8 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 82.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 4.4 | 122.8 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 69.9 |  | 22.2 | 51.8 | 5.8 | 72.8 | 101.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 10.5 | \＃163．1 | 20.3 | \＃70．5 | 90.1 |  | 33.9 | \＃84．3 | 28.2 | 93.5 | \＃164．1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 251 | 1205 | 817 | 235 | 1476 |  | 624 | 336 | 382 | 1029 | 523 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 29 | 658 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.90 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.51 |  | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.57 | 1.89 | 0.91 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 47.5 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 84．4\％ |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：1：Forest Hills \＆Main


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $4$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | （ | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1 /}$ | 44 | 7 |  | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | 「＇ |  |  | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1910 | 70 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（Veh／h） | 0 | 1910 | 70 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 0 | 2076 | 76 | 0 | 1043 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（m） |  | 103 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked | 0.90 |  |  | 0.72 |  |  | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.72 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.90 |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1043 |  |  | 2152 |  |  | 2598 | 3119 | 1038 | 2157 | 3195 | 522 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$ ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$ ，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu ，unblocked vol | 816 |  |  | 1823 |  |  | 1873 | 2548 | 278 | 1303 | 2647 | 234 |
| tC ，single（s） | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| tC， 2 stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \％ | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 100 | 100 | 85 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 724 |  |  | 239 |  |  | 34 | 20 | 519 | 78 | 18 | 688 |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | EB 4 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 0 | 1038 | 1038 | 76 | 695 | 348 | 76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 519 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 13.1 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 63．8\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中t |  | \% | 性 |  | \% | $\hat{1}$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 60 | 1880 | 40 | 55 | 900 | 45 | 35 | 5 | 95 | 85 | 5 | 25 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 60 | 1880 | 40 | 55 | 900 | 45 | 35 | 5 | 95 | 85 | 5 | 25 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1770 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.317 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.696 |  |  |  | 0.721 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 590 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1296 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1304 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 60 | 1920 | 0 | 55 | 945 | 0 | 37 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 67.4 | 67.4 |  | 11.6 | 79.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 63.4 | 63.4 |  | 7.6 | 75.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 0.07 | 0.68 |  | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.18 | 0.85 |  | 0.45 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.1 | 25.1 |  | 61.5 | 7.7 |  | 33.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 47.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.1 | 72.1 |  | 61.5 | 7.7 |  | 33.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| LOS | B | E |  | E | A |  | C | A |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 70.4 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.4 | 174.7 |  | 12.2 | 39.6 |  | 6.5 | 0.9 |  |  | 20.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m8. 2 | 199.6 |  | 25.9 | 49.5 |  | 15.6 | 14.6 |  |  | 38.1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 340 | 2254 |  | 122 | 2658 |  | 318 | 467 |  |  | 329 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 630 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 1.18 |  | 0.45 | 0.36 |  | 0.12 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 70 (64\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay: 48.2
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{7}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中4 | 7 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 110 | 1680 | 260 | 40 | 790 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 110 | 1680 | 260 | 40 | 790 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 90 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1762 | 0 | 0 | 1751 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.247 |  |  | 0.089 |  |  |  | 0.589 |  |  | 0.782 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 460 | 3539 | 1583 | 166 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1072 | 0 | 0 | 1400 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 223 |  | 4 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 23 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 116 | 1768 | 274 | 42 | 853 | 0 | 0 | 190 | 0 | 0 | 326 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 11.0 | 49.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.1 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 53.3 | 47.7 |  |  | 25.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.59 | 0.53 |  |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.90 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.79 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.0 | 27.4 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 14.6 |  |  | 36.0 |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.0 | 27.4 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 14.6 |  |  | 36.0 |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.4 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 36.0 |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 7.6 | 159.3 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 50.4 |  |  | 28.1 |  |  | 50.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 14.2 | \#219.4 | 17.2 | 6.4 | 66.2 |  |  | 52.0 |  |  | \#95.2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 378 | 1962 | 976 | 222 | 1870 |  |  | 311 |  |  | 413 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.90 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.79 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 23.6
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.7\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 71 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 35 | 1080 | 350 | 200 | 550 | 210 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 390 | 85 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 35 | 1103 | 350 | 208 | 570 | 224 | 215 | 240 | 210 | 716 | 390 | 85 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1770 | 3725 | 1794 | 1770 | 3569 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.259 |  |  | 0.108 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 482 | 3725 | 1794 | 201 | 3569 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 350 |  | 59 |  |  |  | 171 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 35 | 1103 | 350 | 208 | 794 | 0 | 215 | 240 | 210 | 716 | 475 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 9.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 14.0 | 44.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 40.6 | 35.4 | 35.4 | 49.8 | 44.4 |  | 18.3 | 18.3 | 15.8 | 29.9 | 29.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.40 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.92 | 0.43 | 0.87 | 0.54 |  | 0.36 | 0.74 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.91 |  |
| Control Delay | 19.2 | 49.4 | 4.7 | 51.9 | 22.6 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 17.0 | 40.3 | 61.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 57.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 19.2 | 49.4 | 4.7 | 51.9 | 22.6 |  | 42.3 | 58.2 | 17.5 | 97.5 | 61.5 |  |
| LOS | B | D | A | D | C |  | D | E | B | F | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.2 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 40.2 |  |  | 83.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 4.4 | 126.4 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 73.9 |  | 22.2 | 51.8 | 7.8 | 74.9 | 101.9 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 10.5 | \＃169．0 | 20.3 | \＃74．4 | 94.9 |  | 33.9 | \＃84．3 | 31.1 | 96.0 | \＃164．1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 238 | 1198 | 814 | 239 | 1475 |  | 624 | 336 | 382 | 1029 | 523 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 30 | 689 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.92 | 0.43 | 0.87 | 0.54 |  | 0.34 | 0.71 | 0.60 | 2.11 | 0.91 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 0 （0\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBTL，Start of Green，Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 48.6 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 84．4\％ |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：1：Forest Hills \＆Main



|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 60 | 1880 | 40 | 55 | 900 | 45 | 35 | 5 | 95 | 85 | 5 | 25 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 60 | 1929 | 40 | 55 | 930 | 45 | 35 | 5 | 95 | 85 | 5 | 25 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1770 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.308 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.696 |  |  |  | 0.721 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 574 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1296 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1304 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 100 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 60 | 1969 | 0 | 55 | 975 | 0 | 37 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 67.4 | 67.4 |  | 11.6 | 79.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 63.4 | 63.4 |  | 7.6 | 75.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 0.07 | 0.68 |  | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.87 |  | 0.45 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.2 | 26.4 |  | 61.5 | 7.8 |  | 33.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 46.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.2 | 73.1 |  | 61.5 | 7.8 |  | 33.6 | 8.7 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| LOS | B | E |  | E | A |  | C | A |  |  | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 71.4 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 34.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.6 | 181.0 |  | 12.2 | 41.3 |  | 6.5 | 0.9 |  |  | 20.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m8.0 | m204.5 |  | 25.9 | 51.4 |  | 15.6 | 14.6 |  |  | 38.1 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 330 | 2254 |  | 122 | 2658 |  | 318 | 467 |  |  | 329 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 628 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 1.21 |  | 0.45 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.22 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 70 (64\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87
Intersection Signal Delay: 48.9
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ | V | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 110 | 1680 | 260 | 40 | 790 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 113 | 1688 | 263 | 40 | 800 | 20 | 124 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 94 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1763 | 0 | 0 | 1747 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.230 |  |  | 0.092 |  |  |  | 0.582 |  |  | 0.784 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 428 | 3539 | 1583 | 171 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1060 | 0 | 0 | 1401 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 225 |  | 4 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 24 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 119 | 1777 | 277 | 42 | 863 | 0 | 0 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 11.0 | 49.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.1 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 45.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.4 | 27.8 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 15.6 |  |  | 37.2 |  |  | 43.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.4 | 27.8 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 15.6 |  |  | 37.2 |  |  | 43.8 |  |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.7 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 37.2 |  |  | 43.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 7.8 | 160.7 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 51.2 |  |  | 29.2 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 14.5 | \#221.3 | 17.4 | 6.4 | 67.3 |  |  | 53.8 |  |  | \#96.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 362 | 1962 | 977 | 224 | 1784 |  |  | 308 |  |  | 414 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.91 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.80 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.2
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.7\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main



Splits and Phases: 1: Forest Hills \& Main


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \％ |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 |  | 虫 |  |  |  | F |  |  | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（veh／h） | 0 | 1910 | 70 | 0 | 960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（Veh／h） |  | 2008 | 74 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  |
| Grade | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  | 0\％ |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate（vph） | 0 | 2183 | 80 | 0 | 1097 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width（m） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed（ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare（veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal（m） | 103 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX，platoon unblocked | 0.89 | 0.70 |  |  |  |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.89 |
| vC ，conflicting volume | 1097 | 2263 |  |  |  |  | 2732 | 3280 | 1092 | 2268 | 3360 | 548 |
| vC 1 ，stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 ，stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu ，unblocked vol | 859 | 1943 |  |  |  |  | 1978 | 2707 | 263 | 1363 | 2813 | 241 |
| tC ，single（s） | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage（s） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF（s） | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  | 3.5 |  | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \％ | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 84 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| cM capacity（veh／h） | 691 | 208 |  |  |  |  | 28 | 16 | 513 | 68 | 13 | 675 |
| Direction，Lane \＃ | EB 1 | EB 2 | EB 3 | EB 4 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 0 | 1092 | 1092 | 80 | 731 | 366 | 80 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 513 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.00 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.22 | 0.16 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay（s） | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 13.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 63．8\％ |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 | 4 |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 60 | 1880 | 40 | 55 | 900 | 45 | 35 | 5 | 95 | 85 | 5 | 25 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 63 | 1976 | 42 | 58 | 946 | 47 | 37 | 5 | 100 | 89 | 5 | 26 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1770 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1744 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.303 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.695 |  |  |  | 0.700 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 564 | 3910 | 0 | 1770 | 3894 | 0 | 1295 | 1596 | 0 | 0 | 1266 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 105 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 63 | 2018 | 0 | 58 | 993 | 0 | 39 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 67.4 | 67.4 |  | 11.6 | 79.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 63.4 | 63.4 |  | 7.6 | 75.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |  | 27.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.58 |  | 0.07 | 0.68 |  | 0.25 | 0.25 |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.90 |  | 0.48 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.23 |  |  | 0.39 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.4 | 27.9 |  | 62.7 | 7.9 |  | 33.7 | 8.5 |  |  | 35.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 46.4 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.4 | 74.4 |  | 62.7 | 7.9 |  | 33.7 | 8.5 |  |  | 35.6 |  |
| LOS | B | E |  | E | A |  | C | A |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 72.6 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | 35.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.9 | 187.6 |  | 12.9 | 42.4 |  | 6.9 | 0.9 |  |  | 21.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m8.3 | m205.4 |  | 26.8 | 52.8 |  | 16.2 | 15.0 |  |  | 40.2 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 38.2 |  |  | 81.3 |  |  | 53.7 |  |  | 39.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 70.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 325 | 2254 |  | 122 | 2658 |  | 317 | 470 |  |  | 319 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 627 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 1.24 |  | 0.48 | 0.37 |  | 0.12 | 0.23 |  |  | 0.39 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 70 (64\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 49.7
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 中4 | 7 | ${ }^{*}$ | 中t |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 110 | 1680 | 260 | 40 | 790 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 116 | 1766 | 273 | 42 | 830 | 21 | 126 | 32 | 32 | 147 | 84 | 95 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1762 | 0 | 0 | 1751 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.217 |  |  | 0.092 |  |  |  | 0.584 |  |  | 0.778 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 404 | 3539 | 1583 | 171 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1063 | 0 | 0 | 1393 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 223 |  | 4 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 23 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 122 | 1859 | 287 | 44 | 896 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 11.0 | 49.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.1 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 45.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.95 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.50 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.7 | 32.6 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 15.9 |  |  | 38.2 |  |  | 47.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.7 | 32.6 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 15.9 |  |  | 38.2 |  |  | 47.6 |  |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 27.8 |  |  | 15.6 |  |  | 38.2 |  |  | 47.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.0 | $\sim 194.1$ | 5.7 | 2.8 | 53.8 |  |  | 30.3 |  |  | 54.8 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 14.8 | \#238.0 | 19.0 | 6.6 | 70.4 |  |  | \#57.2 |  |  | \#103.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 348 | 1962 | 976 | 224 | 1784 |  |  | 309 |  |  | 411 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.35 | 0.95 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.50 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.1
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.7\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4\% |  | 71 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{17}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 35 | 1080 | 350 | 200 | 550 | 210 | 215 | 240 | 200 | 700 | 390 | 85 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 37 | 1159 | 368 | 219 | 599 | 235 | 226 | 252 | 221 | 753 | 410 | 89 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3725 | 1794 | 1770 | 3569 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.228 |  |  | 0.109 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 425 | 3725 | 1794 | 203 | 3569 | 0 | 3614 | 1946 | 1583 | 3774 | 1893 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 368 |  | 59 |  |  |  | 171 |  | 10 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 37 | 1159 | 368 | 219 | 834 | 0 | 226 | 252 | 221 | 753 | 499 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 9.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 14.0 | 44.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 40.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 49.0 | 43.6 |  | 18.5 | 18.5 | 16.0 | 30.5 | 30.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.40 |  | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.98 | 0.45 | 0.94 | 0.58 |  | 0.37 | 0.77 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 0.94 |  |
| Control Delay | 19.6 | 59.0 | 4.8 | 66.4 | 23.5 |  | 42.4 | 60.4 | 18.8 | 40.6 | 65.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 58.9 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 19.6 | 59.0 | 4.8 | 66.4 | 23.5 |  | 42.4 | 60.4 | 19.5 | 99.5 | 65.5 |  |
| LOS | B | E | A | E | C |  | D | E | B | F | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.3 |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 41.6 |  |  | 86.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.6 | 135.7 | 0.0 | 32.7 | 78.9 |  | 23.4 | 54.7 | 10.1 | 79.7 | 109.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 10.9 | \#183.1 | 20.8 | \#80.7 | 101.0 |  | 35.3 | \#90.9 | 34.9 | 101.7 | \#176.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 419.1 |  |  | 79.4 |  |  | 266.2 |  |  | 423.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 45.0 |  | 115.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 80.0 | 200.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 215 | 1185 | 821 | 232 | 1450 |  | 624 | 336 | 382 | 1047 | 532 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 33 | 755 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.98 | 0.45 | 0.94 | 0.58 |  | 0.36 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 2.58 | 0.94 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green, Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 52.9 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.4\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Forest Hills \& Main



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 70 (64\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92

Intersection Signal Delay: 50.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.4\%
Intersection LOS: D
ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 3: Panavista \& Main



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | ( | $\frac{1}{*}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 $\%$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 110 | 1680 | 260 | 40 | 790 | 20 | 120 | 30 | 30 | 140 | 80 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 119 | 1774 | 276 | 42 | 841 | 21 | 130 | 32 | 32 | 147 | 84 | 99 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1763 | 0 | 0 | 1749 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.213 |  |  | 0.092 |  |  |  | 0.578 |  |  | 0.780 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 397 | 3539 | 1583 | 171 | 3525 | 0 | 0 | 1053 | 0 | 0 | 1395 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 225 |  | 4 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 24 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 125 | 1867 | 291 | 44 | 907 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 0 | 347 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 11.0 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 11.0 | 49.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  | 29.5 | 29.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 54.1 | 49.9 | 49.9 | 52.5 | 45.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |  | 25.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.28 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.95 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.84 |  |
| Control Delay | 9.9 | 33.2 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 16.0 |  |  | 39.5 |  |  | 48.3 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 9.9 | 33.2 | 4.2 | 8.6 | 16.0 |  |  | 39.5 |  |  | 48.3 |  |
| LOS | A | C | A | A | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.2 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  | 39.5 |  |  | 48.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.2 | ~195.7 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 54.6 |  |  | 31.2 |  |  | 55.5 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 15.1 | \#239.6 | 19.2 | 6.6 | 71.5 |  |  | \#62.0 |  |  | \#104.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 374.6 |  |  | 257.8 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 185.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 56.0 |  | 67.0 | 78.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 345 | 1962 | 977 | 224 | 1784 |  |  | 306 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.95 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.84 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 90
Actuated Cycle Length: 90
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.5
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.7\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 5: Hillsboro/Montague \& Main
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