## Vision Theme: Development and Design

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment		Project	Cross
						Challenges/ Opportunities		Dependencies	Reference #
What needs to be done?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)		Who can help us dc it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
D-1 <b>Develop</b> strong urban/community design guidelines w are in accord with th Bedford Waterfront Vision. These guidelines would include appropriate landscaping, public access on ground le of structures (less private at ground le protection of public corridors and allow community events t "spill out" to the street/public spaces	flexibility; confusion among public and land developers evel vel), vista		A process to bring about urban/community design guidelines for the waterfront; opportunities for public dialogue among stakeholders; amendments to Bedford MPS to adopt guidelines (once developed by community); budget allocation (incentives) to implement A public process to define public vista corridors (views from public lands); amendments to Bedford MPS/LUB to implement	streetscape projects	requirements;		Development occurs/continues without adequate design considerations; community desire for strong guidelines and quality development lost for foreseeable future	HRM by Design	

Describe A	ction	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What needs		What is the situation now?		What tools/other resources do we need to do it?	Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
lands limite and/c mech	scaping, siting, and ed building height or scale as possible nanisms to mitigate Il impacts on view	incentives; existing controls lack flexibility; confusion	additional waterfront development takes place	Process to bring about urban/community design guidelines for the waterfront; opportunities for public dialogue among stakeholders; amendments to Bedford MPS to adopt guidelines (once developed by community)	- HRM -Local Councillor	Lobby for immediate action to bring about appropriate requirements; request Council initiate process to develop guidelines; actively participate in design process to ensure desired outcome		Development occurs/continues without adequate design considerations; community desire for strong guidelines and quality development lost for foreseeable future	HRM by Design	

Desc	cribe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Opportunities			Cross Reference #
What	t needs to be done?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)	What tools/other resources do we need to do it?	Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
D-3	Designate (and zone/rezone) waterfrom lands to achieve the Bedford Waterfront Vision	not be adequately	additional waterfront	A process to examine options and bring about desired refinements to existing MPS; opportunities for public dialogue among stakeholders	-HRM -Local Councillor	Lobby for immediate action to bring about appropriate changes to MPS; request Council initiate process to examine existing waterfront land use policies; actively participate in community planning process to ensure desired outcome	Community education on options, trends, changing/new approaches to community (land use) planning - i.e. formed based codes, eco- density, transit oriented development, compact mixed use neighbourhoods, etc.	Development occurs/continues without refinements to existing MPS; community and developers' desire for better planning, and a clear and predictable process lost for foreseeable future		
D-4	and transportation into design	Limited facilities to support water-based transport (docks, transit access, park and ride, public access for various types of recreational boating)		A process to examine options; opportunities for public dialogue among stakeholders; opportunities for partnerships	-HRM -Waterfront Development Corporation	Support and encourage private sector (new business opportunities)	Private/ public partnerships	without adequate consideration/	Implementation of Active Transportation Plan; Open Space Functional Plan and Indoor/Outdoor Facility Master Plan	

Desc	Describe Action Current Situation				Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What	t needs to be done?	What is the situation now?		resources do we need to	Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
D-5	throughout Phase II	Confusion over current guidelines; nc policy for permanent community identity or cultural signs		regulatory support; simplified process for community signage; consistent guidelines	Development (Development Services); Right of Way / Construction	Provide input; assist with fund- raising for community signs; assist with maintenance of community signs (adopt-a-sign)	Clear policies and procedures for signage; sign bylaw; land use regulations	inappropriate signage	Civic Addressing; policy for Community/Cultural signage; temporary sign bylaw; land use regulations	
D-6		Current use of the waterfront area is primarily in the summer	Immediate		Works/Operations; Capital Projects	Report maintenance issues; explore community service partnerships (ie. community gardens and community clean up events	Review current and future maintenance needs of the area; operations plan	Lack of maintenance leads to less use; safety issues	Indoor/Outdoor Facility Master Plan	

Vision Category or Theme: Transit and Transportation

Desc	ribe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What	needs to be done?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)		Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
T-1	<b>Develop</b> pedestrian access at various points west of the current Convoy Run access	0,000	Before development of Phase II structures	Land acquisition/easement; land donation	-HRM -Feds/Province -CN -WDC	Involvement/input into the plan to ensure pedestrian needs are meet; assist with identifying key pedestrian access points; land donation	Pedestrian areas provide for integration and connectivity to the wider area; supportive land owners	Pedestrian access does not provide for connectivity and integration in overall plan	Implementation of Active Transportation Plan	
T-2	<b>Develop</b> another vehicular access west of the Sobeys parking area	No second access	Before development of Phase II structures	Land acquisition/easement; land donation	-HRM -Feds/Province -CN WDC	Lobby; provide input into any future plan; examine options	Supportive land owners; cooperation from CN/other land owners	No second access is provided; develop continues with only one access		
T-3	Introduce ferry/water taxi service and develop ferry terminal facilities	Under discussion/fast ferry study initiated	Private/public partnerships	Feasibility study	-HRM -Feds/Province -WDC	Show support; provide input into future planning	Cooperation/ collaboration from all players	Lack of support	Parking Strategy; Transit Strategy	
Т-4	<b>Develop</b> park and ride facilities in proximity of Bedford Highway and proposed ferry jetty		Before development	Acquisition/easement	-HRM -Feds/Province -WDC	Provide input into the design of any parking area	HRM Regional Transportation Planning	-Cost -Land availability -Unattractive parking area	Parking Strategy	

Desc	Describe Action Current Sit		Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo	
What	t needs to be done?	What is the situation When do we wa now? (Immediate = up years; Short tern up to 5 years; Medium term = years; Long tern 13+ years)		we need to do it?	it? community do?		What would help us?	Wh wrc
Τ-5	<b>Provide</b> public transportation to the Bedford Waterfront and proposed ferry terminal as an integral component of a higher order service and enhance regular routes feeding these services for the wider Bedford population		Immediate to short term - Service to be provided concurrent with development	Funding; ridership data (transit study)	-HRM Metro Transit HRM Regional Transportation Planning	Use public transportation; lobby for efficient transit routes and convenient service	Public transportation is integrated and connected to the various land uses and the greater area	Lac cor fer
Т-6	<b>Enhance</b> the trail from Shore Drive, at the mouth of the Sackville River, to Admiral DeWolf Park	Existing (informal trail) is narrow and potentially unsafe	Immediate to short term	Funding	-HRM -Local Councillor -HRTA -(Adjacent community) trail groups	Investigate private partnership/ philanthropic sponsorship opportunities; assistance with maintenance (adopt-a-trail)	Support and involvement from local trail groups; develop plan for maintaining the trail	Op priv ow ma var

	Project	Cross
unities	Dependencies	Reference #
/hat could go rong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
,	Transportation Demand Management Plan	
ivate land wners; no	Active Transportation Plan; Open Space Plan; Heritage Functional Plan	

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment		Project	Cross
						Challenges/ Opportunities		Dependencies	Reference #
What needs to be done?	What is the situation now?			Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
T-7 <b>Continue</b> development of the boardwalk for non-motorized use	Existing boardwalk stops west of commercial building	Immediate - 2008	Funding; a concept plan of trail connections to other uses and transportation options	-Waterfront Development Corporation -Regional Transportation -HRTA -Adjacent community trail groups	Provide input to the design and signage assist with maintenance and reporting damage		provide for multiple uses or does not	Active Transportation Plan; Open Space Plan; Heritage Functional Plan; TDN Plan	

## Vision Category or Theme: Community Facilities and Infrastructure

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo	ortunities	Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
now? completed: (Immediate years; Sho up to 5 yea Medium tel years; Long		When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)	resources do we need to	Who can help us do What can the it? community do				Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
<ul> <li>Build a multi-use, indoor/outdoor community centre which could serve as a cultural and recreational hub. It could also incorporate the current Bedford Library and the proposed ferry terminal. Centre/campus could also include fountain area/ children's water park which could function as an outdoor skating area in the winter.</li> </ul>	such as kayaking; Inadequate meeting space for community groups; Library housed in an inadequate building which is not close to the burgeoning	t	Land availability; feasability study; library relocation; new ferry terminal; partnerships; involvement of local community groups; asset inventory; support/grants/capital funding (all levels of government); sponsorship; results of cultural plan consultations	sponsors -HRM Regional Transportation -HRM Facility Development	Provide input; participate in planning process; participate in future management agreement; fund raise; identify community assets	Sponsors; land availability/ donation of land; feasibility study; funding; strong community involvement	Ferry terminal approval (as a stand alone facility); competing priorities; land availability/cost; lack of community support	Facility Master Plan; Cultural Plan/Strategy; Regional Transportation Plan (Fast Ferry); Library Master Plan	

Desc	ribe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		-	Cross Reference #
What	t needs to be done?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)	resources do we need to do it?	Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
I-2	<b>Undertake</b> a feasibility study to ascertain the needs of the community re: cultural, recreational and other community and social activities	lobbying for venues do not have the data to	Immediate - 2008	Allocation of funds for a feasibility study	-Local Councillor -HRM Facility Development -School Board	Promote and participate in the study; speak with a strong voice	Community involvement; identification of active community groups and other assets; feedback from cultural plan consultations; library master plan	involvement/ participation	Facility Master Plan; Facility Development; Cultural Plan	
I-3	<b>Incorporate</b> outdoor recreation facilities such as outdoor tennis and beach volleyball facilities, etc.	in Bedford; no outdoor		Land availability; capital funding	-Local Councillor -Community Groups -HRM -Other levels of Government -HRM Capital Projects	Provide further input into outdoor recreational needs; seek potential sponsorship; assist with maintenance and reporting	Community support; available resources; include as part of overall feasibility study (outdoor facilities)	Lack of available land	Facility Master Plan	
I-4	Improve access/ utilization of the Lions Club pool - examine opportunities for year round use	Not incorporated into the Waterfront concept	Short term	Funding	-HRM Recreation - Aquatic Services -Community Groups	fund raise	Partnership	Not enough use year round	Facility Master Plan	

Desc	Describe Action Current Situatio		Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	Irtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Opportur	
What	needs to be done?				Who can help us doWhat can the it? community do?		What would help us?	
1-5	<b>Provide</b> accessible public washroom facilities	None	Short term	Capital funding	Local Councillor HRM (Capital Projects) Private business (willing to open up own facilities or contribute funding towards public washrooms)	Lobby; assist with management; reporting damage or safety issues	Businesses in area provide support and funding for public facilities	a Put priv was per van
I-6	<b>Commercialize</b> marina facilities	No services for boaters who wish to make the Bedford waterfront a destination (e.g. see Baddeck)	Medium to long term	Public/private partnerships; research into "Floating Neighbourhoods"; best practices study	-Various boating groups -Bedford Yacht Club	Lobby; advisory role as to needs	Local business support	llleq poll van con ser

	•	Cross
	Dependencies	Reference #
rong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
ublic will use 'ivate businesses' ashrooms without ermission; andalism		
egal mooring; ollution; andalism; ontinued lack of ervices		

# Vision Category or Theme: Environment

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What needs to be done to achieve the vision?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)	resources do we need to do it?	Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
sedimentation controls upstream of mouth Sackville River <i>Ensure HRM's Water</i> <i>Quality Monitoring</i>	HRM to undertake new functional plan 2007/08		Keep the lines of cooperation and communication open between all parties, including clear practices for reporting violations, monitoring and reclamation of violations	- Bedford Watershed Advisory Board - Waterfront Development Corporation - HRM	Continue to support the work of community based, not-for- profit organizations like the Sackville Rivers Association <i>Ensure 3 year</i> <i>reviews of</i> <i>functional plan are</i> <i>carried out</i>	about cause/impact of erosion and sedimentation (to fresh and salt water); access to best practice information		HRM Stormwater Management Functional Plan (2008) HRM Water Quality Monitoring Functiona Plan (2007) Watershed Study – Sackville River	ł

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa	rtners	Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What needs to be done to achieve the vision?	What is the situation now?			Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
E-2 Protect Moirs Pond	Questionable status on protection; future unknown; community asset at risk	development occurs	Regulations to prevent/restrict infilling; and regulations to identify and protect as a public view corridor to Bedford Basin (from Bedford Highway/Hammonds Plains Road)	- Bedford Watershed Advisory / Board - HRM	Continue lobbying efforts; request Council initiate amendments to regulations to prevent/restrict infilling; actively participate in amendment process to ensure desired outcome	awareness in the community about threats to Moirs Pond and opportunity to preserve as public view corridor	adequate regulations to prevent/restrict infilling; infilling occurs	Recent approval of and use regulations for Halifax North West Arm presents rationale/offers a model/approach HRM Stormwater Management Functional Plan (2008) HRM Water Quality Monitoring Functional Plan (2007)	

Describe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa		Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
What needs to be done to achieve the vision?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)		Who can help us do it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
E-3 Ensure the capacity o the Mill Cove sewage treatment plant will accommodate future needs	f Plant flows (in/out) monitored to NSDOE specifications		Cost sharing with other evels of government	Halifax Regional Water/ Waste Water Authority	Stay informed about capacity issues; lobby for continuous adherence to appropriate requirements Lobby/ensure development/build ing permit process requires verification of increased demand on the existing STP, to ensure no overloading of the treatment plant	5	Development occurs without adequate plant capacity; community not provided with information to maintain level of awareness	Waste Water Management Functional Plan (2008) Infrastructure Charges Implementation Project (2007)	

Desc	cribe Action	Current Situation	Time Frame	Resources	Implementation Pa		Risk Assessment Challenges/ Oppo		Project Dependencies	Cross Reference #
	t needs to be done to eve the vision?	What is the situation now?	When do we want it completed? (Immediate = up to 2 years; Short term = up to 5 years; Medium term = 6-12 years; Long term = 13+ years)	resources do we need to do it?	Who can help us dc it?	What can the community do?	What would help us?	What could go wrong?	Does this depend on any other project or initiative?	
E-4	<b>Ensure</b> adequate measures are in place to protect waterfront lands from sea level rise, coastal inundation and extreme storm events	Bedford Basin exempt from interim coastal elevation requirements in the Regional Plan	additional waterfront	Regulations to protect waterfront lands and development; funding from all levels of government for LIDAR mapping; maps showing elevations, buildings and property lines in relation to highwater mark and watercourses; predictive modelling	- HRM - Waterfront Development Corporation - Province/Feds	Lobby for appropriate requirements; request Council initiate amendments to regulations to require protection of coastal lands/developmen t; actively participate in amendment process to ensure desired outcome		from modelling are	LIDAR project (2007-08) Hazards to Development functional plan Harbour plan	
E-5	<b>Ensure</b> adequate measures (and monitoring) remain in place for disposal of pyritic slate (within designated) disposal area	Phase II WDC lands are an approved disposal area	Ongoing	Communication strategy to ensure open lines of communication; maintain WDC focus group (for waterfront lands)	- HRM - Waterfront Development Corporation - Province/Feds	Stay informed about infill project; lobby for continuous adherence to requirements for disposal	Greater availability of information from the WDC; regular updates/reporting to the community	unregulated material; public		

Appendix A: Summary of Feedback on Draft Vision and Action Plan for Bedford Waterfront (June-August 2007)

A. Summary of Major Themes:	
18	Design (40%)
11	Residential vs commercial (25%)

- 9 Out of Scope for Vision (20%)
- 5 Public consultation (11%)
- 2 HRM accountability (4%)
- 45 Total

#### B. Details:

# of Responses	Feedback	Theme
2	Don't want waterfront used for parking	Design
1	Use waterfront for cross country skiing trails during winter months.	Design
1	Concern over impact on future waterfront development of rising water levels due to global warming.	Design
1	Ensure sufficient number of trees are planted	Design
1	Ensure design guidelines are economically feasible for developers. Allow artistic freedom/creativity in design.	Design
1	Ensure appropriate setbacks that don't intimidate public.	Design
1	Vision does not promote artistic endeavors: street art or sculptures.	Design
1	Public washrooms	Design
1	Limit space on waterfront may be insufficient for skating rink. Use Papermill Lake for skating.	Design
1	Proposed development (including community center) could be too large for site	Design
1	Concern over high density development	Design
1	Concern over increased traffic on Hammonds Plains Rd and Bedford Hwy going to/from proposed ferry terminal.	Design
1	Better address youth facilities in vision. Examples: monitored youth center with skate board park. Put a mountain bike park somewhere.	Design
1	Vision ignores small town atmosphere	Design
1	Fear that all people won't be able to use newly created services/businesses on waterfront	Design
1	Vision should include statement on how traffic flows, connections, transit, how parking is to be handled and cultural requirements.	Design
1	Pedestrian access to waterfront near the Ultramar gas station	Design
18	- Total re Design	
11		
	Establishing proper balance between public green space and residential/commercial development	Residential vs Commercial
11	Total re Residential vs Commercial	
<mark>11</mark> 3	Total re Residential vs Commercial Concern over gas station at Sobey's	Out of Scope for Vision
11	Total re Residential vs Commercial Concern over gas station at Sobey's Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
<mark>11</mark> 3	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's       Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough       Vision not specific enough	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
<mark>11</mark> 3	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
<mark>11</mark> 3 2 1 1 1	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
11 3 2 1 1	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9 4	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.         Total re Scope         More notification to residents important before finalizing vision. Perhaps a well advertised public meeting.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9 4	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.         Total re Scope         More notification to residents important before finalizing vision. Perhaps a well advertised public meeting.         Better communication needed to all Bedford residents on proposed planning/development changes. (more than Chronicle Herald)         Total re Public Consultation	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Public Consultation Public Consultation
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9 4 1 2 5 1	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.         Total re Scope         More notification to residents important before finalizing vision. Perhaps a well advertised public meeting.         Better communication needed to all Bedford residents on proposed planning/development changes. (more than Chronicle Herald)         Total re Public Consultation         Will recommendations be seriously considered by HRM?	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Public Consultation Public Consultation HRM Accountability
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9 4 1 5	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.         Total re Scope         More notification to residents important before finalizing vision. Perhaps a well advertised public meeting.         Better communication needed to all Bedford residents on proposed planning/development changes. (more than Chronicle Herald)         Total re Public Consultation	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Public Consultation Public Consultation
11 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 9 4 1 2 5 1	Total re Residential vs Commercial         Concern over gas station at Sobey's         Off leash dog park (1 for, 1 against)         Vision not specific enough         Public right of ways further down Shore Drive excluded from vision. Right of ways being absorbed by adjacent land owners.         Move businesses between Sobey's and Cascades Spa to malls and use space for boardwalk to Shore Drive.         Stop infilling Bedford Basin & expropriate pre-Confederation water lots to prevent individuals infilling. Danger to boaters at night and in fog.         Total re Scope         More notification to residents important before finalizing vision. Perhaps a well advertised public meeting.         Better communication needed to all Bedford residents on proposed planning/development changes. (more than Chronicle Herald)         Total re Public Consultation         Will recommendations be seriously considered by HRM?	Out of Scope for Vision Out of Scope for Vision Public Consultation Public Consultation HRM Accountability

<u>45</u>Total

VisionHRM Focus on S.M.A.R.T. deliverables... Specific - what are we striving for? Measurable - what by when? Action - oriented. Realistic - practical. Tangible - concrete, not vague.

#### Reference to Action Strategy D-1 D-1, I-2, I-3

E-4 D-1, D-2 D-1, D-2 D-1, D-2 D-1 I-5 I-1, I-2, I-3 I-1, D-2 D-1, D-2 T-4, T-5 D-1, I-1, I-3 D-1, D-2 D-1 T-1 to T-6, I-1 T-1

D-1, D-2, D-3

Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable Not Applicable

Overall Vision Overall Vision

Overall Vision Overall Vision