

# AUDITOR GENERAL

Halifax Regional Municipality



## Follow-up Review: Reports Released from March 2014 – January 2016

April 2018

**April 18, 2018**

Office of the Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality

This ***Follow-up Review: Reports Released from March 2014 – January 2016***, completed under section 50(2) of the Halifax Regional Municipality Charter, is hereby submitted to the Audit and Finance Standing Committee of Regional Council.

Respectfully,

*Original signed by*

Evangeline Colman-Sadd, CPA, CA  
Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality

## Table of Contents

Summary.....	3
Administration of Training Expenditures – March 2014.....	6
Employee Absence Leave – April 2014.....	7
Equipment Fuel Program – September 2014.....	8
Halifax Regional Fire & Emergency – Nonemergency Fleet Vehicle Allocation – September 2014.....	9
Washmill Lake Court Extension Project – January 2015.....	10
Risk Management: Fuel Spill at Halifax Transit – May 2015.....	12
Expenses: Mayor, Councillors, Senior Management and Staff 2013-14 – May 2015.....	14
Building Standards – Permits and Inspections – September 2015.....	15
Management of HRM's Reserve Funds – January 2016.....	16
Background.....	18
Objectives and Scope.....	18
Contact Information.....	20

## Summary

### Results

Only 46% of significant issues addressed

54% of known issues remain outstanding

### Key Take-aways

Management needs to take action to correct known deficiencies.

Of the nine reports released between March 2014 and January 2016, 54% (14 of 26) of significant issues are outstanding two to four years later, including:


- No procedure manual for building permits and inspections
  - Detailed procedures would guide staff and promote consistency across inspections
- Lack of project management office for high-value, one-time capital projects
  - Ad hoc for each project rather than common approach
- No policy for the use of fuel fobs at HRM fueling locations
- Lack of monitoring of fuel cards and fobs by supervisors to confirm fuel purchases are reasonable

Of the nine reports, three had minimal or no work done to address identified risks.


- Building Standards – Permits and Inspections
- Employee Absence Leave
- Equipment Fuel Program

<b>Follow-up Themes by Report</b>	<b>Addressed</b>
<b>Administration of Training Expenditures</b>	<b>3/3</b>
No training strategy to develop courses	Yes
Confusion around training program	Yes
Issues with tuition reimbursement policy	Yes
<b>Employee Absence Leave</b>	<b>0/2</b>
No analysis of absence leaves	No
Leave entitlements not consistent across business units	No
<b>Equipment Fuel Program</b>	<b>0/2</b>
Lack of controls around the use of fuel cards and fobs	No
Inconsistent controls at fueling locations	No
<b>Halifax Regional Fire &amp; Emergency – Nonemergency Fleet Vehicle Allocation</b>	<b>4/4</b>
No policy to allocate Halifax Fire’s nonemergency vehicles	Yes
Lack of controls for Halifax Fire’s nonemergency vehicles	Yes
Management does not have sufficient information to assign vehicles	Yes
HRM fleet guidelines not followed	Yes
<b>Washmill Lake Court Extension Project</b>	<b>1/3</b>
HRM could not confirm that Washmill met appropriate construction standards	Yes
Lack of project management and central responsibility	No
Lack of appropriate approvals and oversight	No
<b>Risk Management: Fuel Spill at Halifax Transit</b>	<b>1/3</b>
HRM has no corporate-wide risk management	No
No environmental protection framework	No
Fuel spill undetected due to incorrect inventory reconciliation procedures	Yes
<b>Expenses: Mayor, Councillors, Senior Management and Staff 2013-14</b>	<b>2/3</b>
Issues with expense policy controls	No
Allowable charges under expense and purchasing card policies may need to be updated	Yes
Less analysis possible with information provided by new credit card provider	Yes
<b>Building Standards – Permits and Inspections</b>	<b>0/3</b>
No procedures manual	No
Records management issues	No
Performance indicator not related to performance of division	No
<b>Management of HRM’s Reserve Funds</b>	<b>1/3</b>
Lack of compliance with legislation and Administrative Order	No
Lack of documentation and transparency for reserve funding levels and planned usage	No
Issues with accounting controls (access to funds)	Yes
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12/26</b>


## Follow-up: March 2014 – January 2016



<b>Employee Absence Leave</b>	<b>2 of 2 Outstanding</b>
<b>Equipment Fuel Program</b>	<b>2 of 2 Outstanding</b>
<b>Building Standards – Permits and Inspections</b>	<b>3 of 3 Outstanding</b>



<b>Washmill Lake Court Extension Project</b>	<b>1 Addressed 2 Outstanding</b>
<b>Risk Management: Fuel Spill at Halifax Transit</b>	<b>1 Addressed 2 Outstanding</b>
<b>Expenses: Mayor, Councillors, Senior Management, and Staff 2013-14</b>	<b>2 Addressed 1 Outstanding</b>
<b>Management of HRM's Reserve Funds</b>	<b>1 Addressed 2 Outstanding</b>



<b>Administration of Training Expenditures</b>	<b>3 of 3 Addressed</b>
<b>Halifax Regional Fire &amp; Emergency – Nonemergency Fleet Vehicle Allocation</b>	<b>4 of 4 Addressed</b>

Given the large number of recommendations, we chose to group recommendations by theme. The numbers shown above represent the number of themes addressed versus outstanding.

## Administration of Training Expenditures – March 2014

### No training strategy to develop courses – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- Created training strategy
- Implemented framework to review and develop training course offerings

#### Why does this matter?

- Should allow HRM to evaluate whether its training programs are effective

### Confusion around training program – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- Clarified all elements of the training program
  - Defined roles for Human Resources, other business units, training advisory committee
  - Updated employee learning and development policy
  - New education reimbursement policy
  - Simplified HRM's core competencies and integrated into courses

#### Why does this matter?

- Helps directors, managers and employees have a better understanding of their roles and available training options
- Allows management to set training expectations for employees

### Issues with tuition reimbursement policy – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- New education reimbursement policy
  - Outlines types of training eligible for funding
- Human Resources now keep denied and approved applications for reimbursement.

#### Why does this matter?

- Improved policy should allow greater consistency across HRM.
- Gives management information to monitor the policy

## Employee Absence Leave – April 2014

### No analysis of absence leaves – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- Employee absence data not compared to external benchmarks
- Few common absence codes across HRM makes internal comparisons difficult.

#### Steps taken:

- Identify employees with absences above average of the working group
- Created useful absence reports for management

#### What else needs to happen?

- Identify external source for benchmarking such as Statistics Canada or other municipalities.
  - Municipal Benchmarking Network Canada does not appear to report absence statistics.
- Define expected employee absence based on benchmarking
- Create and use common absence codes across HRM
- Conduct internal benchmarking between HRM employee groups

### Leave entitlements not consistent across business units – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- Different leave entitlements across business units
  - Example: certain types of leave available to some non-union employees and not to others

#### What needs to happen?

- Determine appropriate leave entitlements for HRM's non-union workers and use in all business units.
- Determine if leave entitlements available to other HRM working groups need updating.



## Equipment Fuel Program – September 2014

### Lack of controls around the use of fuel cards and fobs – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- No policy on the use of fuel purchasing cards or fuel fobs for HRM's fueling locations
- Lack of monitoring by supervisors and procurement
  - Lack of data available for monitoring such as fuel tank size, fuel grade, location fuel purchased
- No monitoring of the use of override codes if fob does not work
- Halifax Regional Police maintained spare fobs

#### Steps taken:

- Created fuel purchasing card policy
- Stronger controls for overrides when fuel fobs not working
- Halifax Regional Police no longer use spare fuel fobs

#### What else needs to happen?

- Create policy for the use of fuel fobs at HRM's fueling locations, including:
  - Process to issue fuel fob and monitor usage
  - Require that fuel be purchased for HRM vehicles only
  - Process to find and disable unused fobs
- Collect sufficient data to fully monitor usage of cards and fobs
  - Example: data to detect if amount of fuel purchased is more than vehicle's gas tank will hold
- Inform business unit supervisors and managers monthly of all purchases made on fuel cards and fobs that did not comply with policy.

### Inconsistent controls at fueling locations – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- Concerns about the security of HRM's fueling locations
  - Corporate Security staff still concerned – some sites in remote and unsupervised locations, increasing risk of theft and vandalism

#### Steps taken:

- Two stations were identified as high risk and subsequently closed.

### What else needs to happen?

- Clarify which business unit is responsible for the security of fueling locations
- Perform a risk assessment of the security of HRM's fueling locations
- Implement stronger security measures as necessary

## Halifax Regional Fire & Emergency – Nonemergency Fleet Vehicle Allocation – September 2014

### No policy to allocate Halifax Fire's nonemergency vehicles – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- Halifax Fire's use of regional vehicles policy updated, includes:
  - Identified positions which are on-call 24/7 and assigned a vehicle
  - States vehicles must be parked at one of four fire stations outside working hours, only taken home while an employee is on-call
- Each division has a number of vehicles, division commander allocates

#### Why does this matter?

- Control to help ensure vehicles are only provided to employees who require for their job and not driven for personal use

### Lack of controls for Halifax Fire's nonemergency vehicles – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- Implemented automatic vehicle location system in most vehicles
- Track mileage for all nonemergency vehicles, either by vehicle locator system or manual monthly reporting
- Single inventory in HRM's new fleet focus system
  - Vehicles logged by fire station rather than by employee

#### Why does this matter?

- Mileage data can be used to help ensure compliance with HRM fleet guidelines and to manage fleet.
- Single inventory reduces likelihood of duplication or errors

## Management does not have sufficient information to assign vehicles – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- Maintain better information for nonemergency vehicles, including: mileage, vehicle identification information, inspection data, and maintenance costs

### Why does this matter?

- Complete information is useful when deciding what to buy, where vehicles should be assigned, and when to dispose

## HRM fleet guidelines not followed – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- Policy now requires monitoring usage of all Halifax Fire's nonemergency vehicles.
- Halifax Fire reviewed and reduced the number of nonemergency fleet vehicles.
  - Many vehicles still do not meet utilization thresholds in HRM fleet guidelines but management told us there are operational reasons why these vehicles remain in service.
  - Corporate Fleet is looking at each business unit's fleet size which may include an update to utilization thresholds.

### Why does this matter?

- Helps ensure Halifax Fire is using funds economically when it purchases and uses nonemergency vehicles

## Washmill Lake Court Extension Project – January 2015

### HRM could not confirm that Washmill met appropriate construction standards – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- Received Bridge Certification Report from the contractor confirming the bridge meets appropriate standards
- Received confirmation from the Province of Nova Scotia that its staff inspected the bridge and agreed to take possession

### Why does this matter?

- HRM capital projects must comply with relevant construction standards to help ensure safety.

## Lack of project management and central responsibility – Outstanding

### What was the issue?

- Lack of project management
- No central project management function or records management for high-value, non-recurring capital projects

### Steps taken:

- Created the Corporate Information Management Administrative Order, outlining requirements for managing Municipal records
- For the current Cogswell Redevelopment project, management created a project office to manage the project

### What else needs to happen?

- Create a standard approach to manage HRM's high-value, non-recurring capital projects
- Develop records management requirements for one common file for projects which involve multiple business units

## Lack of appropriate approvals and oversight – Outstanding

### What was the issue?

- Regional Council was not provided sufficient information to ensure appropriate oversight of large capital projects.
- Project scope and budget were changed without Regional Council approval
- Lack of accountability for information provided to Council and ensuring Council reports are accurate

### Steps taken:

- Increases to project budgets must go to Audit and Finance Standing Committee, then to Regional Council for approval.
- Updated procurement policy requires Regional Council approval of increases to a single contract of more than 20 percent or \$20,000 (whichever is greater)
- All Council reports must be approved by Director of Legal and Director of Finance.
- Section added to Council reports to discuss HRM Charter and policy requirements
- Improved capital budget reporting in the quarterly financial statements, including: budget to actual, commitments, and projected spending for the current year

### What else needs to happen?

- Management should give Regional Council information on risks for each capital project.
- The quality of capital budget cost estimates should be defined.
  - Currently, each project is assigned a rating, but there is no definition of the reliability of the estimate for each rating.
- Supporting documentation for cost estimates should be maintained.

## Risk Management: Fuel Spill at Halifax Transit – May 2015

### HRM has no corporate-wide risk management – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- No corporate-wide risk management and risks not formally identified
  - Fuel not identified as a risk to the Municipality, generally a high-risk item

#### Why does this matter?

- A robust risk management program helps identify, and develop plans to deal with, possible future events that could negatively impact HRM's ability to deliver services to its citizens.

#### Steps taken:

- Created an enterprise risk management program and risk register
  - Captures high-level strategic risks, not operational level
  - Insufficient to address fuel spill risks

### What else needs to happen?

- Operational risks, such as fuel-related risks and others, should be identified.

## No environmental protection framework – Outstanding

### What was the issue?

- No framework to identify environmental risks

### Steps taken:

- Plan to develop an environmental protection framework presented to Regional Council in June 2016
- No further progress made to finalize the plan
- Environmental risks currently identified informally within the Energy and Environment division

### What else needs to happen?

- Implement a framework to identify, monitor, and mitigate, as appropriate, environmental risks

## Fuel spill undetected due to incorrect inventory reconciliation procedures – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- Improved fuel inventory reconciliation process
- Per Halifax Transit staff, Nova Scotia Environment reviewed and approved the process.

### Why does this matter?

- Unusual fuel variances should be easier to detect.

## Expenses: Mayor, Councillors, Senior Management and Staff 2013-14 – May 2015

### Issues with expense policy controls – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- Location information missing from travel expense forms
  - Policy states employees should claim travel from their residence or place of work, whichever is less
- No comment on when Council members may claim mileage from their place of residence
  - Some members claimed, others did not

#### What needs to happen?

- Update the local travel expense form to include location travelling from
- Define when Council members can claim mileage from their place of residence

### Allowable charges under expense and purchasing card policies may need to be updated – Addressed

#### Steps taken:

- Purchasing card policy revised in January 2017
- Processes to help ensure charges for hotels, rental cars and other travel-related expenses are reasonable
  - Out-of-town travel approval forms must include estimated expenses.
  - Flights booked through approved travel agent

#### Why does this matter?

- Should help ensure value-for-money when reimbursing employee expenses
- Outlines reasonable expenses so employees know what to expect

## Less analysis possible with information provided by new credit card provider – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- Finance staff have access to sufficient information to analyse compliance with the purchasing card policy.
  - Drawback – process is now more manual and prone to error

### Why does this matter?

- Management needs information to monitor compliance

## Building Standards – Permits and Inspections – September 2015

### No procedures manual – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- No documented procedures for building inspections and issuing building permits
- Inconsistent practices among building inspectors

#### What needs to happen?

- Create a procedures manual for building inspections and permits (Key position was vacant for a year but enough time has passed for this to have been addressed.)
  - Issuing building permits and inspections is an important municipal responsibility.
  - Lack of manual increases potential for differences among staff

### Records management issues – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- No records management policy
- No standards for file documentation, inconsistencies throughout files
- No process to manage a file from open to close resulting in substantial open files (as of September 2014 almost 15,000 open files, 4,600 over five years old)

#### What needs to happen?

- Develop file standards and records management procedures from file opening to closing, including if files should be electronic or paper.



## Performance indicators not relevant – Outstanding

### What was the issue?

- One of the municipal compliance division's key performance indicators was not related to the division's performance.
- Staff were not sure of the quality of the data used to calculate the indicators.

### What needs to happen?

- Determine appropriate key performance indicators for the municipal compliance division.
- Confirm reliability of the data used to calculate indicators
  - Management told us HRM will participate in municipal benchmarking across Canada, which will include building permits and inspections.

## Management of HRM's Reserve Funds – January 2016

### Lack of compliance with legislation and Administrative Order – Outstanding

#### What was the issue?

- Some reserves did not have approved business cases as required by the Administrative Order.
- The business case for certain reserves did not include all Administrative Order requirements.
- Lack of controls to confirm that transfers to and from reserves complied with HRM Charter

#### Steps taken:

- Reduced the number of reserves from 52 to 20
- Have an approved business case for all 20 reserves
- Better controls implemented to help ensure reserve funding and transfers comply with HRM Charter

#### What else needs to happen?

- Establish minimum/ maximum funding for all reserves, as required by Administrative Order

## Lack of documentation and transparency for reserve funding levels and planned usage – Outstanding

### What was the issue?

- Not all reserves had approved minimum and maximum funding levels
- No guidance to follow if a reserve became under or overfunded
  - One reserve with approved minimum and maximum funding levels was overfunded for two years before a recommendation to Regional Council to use the extra funds to cover the 2014-15 general rate deficit.

### What needs to happen?

- Establish approved minimum and maximum funding levels for all reserves.
- Develop guidance to provide direction when a reserve becomes under or overfunded

## Issues with accounting controls (access to funds) – Addressed

### Steps taken:

- All reserve business cases now have standard approval process for accessing reserve funds
  - Includes review by Finance staff to ensure funds are available and the expense is appropriate
- Improved tracking of reserve activity to help ensure only funds approved by Regional Council are transferred to and from reserves

### Why does this matter?

- Reserves are created under Regional Council authority and should only be used as approved by Regional Council.

## Background

Since the Office of the Auditor General, Halifax Regional Municipality was established in 2009, we have released 41 reports examining various HRM programs and services. These reports provided recommendations for improvements in effectiveness, efficiency and economy.

When the Office was first established, we did not have a regular follow-up process. Follow-up work was completed on certain reports released between 2009 and 2013. We decided not to follow up the remaining reports from that time period since they are at least five years old. We will consider the issues identified in our future audit planning.

Going forward, we have established a new follow-up process. We will revisit all recommendations in each report 18 months after the audit results are released to determine whether management has corrected the issues we identified. We will publicly report follow-up results.

## Objectives and Scope

We completed a follow-up review of reports released between March 2014 and January 2016. Since we have limited resources and must balance follow up of previous work with new audits, we decided to combine the key issues and recommendations from the nine reports into significant themes to follow up.

Our objective was to provide review level or limited assurance on HRM's implementation of key recommendations and themes from these reports. A limited assurance engagement provides a lower level of assurance than an audit. Conclusions are based on reasonability of what management tells us, rather than detailed testing as would be found in an audit.

Nine reports were released between March 2014 and January 2016.

- Administration of Training Expenditures
- Employee Absence Leave
- Equipment Fuel Program
- Halifax Regional Fire & Emergency – Nonemergency Fleet Vehicle Allocation
- Washmill Lake Court Extension Project
- Risk Management: Fuel Spill at Halifax Transit
- Expenses: Mayor, Councillors, Senior Management and Staff, 2013-14
- Building Standards – Permits and Inspections
- Management of HRM's Reserve Funds

Our approach included discussing implementation with staff; reviewing applicable legislation, policies, guidelines and processes; and other procedures we considered necessary.

This limited assurance engagement was conducted in accordance with the *Canadian Standard for Assurance Engagements (CSAE) 3001 – Direct Engagements* published by the Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.

We apply the Canadian Standard on Quality Control 1, and our staff follow the Chartered Professional Accountants of Nova Scotia Code of Conduct.

## Contact Information

Office of the Auditor General  
Halifax Regional Municipality  
PO Box 1749  
Halifax NS B3J 3A5

Phone: 902 490 8407

Email: [auditorgeneral@halifax.ca](mailto:auditorgeneral@halifax.ca)

Website: <http://www.halifax.ca/auditorgeneral/>

Twitter: [@Halifax AG](https://twitter.com/HalifaxAG)